

**EVALUATION OF OHIO'S COMMUNITY-BASED CORRECTIONAL
FACILITIES AND HALFWAY HOUSE PROGRAMS**

APPENDICES

Christopher T. Lowenkamp, M.S.
Project Director

&

Edward J. Latessa, Ph.D.
Principal Investigator

University of Cincinnati
Division of Criminal Justice
Center for Criminal Justice Research
PO Box 210389
Cincinnati, Ohio 45221-0389

October 2002

Table Of Contents

Table Of Contents	2
APPENDIX A—Surveys and Questionnaires	5
APPENDIX B—Risk Factors and Weights	31
APPENDIX C—Program Descriptions and Site Specific Bivariate Analyses.....	35
<i>CBCF PROGRAM ANALYSES.....</i>	<i>36</i>
<i>SEPTA CORRECTIONAL FACILITY.....</i>	<i>37</i>
Bivariate Analyses for SEPTA	38
<i>Franklin County Community-Based Correctional Facility</i>	<i>45</i>
Bivariate Analyses for Franklin County	46
<i>Eastern Ohio Correction Center (EOCC)</i>	<i>53</i>
Bivariate Analyses for EOCC.....	54
<i>Licking/Muskingum Community Correction Center (LMCCC).....</i>	<i>61</i>
Bivariate Analyses for Licking/Muskingum.....	62
<i>Lucas County Correctional Treatment Facility (CTF).....</i>	<i>69</i>
Bivariate Analyses for Lucas CTF	70
<i>MonDay Community Correctional Institution</i>	<i>77</i>
Bivariate Analyses for MonDay	78
<i>Stark Regional Community Correction Center (SRCCC).....</i>	<i>85</i>
Bivariate Analyses for SRCCC.....	86
<i>Community Correctional Center (Butler, Clermont, and Warren).....</i>	<i>93</i>
Bivariate Analyses for Butler, Clermont, Warren Counties	94
<i>Lorain/Medina Community Based Correctional Facility.....</i>	<i>101</i>
Bivariate Analyses for Lorain/Medina.....	102
<i>River City Community Correctional Center</i>	<i>109</i>
Bivariate Analyses for River City.....	110
<i>Mahoning County CBCF</i>	<i>117</i>
Bivariate Analyses for Mahoning County	118
<i>Northeast Ohio Community Alternative Program (NEOCAP).....</i>	<i>125</i>
Bivariate Analyses for NEOCAP	126
<i>Western Ohio Regional Treatment and Rehabilitation Center.....</i>	<i>133</i>
Bivariate Analyses for WORTH.....	134
<i>Summit County Community Based Correctional Facility (CBCF)- Men</i>	<i>141</i>
<i>Summit County Community Based Correctional Facility (CBCF)- Women.....</i>	<i>142</i>
Bivariate Analyses for Summit County.....	143

HWH PROGRAM ANALYSES.....	150
<i>Alvis House Dunning Hall</i>	<i>151</i>
Bivariate Analyses for Alvis House Dunning Hall.....	152
<i>Alvis House Alum Creek</i>	<i>159</i>
Bivariate Analyses for Alvis House Alum Creek	160
<i>Community Treatment and Correction Center</i>	<i>167</i>
Bivariate Analyses for Community Treatment and Corrections Center.....	168
<i>Harbor Light Salvation Army.....</i>	<i>175</i>
Bivariate Analyses for Harbor Light.....	176
<i>Community Corrections Association (CCA) - Men</i>	<i>183</i>
Bivariate Analyses for Community Corrections Association Men’s Facility	184
<i>Community Residential Treatment Services (CompDrug).....</i>	<i>191</i>
Bivariate Analyses for CompDrug.....	192
<i>Dayton VOA McMahan Hall.....</i>	<i>199</i>
Bivariate Analyses for Dayton VOA McMahan Hall.....	200
<i>Cincinnati VOA Pogue Center- CD Program</i>	<i>207</i>
Bivariate Analyses for Cincinnati VOA Pogue Rehabilitation Center Chemical Dependency.....	208
<i>Volunteers of America-Toledo</i>	<i>215</i>
Bivariate Analyses for Toledo VOA.....	216
<i>Alternatives Agency.....</i>	<i>223</i>
Bivariate Analyses for Alternatives Agency.....	224
<i>Community Transition Center.....</i>	<i>231</i>
Bivariate Analyses for Community Transitions	232
<i>Oriana House TMRC</i>	<i>239</i>
Bivariate Analyses for Oriana House TMRC	240
<i>Oriana House RIP</i>	<i>247</i>
Bivariate Analyses for Oriana House RIP	248
<i>Talbert House Beekman.....</i>	<i>255</i>
Bivariate Analyses for Talbert House Beekman.....	256
<i>Talbert House Spring Grove.....</i>	<i>263</i>
Bivariate Analyses for Talbert House Spring Grove	264
<i>Talbert House Cornerstone.....</i>	<i>271</i>
Bivariate Analyses for Tablet House Cornerstone	272
<i>Community Assessment Men’s Programs.....</i>	<i>280</i>
Bivariate Analyses for Community Assessment Men’s Program.....	281
<i>Fresh Start.....</i>	<i>288</i>
Bivariate Analyses for Fresh Start	289

<i>Alvis House Cope Center</i>	296
<i>Alvis House Price Hall</i>	297
<i>Alvis House Veterans</i>	298
<i>Cincinnati VOA Pogue Center- SAMI Program</i>	299
<i>Cincinnati VOA Pogue Center- Sex Offender</i>	300
<i>Community Assessment – Women’s Program</i>	301
<i>Community Corrections Association (CCA) - Women</i>	302
<i>Crossroads Center for Change</i>	303
<i>Dayton Salvation Army Booth House</i>	304
<i>Diversified Community Services</i>	305
<i>Goodwill Residential Services for Women</i>	306
<i>The Volunteers of America Northeast and North Central Ohio</i>	307
<i>Oriana House Residential Correctional Center (RCC)</i>	308
<i>Pathfinder House-Male Program</i>	309
<i>Pathfinder House-Female Program</i>	310
<i>Southwestern Ohio Serenity Hall</i>	311
<i>Spencer House</i>	312
<i>Talbert House Pathways</i>	313
<i>Traynor House, Inc.</i>	314
<i>Bivariate Analyses for Small Programs</i>	315

APPENDIX A—Surveys and Questionnaires

HALFWAY HOUSE/CBCF QUESTIONNAIRE

PROGRAM DIRECTOR

Division of Criminal Justice
University of Cincinnati
Cincinnati, OH 45221-0389
(513) 556-5827
Fax (513) 556-3303

PROGRAM IMPLEMENTATION

1.1 What role did the current program director play in the design?

1.2 (Current Program Director) Were you instrumental in designing the current program

Yes No

Describe involvement:

1.3 What model(s) is the program based on (i.e. self help, cognitive behavioral, disease etc.)

PROGRAM CHARACTERISTICS

2.1 List the various groups and interventions used by the program?

2.2 What is the schedule that clients follow during a typical day? (get copy)

Is this the same seven days a week? Yes No

If no, how does it vary?

2.3 How many hours per week is an offender involved in treatment activities?

Are all offenders required to work? Yes No

If yes, how many hours per week? _____

2.4 How does your program vary (e.g., intensity, and duration) according to the level of risk of the client?

2.5 How are offenders assigned to groups? (what are decisions based on)

2.6 How is staff matched with the type of treatment that they provide? (what are decisions based on)

2.7 How are staff matched with the clients that they serve? (what are decisions based on)

2.8 What incentives and rewards are used to encourage program participation and compliance?

2.9 What punishments/sanctions are used to encourage program participation and compliance?

2.10 How do you determine when a client has completed the program (What are the completion criteria)?

2.11 Is aftercare provided for the client? Yes No

If yes, who provides it? _____

How long does it last? _____

How often do they meet with offender? _____

STAFF CHARACTERISTICS

3.1 Besides training and years of experience, are there any other personal characteristics that are considered important in hiring staff?

Yes No

If yes, please list the characteristics that are important.

3.2 Could you describe how new staff are trained to work in this program.

How long does this training take? (Number of days) _____

3.4 Do all program staff participate in ongoing training programs, workshops or conferences? Yes No

If yes, how often does ongoing training occur and how many staff participate?

3.5 How often are staff meetings held?

3.6 Have staff been able to modify the program structure?

Yes No

If yes, please provide some examples of modifications made.

EVALUATION

4.1 Do supervisors provide quality assurance assessments such as a file review, client feedback or other within program checks that monitor the treatment process?

Yes No

If yes, what assessments are conducted?

4.2 If outside treatment providers, what program checks are in place?

HALFWAY HOUSE/CBCF SURVEY PROGRAM DIRECTOR

Division of Criminal Justice
University of Cincinnati
Cincinnati, OH 45221-0389
(513) 556-5827
Fax (513) 556-3303

PROGRAM SERVICES DIRECTOR SURVEY

1. Name of the Program: _____
2. Name of Contact Person: _____
3. Address, Phone # and fax # of program setting: _____
4. Years in Operation: _____
5. Number of residents/participants:

	Current	Capacity
# adult:	_____	_____
% male/female:	_____	_____
6. Type of offenders served: ___ parolees ___ probationers ___ work release
(Check all that apply) ___ pre-release ___ pre-parole ___ prisoners
 ___ Diversions ___ Other _____
7. Number of staff:
 # Full-time: _____

 # Part-time: _____
8. What are the major services currently offered by the program:
 ___ Substance abuse ___ Education ___ Sex Offender
 ___ Employment ___ Mental Health ___ Anger Mgt.
 ___ Cognitive groups ___ Financial ___ Other (list) _____
9. What were the major services offered by the program **in fiscal year 1999**:
 ___ Substance abuse ___ Education ___ Sex Offender
 ___ Employment ___ Mental Health ___ Anger Mgt.
 ___ Cognitive groups ___ Financial ___ Other (list) _____
10. In your opinion what are the major changes in the program **since fiscal year 1999**?
11. Do you have a manual that details the types of treatment to be provided and treatment activities? Yes No
12. When a client enters the program, do you assess his or her risk factors that would predict recidivism? Yes No

If yes, what is the method used (list all the tools that the program uses to assess risk)?

13. Do you assess a client's needs (dynamic characteristics) that are associated with possible recidivism? Yes No

If yes, what is the method used (list all of the tools used to assess needs)?

14. Do you assess a client's personal characteristics, attributes, and styles of interaction? (e.g., intelligence, verbal ability, level of anxiety) Yes No

If yes, what is the method used (list all of the tools used to assess responsivity)?

15. If standardized risk assessment is used, is a summary score used? Yes No

16. If standardized needs assessment is used, is a summary score used? Yes No

17. If standardized responsivity assessment is used, is a summary score used? Yes No

18. Are you directly involved in selecting the staff? Yes No

19. Are you directly involved in training the staff? Yes No
if yes, please describe your involvement:

20. Are you involved in directly supervising the treatment staff? Yes No

21. Are you involved in providing direct service delivery to the client? Yes No

If yes, please describe your role:

22. Do clients have a mechanism whereby they may provide input into the structure and rules of the program? Yes No

23. Are clients surveyed each year as to their satisfaction with the service being provided? Yes No

24. Are offenders reassessed before they leave the program? Yes No

If yes, how is this done?

25. Is reconivction data gathered on client's 6 months or more after leaving the program?

Yes No

If yes, please describe the process used to collect recidivism data:

26. Do you have an advisory board (Board of Directors) or a consultant, officially designated to oversee or advise the program in some fashion or another?

Yes No

PLEASE RATE EACH OF THE FOLLOWING:

27. How supportive is the **community at large** of your program? Please rate below:

1 2 3 4 5
not supportive very supportive

28. How supportive is the **criminal justice community** (e.g. judges, program department, DOC, Sheriff, etc.) of your program (give examples)?

1 2 3 4 5
not supportive very supportive

29. How involved is your advisory board in your program?

1 2 3 4 5
not at all extremely

30. How supportive are **staff** of the treatment efforts provided by the program (i.e. the values and goals of the program)?

1 2 3 4 5
not supportive very supportive

31. Is the **current** funding considered adequate to sustain the program?

1 2 3 4 5
not adequate very adequate

If not adequate, please note the concerns:

32. While in the community, how well are clients' whereabouts and peer associations monitored?

1 2 3 4 5
not at all very well

40. Have any formal evaluations of the program been carried out in the past five years?
Yes No

If yes,

Outcome or process evaluation? _____

Was a comparison group used? Yes No

41. Is there an evaluator who assists the program in evaluation?
Yes No

If yes, who?

HALFWAY HOUSE/CBCF SURVEY STAFF

Division of Criminal Justice
University of Cincinnati
Cincinnati, OH 45221-0389
(513) 556-5827
Fax (513) 556-3303

12. Does your supervisor regularly sit in on groups that you facilitate and provide feedback/direction about your work?

Yes No

13. During the past twelve months, have you participated in training programs, workshops or conferences?

Yes No

If yes, please list:

Training/workshop_____ Length_____

Training/workshop_____ Length_____

Training/workshop_____ Length_____

14. Are you able to modify the program structure?

Yes No

15. Does the program have a manual that details the types of treatment to be provided and treatment activities?

Yes No

16. Do you regularly use or follow the treatment manual when you provide services or conduct groups with offenders?

Yes No

17. Do all offenders in the program receive **all** of the services and groups offered by the program?

Yes No

18. Do offenders have a mechanism whereby they may provide input into the structure and rules of the program?

Yes No

19. Are offenders formally surveyed as to their satisfaction with the services being provided by the program?

Yes No

20. When a client enters the program, does the program assess his or her risk factors that would predict recidivism?

Yes No

If yes, what is the method used (list all the tools that the program uses to assess risk)?

21. Does your program assess a client's needs (dynamic characteristics) that are associated with possible recidivism?

Yes No

If yes, what is the method used (list all of the tools used to assess needs)?

22. Are offenders reassessed before they leave the program?

Yes No

If yes, how is this done?

23. Is aftercare provided for the client? Yes No

If yes, who provides it? _____

How long does it last? _____

How often do they meet with offender? _____

24. How often are staff meetings held?

1. More than once per week
2. One per week
3. Twice per month
4. Once per month
5. Other _____

25. What type of internal quality insurance mechanisms are in place? Circle all that apply.

1. Regular case file audits
2. Observations of groups
3. Regular reports on offender progress
4. Pre/post testing of offenders
5. Reassessment
6. Client satisfaction surveys
7. Other: _____

26. Please rate the adequacy of quality assurance procedures.

1. very inadequate
2. inadequate
3. adequate
4. very adequate

27. What exclusionary criteria are used for program admission?

43. When interacting with offender how important do you think the caseworkers at this facility believe it is to be.....

a. Caring

1	2	3	4	5
Unimportant				Very Important

b. Empathetic

1	2	3	4	5
Unimportant				Very Important

c. Enthusiastic

1	2	3	4	5
Unimportant				Very Important

d. Spontaneous

1	2	3	4	5
Unimportant				Very Important

e. Fair

1	2	3	4	5
Unimportant				Very Important

f. Firm

1	2	3	4	5
Unimportant				Very Important

g. Consistent

1	2	3	4	5
Unimportant				Very Important

h. Non-confrontational

1	2	3	4	5
Unimportant				Very Important

50. How many participants are there in a typical treatment group? _____

51. List the various groups for offenders that are offered by the program:

52. What do you consider to be the core services offered by the program?

53. Over how many weeks are the core services delivered? _____

54. How long is each session? _____

55. Are the groups generally “open” (new offenders are added) or “closed” (once a group is formed, no new offenders are added)?

Open _____ Closed _____

56. What percentage of the offenders that you receive are inappropriate for the treatment and services you provide? _____%

57. What is the average length of stay in the program for offenders (excluding aftercare)?

58. On average, how many hours per week is an offender involved in treatment activities?

59. Are all offenders required to work? Yes No

If yes, how many hours per week? _____

60. How are you assigned your caseload of offenders or the groups you facilitate?

61. What incentives and rewards are used to encourage program participation and good behavior?

62. What punishments/sanctions are used to encourage program participation and deal with inappropriate behavior?

63. Please list the completion criteria used by the program?

64. If outside treatment providers are used, how does your program monitor what they do?

65. Could you describe the initial training to work in this program that you received when you first started.

66. How long did this training last? (Number of days) _____

HALFWAY HOUSE/CBCF SURVEY

STAFF ATTITUDE SURVEY

Division of Criminal Justice
University of Cincinnati
Cincinnati, OH 45221-0389
(513) 556-5827
Fax (513) 556-3303

STAFF ATTITUDE SURVEY

BELOW EACH STATEMENT, CIRCLE A NUMBER, 1 to 6, TO INDICATE WHICH TERM BEST DESCRIBES YOUR PERCEPTIONS OR BEHAVIORS.

Example : I would describe myself as a(n):

Optimist 1 2 3 (4) 5 6 Pessimist

A four is circled here, indicating that the respondent perceives himself as neither an optimist nor a pessimist, but he is more pessimistic than optimistic.

1. As a correctional professional, your primary obligation is to:

rehabilitate the offender 1 2 3 4 5 6 enforce rules and policies

2. When enforcing rules and policies, you are:

strict 1 2 3 4 5 6 flexible

3. The role you adopt when working with offenders most closely approximates:

advisor 1 2 3 4 5 6 director

4. Your primary concern as a correctional profession is to:

monitor offender compliance 1 2 3 4 5 6 rehabilitate the offender

5. When working with offenders, you are:

suspicious 1 2 3 4 5 6 trusting

6. The most important aspect of your job is:

intervention 1 2 3 4 5 6 surveillance

7. The goal of correctional professionals should be:

restoration 1 2 3 4 5 6 retribution

8. Your relationship with offenders is best described as:

concern 1 2 3 4 5 6 impartial

9. Which best describes your role as a correctional professional:

police officer	1	2	3	4	5	6	social worker
-------------------	---	---	---	---	---	---	------------------

10. Your primary responsibility is to the:

offender	1	2	3	4	5	6	community
----------	---	---	---	---	---	---	-----------

11. To change offender behavior, you are likely to use:

punishments	1	2	3	4	5	6	rewards
-------------	---	---	---	---	---	---	---------

12. Your style of communication with offenders is best described as:

coercion	1	2	3	4	5	6	negotiation
----------	---	---	---	---	---	---	-------------

13. Your most appropriate role with offenders is as:

advocate	1	2	3	4	5	6	supervisor
----------	---	---	---	---	---	---	------------

14. The most essential part of a correctional staff member's job is:

counseling	1	2	3	4	5	6	enforcing
------------	---	---	---	---	---	---	-----------

15. Effective case supervision requires:

subjectivity	1	2	3	4	5	6	objectivity
--------------	---	---	---	---	---	---	-------------

16. Community corrections is best described as:

opportunity	1	2	3	4	5	6	punishment
-------------	---	---	---	---	---	---	------------

17. The primary purpose of monitoring activities is to:

promote progress	1	2	3	4	5	6	ensure compliance
---------------------	---	---	---	---	---	---	----------------------

18. Your primary function is:

enforcement	1	2	3	4	5	6	intervention
-------------	---	---	---	---	---	---	--------------

19. Your attitude toward offenders recently placed under your care is:

hopeful	1	2	3	4	5	6	skeptical
---------	---	---	---	---	---	---	-----------

20. The most important part of your job is:

monitoring	1	2	3	4	5	6	counseling
------------	---	---	---	---	---	---	------------

21. As a correctional professional, your primary role is to:

empower	1	2	3	4	5	6	incapacitate
---------	---	---	---	---	---	---	--------------

22. The rules and regulations of your facility are:

minimal acceptable standards	1	2	3	4	5	6	constructive aids
------------------------------	---	---	---	---	---	---	-------------------

23. The most effective way to change behavior is through:

positive reinforcement	1	2	3	4	5	6	punitive sanctions
------------------------	---	---	---	---	---	---	--------------------

24. Your role with offenders is best described as:

coach	1	2	3	4	5	6	boss
-------	---	---	---	---	---	---	------

25. Case and treatment plans are best viewed as:

guidelines	1	2	3	4	5	6	mandates
------------	---	---	---	---	---	---	----------

26. Rules and policies should be enforced:

uniformly	1	2	3	4	5	6	individually
-----------	---	---	---	---	---	---	--------------

27. Your primary function as a correctional professional is:

enforcement	1	2	3	4	5	6	intervention
-------------	---	---	---	---	---	---	--------------

28. Offender's personal issues should be viewed as:

problems to be addressed	1	2	3	4	5	6	potential excuses
--------------------------	---	---	---	---	---	---	-------------------

29. The most important aspect of community corrections is:

surveillance	1	2	3	4	5	6	services
--------------	---	---	---	---	---	---	----------

30. Case supervision should be designed to:

regulate behavior	1	2	3	4	5	6	change behavior
----------------------	---	---	---	---	---	---	--------------------

31. Your function as a correctional professional most closely approximates:

law enforcement	1	2	3	4	5	6	social work
--------------------	---	---	---	---	---	---	-------------

32. Your primary goal as a correctional professional is offender:

control	1	2	3	4	5	6	rehabilitation
---------	---	---	---	---	---	---	----------------

33. Corrections work is best viewed as:

prevention	1	2	3	4	5	6	crisis management
------------	---	---	---	---	---	---	-------------------

APPENDIX B—Risk Factors and Weights

Risk Assessment Factors and Weights

Factor	Weight
Age	
17-22	16.9
23-36	7.2
37+	0
Less than High School Graduate	
Yes	7.6
No	0
Marital Status	
Single	7.5
Married	0
Psychological Problem Indicated	
Yes	1.90
No	0
Alcohol Problem Ever	
Yes	4.7
No	0
Drug Problem Ever	
Yes	9.0
No	0
Unemployed At Arrest	
Yes	6.5
No	0

Factor	Weight
Prior Arrests	
2+	12.3
1	2.9
0	0
Prior Incarcerations	
2+	22.8
1	6.6
0	0
Prior Conviction for Violent Offense	
Yes	3.5
No	0
Prior Conviction for Sex Offense	
Yes	5.8
No	0
Previous Community Control Violation	
Yes	6.9
No	0
Current Felony Degree	
3 rd , 4 th , 5 th	22.8
2 nd	6.6
1 st	0
Current Offense Type	
Drug, Property, Sex	5
Person or Other	0

To develop the risk scale utilized in this report cross-tabulations between the risk factors and re-incarceration for any reason were analyzed. The difference in the percentage re-incarcerated served as the weight for each factor. These factors were then added together to create an overall risk score. This risk score ranged in value from 5 to 115 with an average of 65 and a standard deviation of 17. While the overall alpha reliability scale was very low (.30) the score and categorization has good predictive validity with a correlation of .25 between the aggregate risk score and re-incarceration.

Once the risk scale was calculated, a visual inspection between the risk score and re-incarceration was conducted to develop appropriate cutoff scores for risk levels. This resulted in four groups: low, low/moderate, moderate, and high. The recidivism rates for these four groups are reported below:

Risk Category (Score)	Recidivism Rate
Low (0-37)	18%
Low/Moderate (38-54)	30%
Moderate (55-75)	43%
High (76-115)	58%

The categorization listed above was used in all multivariate and bivariate analyses where risk level was taken into account.

APPENDIX C—Program Descriptions and Site Specific Bivariate Analyses

CBCF PROGRAM ANALYSES

SEPTA CORRECTIONAL FACILITY

The SEPTA Correctional Facility is located in Nelsonville and has been in operation since 1990. The facility has 32 full-time staff and serves male offenders from a 14 county catchment area. SEPTA has a capacity of 64 and there were 59 offenders in the facility at the time of the site visit. The average time in the program is 6 months. The following major services were offered by the facility in FY99:

- Substance abuse
- Employment
- Education
- Mental Health
- Restitution
- Sex offender
- Anger management
- Life Skills and Positive Solutions
- Home Furlough Program
- Community Service Program
- AA

In addition to the core areas, SEPTA also offers groups in domestic violence, recovery group, and relapse prevention.

Changes since FY99:

The major programmatic change has been the addition of cognitive groups. According to the program director other changes to the program since FY99 have been decreased funding, increased uncontrollable costs (insurance/utilities), increased criminogenic factors, an inability to activate expansion project, and the loss of the original supervisor of correctional services and the original work release coordinator.

Bivariate Analyses for SEPTA

Table 52. Descriptive Statistics for SEPTA by Group Membership

Variable	Experimental Group	Comparison Group
	Mean (N)	Mean (N)
Age (Average Age in Years)*	26.29 (145)	34.44 (106)
Race	% (N)	% (N)
Black	5.6 (8)	11.4 (12)
White	94.4 (136)	88.6 (93)
Sex	% (N)	% (N)
Male	100.0 (145)	100.0 (106)
Female	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)
Marital Status	% (N)	% (N)
Married	15.9 (23)	23.6 (25)
Never Married	64.1 (93)	52.8 (56)
Divorced/Separated/Widowed	20.0 (29)	23.6 (25)

*Difference Significant at $p < .05$

Table 53. Descriptive Statistics for Risk/Need Factors by Group Membership for SEPTA

Variable	Experimental Group	Comparison Group
	Mean (N)	Mean (N)
Prior Arrests*	2.39 (139)	4.83 (103)
	% (N)	% (N)
Prior Arrest (Yes/No)	79.1 (110)	79.6 (82)
	Mean (N)	Mean (N)
Prior Incarcerations in State of Ohio*	0.26 (145)	1.02 (106)
	% (N)	% (N)
Prior Incarcerations (Yes/No)*	17.9 (26)	45.3 (48)
Employment Status at Arrest	% (N)	% (N)
Employed	40.0 (58)	29.2 (31)
Unemployed	60.0 (87)	70.8 (75)
	Mean (N)	Mean (N)
Education Level (Highest Grade Completed)	10.66 (145)	10.48 (106)
	% (N)	% (N)
H.S. Graduate (Yes/No)	29.7 (43)	30.2 (32)
Offense Type*	% (N)	% (N)
Person	13.1 (19)	17.9 (19)
Sex	7.6 (11)	8.5 (9)
Drug	11.0 (16)	42.5 (45)
Property	51.0 (74)	17.9 (19)
Other	17.2 (25)	13.2 (14)
Degree of Current Offense*	% (N)	% (N)
First	1.4 (2)	7.5 (8)
Second	9.0 (13)	31.1 (33)
Third	13.1 (19)	13.2 (14)
Fourth	41.4 (60)	33.0 (35)
Fifth	35.2 (51)	15.1 (16)
History of Alcohol Abuse (Yes/No)	85.5 (124)	82.1 (87)
History of Drug Abuse (Yes/No)	81.4 (118)	73.6 (78)
Mental Health Problems Identified (Yes/No)*	15.9 (23)	30.2 (32)
	Mean (N)	Mean (N)
Risk Level	62.55 (145)	64.93 (106)
Risk Category	% (N)	% (N)
Low	4.1 (6)	2.8 (3)
Low/Moderate	26.2 (38)	22.6 (24)
Moderate	49.7 (72)	47.2 (50)
High	20.0 (29)	27.4 (29)

*Difference Significant at $p < .05$

Table 54. Programming Information for SEPTA

Variable	Experimental Group
	% (N)
Academic Training Needed	62.8 (91)
Percent Participated In	56.6 (82)
Vocational Training Needed	13.8 (20)
Percent Participated In	2.8 (4)
Employment Assistance Needed	98.6 (143)
Percent Participated In	95.9 (139)
Assistance with Accommodations Needed	94.5 (137)
Percent Participated In	66.9 (97)
Substance Abuse Counseling Needed	82.8 (120)
Percent Participated In	97.2 (141)
Alcohol Abuse Counseling Needed	85.5 (124)
Percent Participated In	98.6 (143)
Mental Health Counseling Needed	71.7 (104)
Percent Participated In	71.7 (104)
Anger Management Counseling Needed	97.2 (141)
Percent Participated In	96.6 (140)
Sexual Behavior Counseling Needed	6.9 (10)
Percent Participated In	10.3 (15)
Termination Status	% (N)
Successful	67.6 (98)
Unsuccessful	32.4 (47)

*Difference Significant at $p < .05$

Table 55. Descriptive Statistics By Termination Status for SEPTA

Variable	Successful Terminations	Unsuccessful Terminations
	Mean (N)	Mean (N)
Age (Average Age in Years)	27.1 (98)	24.7 (47)
Race*	% (N)	% (N)
Black	8.2 (8)	0.0 (0)
White	91.8 (90)	100.0 (46)
Sex	% (N)	% (N)
Male	100.0 (98)	100.0 (47)
Female	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)
Marital Status*	% (N)	% (N)
Married	14.3 (14)	19.1 (9)
Never Married	59.2 (58)	74.5 (35)
Divorced/Separated/Widowed	26.5 (25)	6.4 (3)

*Difference Significant at $p < .05$

Table 56. Descriptive Statistics for Risk/Need Factors by Termination Status for SEPTA

Variable	Successful Terminations	Unsuccessful Terminations
	Mean (N)	Mean (N)
Prior Arrests	2.63 (93)	1.89 (46)
	% (N)	% (N)
Prior Arrest (Yes/No)*	84.9 (79)	67.4 (31)
	Mean (N)	Mean (N)
Prior Incarcerations in State of Ohio	0.29 (98)	0.19 (47)
	% (N)	% (N)
Prior Incarcerations (Yes/No)	18.4 (18)	17.0 (8)
Employment Status at Arrest	% (N)	% (N)
Employed	42.9 (42)	34.0 (16)
Unemployed	57.1 (56)	66.0 (31)
	Mean (N)	Mean (N)
Education Level (Highest Grade Completed)	10.673 (98)	10.617 (47)
	% (N)	% (N)
H.S. Graduate (Yes/No)	30.6 (30)	27.7 (13)
Offense Type	% (N)	% (N)
Person	15.3 (15)	8.5 (4)
Sex	9.2 (9)	4.3 (2)
Drug	12.2 (12)	8.5 (4)
Property	48.0 (47)	57.4 (27)
Other	15.3 (15)	21.3 (10)
Degree of Current Offense*	% (N)	% (N)
First	1.0 (1)	2.1 (1)
Second	10.2 (10)	6.4 (3)
Third	16.3 (16)	6.4 (3)
Fourth	45.9 (45)	31.9 (15)
Fifth	26.5 (26)	53.2 (25)
History of Alcohol Abuse (Yes/No)	84.7 (83)	87.2 (41)
History of Drug Abuse (Yes/No)	77.6 (76)	89.4 (42)
Mental Health Problems Identified (Yes/No)	14.3 (14)	19.1 (9)
	Mean (N)	Mean (N)
Risk Level*	60.87 (98)	66.04 (47)
Risk Category	% (N)	% (N)
Low	5.1 (5)	2.1 (1)
Low/Moderate	30.6 (30)	17.0 (8)
Moderate	48.0 (47)	53.2 (25)
High	16.3 (16)	27.7 (13)

*Difference Significant at $p < .05$

Table 57. Any Re-Arrest By Group Membership and Risk Level for SEPTA

	All	Risk Level			
		Low	Low/Moderate	Moderate	High
	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)
Experimental	41.3 (38)	40.0 (2)	33.3 (9)	45.5 (20)	43.8 (7)
Comparison	34.3 (35)	0.0 (0)	16.7 (4)	42.6 (20)	39.3 (11)

*Difference Significant at $p < .05$

Table 58. Incarceration for a Technical Violation By Group Membership and Risk Level for SEPTA

	All*	Risk Level			
		Low	Low/Moderate*	Moderate*	High*
	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)
Experimental	8.2 (8)	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)	12.8 (6)	12.5 (2)
Comparison	32.1 (34)	0.0 (0)	20.8 (5)	34.0 (17)	41.4 (12)

*Difference Significant at $p < .05$

Table 59. Incarceration for a New Offense By Group Membership and Risk Level for SEPTA

	All	Risk Level			
		Low	Low/Moderate	Moderate	High
	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)
Experimental	21.4 (21)	20.0 (1)	10.0 (3)	25.5 (12)	31.3 (5)
Comparison	13.2 (14)	0.0 (0)	4.2 (1)	16.0 (8)	17.2 (5)

*Difference Significant at $p < .05$

Table 60. Any New Incarceration By Group Membership and Risk Level for SEPTA

	All*	Risk Level			
		Low	Low/Moderate	Moderate	High
	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)
Experimental	29.6 (29)	20.0 (1)	10.0 (3)	38.8 (18)	43.8 (7)
Comparison	45.3 (48)	0.0 (0)	25.0 (6)	50.0 (25)	58.6 (17)

*Difference Significant at $p < .05$

Franklin County Community-Based Correctional Facility

The Franklin County Community-based Correctional facility is located in Columbus, Ohio and opened in 1993. The facility has 85 full-time staff and serves both male (140) and female (40) offenders. At the time of the site visit, Franklin County CBCF was serving 190 offenders. The average length of stay is between 4 and 6 months. Aftercare is provided by agencies in the offender's community. The following services were offered in FY99:

- Cognitive groups
- Substance abuse
- Anger management
- Life skills
- AA/NA
- Spirituality
- Stress management
- Physical education
- Financial
- Self help
- Behavior modification programs
- Peer influence program

In addition to the core services, Franklin County CBCF offers groups in employment, education, parenting skills, female issues, mental health, and domestic violence.

Changes since FY99:

Within the last year, a cognitive behavioral program based on peer mutual help groups, social skills, decision-making groups, and activities has been implemented facility wide. The Responsible Adult Culture (RAC) will soon include the majority of the CBCF residents. Residents not in the RAC will be enrolled in a second cognitive behavioral program based on the "Thinking for a Change" curriculum being used in numerous correctional facilities across the United States.

An interdisciplinary team approach is now being used to monitor each resident's individual progress through CBCF programming. Meetings are held on a scheduled basis with each resident to review program progress and provide a venue for direct interaction to address concerns or problems.

Bivariate Analyses for Franklin County

Table 61. Descriptive Statistics for Franklin County Community Based Correctional Facility by Group Membership

Variable	Experimental Group	Comparison Group
	Mean (N)	Mean (N)
Age (Average Age in Years)*	30.22 (455)	33.88 (382)
Race	% (N)	% (N)
Black	52.0 (236)	58.2 (221)
White	48.0 (218)	41.8 (159)
Sex*	% (N)	% (N)
Male	76.5 (348)	90.1 (344)
Female	23.5 (107)	9.9 (38)
Marital Status*	% (N)	% (N)
Married	16.7 (76)	17.3 (66)
Never Married	64.8 (295)	71.2 (272)
Divorced/Separated/Widowed	18.5 (84)	11.5 (44)

*Difference Significant at $p < .05$

Table 62. Descriptive Statistics for Risk/Need Factors by Group Membership for Franklin County Community Based Correctional Facility

Variable	Experimental Group	Comparison Group
	Mean (N)	Mean (N)
Prior Arrests	5.97 (434)	6.32 (358)
	% (N)	% (N)
Prior Arrest (Yes/No)*	99.3 (141)	75.7 (56)
	Mean (N)	Mean (N)
Prior Incarcerations in State of Ohio*	0.50 (455)	1.12 (382)
	% (N)	% (N)
Prior Incarcerations (Yes/No)*	28.1 (128)	45.3 (173)
	% (N)	% (N)
Employment Status at Arrest*		
Employed	40.9 (186)	31.2 (119)
Unemployed	59.1 (269)	68.8 (263)
	Mean (N)	Mean (N)
Education Level (Highest Grade Completed)	10.52 (455)	10.51 (382)
	% (N)	% (N)
H.S. Graduate (Yes/No)	32.3 (147)	28.8 (110)
	% (N)	% (N)
Offense Type*		
Person	17.1 (78)	29.6 (113)
Sex	0.2 (1)	3.9 (15)
Drug	33.6 (153)	39.3 (150)
Property	38.2 (174)	21.5 (82)
Other	10.8 (49)	5.8 (22)
	% (N)	% (N)
Degree of Current Offense*		
First	1.8 (8)	8.4 (32)
Second	8.0 (36)	26.2 (100)
Third	17.3 (78)	17.5 (67)
Fourth	35.3 (159)	29.9 (249)
Fifth	37.7 (170)	24.3 (93)
History of Alcohol Abuse (Yes/No)	65.3 (297)	71.2 (272)
History of Drug Abuse (Yes/No)*	85.7 (390)	77.5 (296)
Mental Health Problems Identified (Yes/No)*	46.6 (212)	27.2 (104)
	Mean (N)	Mean (N)
Risk Level	67.49 (455)	68.04 (382)
	% (N)	% (N)
Risk Category*		
Low	2.6 (12)	7.6 (29)
Low/Moderate	11.2 (51)	16.0 (61)
Moderate	58.2 (265)	38.2 (146)
High	27.9 (127)	38.2 (146)

*Difference Significant at $p < .05$

Table 63. Programming Information for Franklin County Community Based Correctional Facility

Variable	Experimental Group
	% (N)
Academic Training Needed	57.1 (260)
Percent Participated In	54.1 (246)
Vocational Training Needed	68.6 (312)
Percent Participated In	53.2 (242)
Employment Assistance Needed	87.9 (400)
Percent Participated In	58.7 (267)
Assistance with Accommodations Needed	49.9 (227)
Percent Participated In	62.0 (282)
Substance Abuse Counseling Needed	94.7 (431)
Percent Participated In	84.4 (384)
Alcohol Abuse Counseling Needed	82.6 (376)
Percent Participated In	83.7 (381)
Mental Health Counseling Needed	44.2 (201)
Percent Participated In	30.3 (138)
Anger Management Counseling Needed	64.4 (293)
Percent Participated In	65.9 (300)
Sexual Behavior Counseling Needed	9.2 (42)
Percent Participated In	1.1 (5)
Termination Status	% (N)
Successful	71.0 (323)
Unsuccessful	29.0 (132)

*Difference Significant at $p < .05$

Table 64. Descriptive Statistics By Termination Status for Franklin County Community Based Correctional Facility

Variable	Successful Terminations	Unsuccessful Terminations
	Mean (N)	Mean (N)
Age (Average Age in Years)	30.4 (323)	29.7 (132)
Race*	% (N)	% (N)
Black	48.4 (156)	60.8 (80)
White	51.6 (166)	39.4 (52)
Sex	% (N)	% (N)
Male	77.4 (250)	74.2 (98)
Female	22.6 (73)	25.8 (34)
Marital Status	% (N)	% (N)
Married	17.6 (57)	14.4 (19)
Never Married	62.8 (203)	69.7 (92)
Divorced/Separated/Widowed	19.5 (63)	15.9 (21)

*Difference Significant at $p < .05$

Table 65. Descriptive Statistics for Risk/Need Factors by Termination Status for Franklin County Community Based Correctional Facility

Variable	Successful Terminations	Unsuccessful Terminations
	Mean (N)	Mean (N)
Prior Arrests*	5.72 (309)	6.60 (125)
	% (N)	% (N)
Prior Arrest (Yes/No)	99.4 (307)	99.2 (124)
	Mean (N)	Mean (N)
Prior Incarcerations in State of Ohio	0.50 (323)	0.51 (132)
	% (N)	% (N)
Prior Incarcerations (Yes/No)	28.5 (92)	27.3 (36)
Employment Status at Arrest*	% (N)	% (N)
Employed	44.0 (142)	33.3 (44)
Unemployed	56.0 (181)	66.7 (88)
	Mean (N)	Mean (N)
Education Level (Highest Grade Completed)	10.53 (323)	10.50 (132)
	% (N)	% (N)
H.S. Graduate (Yes/No)	34.1 (110)	28.0 (37)
Offense Type	% (N)	% (N)
Person	18.3 (59)	14.4 (19)
Sex	0.0 (0)	0.8 (1)
Drug	33.1 (107)	34.8 (46)
Property	37.2 (120)	40.9 (54)
Other	11.5 (37)	9.1 (12)
Degree of Current Offense	% (N)	% (N)
First	2.2 (7)	0.8 (1)
Second	7.2 (23)	9.8 (13)
Third	18.5 (59)	14.4 (19)
Fourth	34.8 (111)	36.4 (48)
Fifth	37.3 (119)	38.6 (51)
History of Alcohol Abuse (Yes/No)	66.6 (215)	62.1 (82)
History of Drug Abuse (Yes/No)	86.7 (280)	83.3 (110)
Mental Health Problems Identified (Yes/No)	44.3 (143)	52.3 (69)
	Mean (N)	Mean (N)
Risk Level*	66.63 (323)	69.59 (132)
Risk Category	% (N)	% (N)
Low	2.5 (8)	3.0 (4)
Low/Moderate	13.0 (42)	6.8 (9)
Moderate	58.8 (190)	56.8 (75)
High	25.7 (83)	33.3 (44)

*Difference Significant at $p < .05$

Table 66. Any Re-Arrest By Group Membership and Risk Level for Franklin County Community Based Correctional Facility

	All*	Risk Level			
		Low*	Low/Moderate	Moderate	High*
	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)
Experimental	51.3 (160)	28.6 (2)	23.1 (9)	49.5 (91)	70.7 (58)
Comparison	41.2 (148)	3.8 (1)	25.9 (14)	40.6 (56)	54.6 (77)

*Difference Significant at $p < .05$

Table 67. Incarceration for a Technical Violation By Group Membership and Risk Level for Franklin County Community Based Correctional Facility

	All*	Risk Level			
		Low	Low/Moderate	Moderate*	High
	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)
Experimental	16.7 (54)	0.0 (0)	4.8 (2)	16.3 (31)	25.3 (21)
Comparison	26.7 (102)	3.4 (1)	11.5 (7)	26.7 (39)	37.7 (55)

*Difference Significant at $p < .05$

Table 68. Incarceration for a New Offense By Group Membership and Risk Level for Franklin County Community Based Correctional Facility

	All*	Risk Level			
		Low	Low/Moderate	Moderate*	High
	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)
Experimental	15.8 (51)	0.0 (0)	2.4 (1)	17.9 (34)	19.3 (16)
Comparison	16.0 (61)	0.0 (0)	8.2 (5)	14.4 (21)	24.0 (35)

*Difference Significant at $p < .05$

Table 69. Any New Incarceration By Group Membership and Risk Level for Franklin County Community Based Correctional Facility

	All*	Risk Level			
		Low	Low/Moderate	Moderate	High*
	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)
Experimental	32.5 (105)	0.0 (0)	7.1 (3)	34.2 (65)	44.6 (37)
Comparison	42.7 (163)	3.4 (1)	19.7 (12)	41.1 (60)	61.6 (90)

*Difference Significant at $p < .05$

Eastern Ohio Correction Center (EOCC)

Eastern Ohio Correction Center (EOCC) is a community-based correctional facility located in Wintersville, Ohio and has been in operation for over 12 years. The facility has 50 full-time and 1 part-time staff, serving both male and female offenders on probation and under diversion. EOCC has a capacity of 100 and there were 104 (75% male and 25% female) offenders in the facility at the time of the site visit. The average length of stay in the facility is between 4 and 5 months. There is an additional period of aftercare that differs by individual and is provided by other community treatment agencies through a referral process. The following major services were offered by the facility in FY99:

- Substance abuse
- Employment
- Cognitive groups
- Education
- Mental health
- Financial
- Anger management
- Sex offender treatment
- Parenting skills

In addition to the core areas, EOCC also offers groups in life skills, recreation, health and hygiene, victim impact, domestic violence, and community service.

Changes since FY99:

The major programmatic change has been the re-design of the treatment plan to directly address needs factors as outlined by the Level of Service Inventory. According to the program director, other major changes to the facility have included the addition of a female facility and program (off-site), the increase in staff added to concentrate on group development. As well, EOCC has initiated the processes for both ODADAS and ACA accreditations.

EOCC residents participate in a several treatment programs based on individualized program plans, and staff indicated that the treatments are primarily based on cognitive and eclectic models. The facility uses the Level of Service Inventory to assess offender needs. Individualized program plans are based on this information.

Bivariate Analyses for EOCC

Table 70. Descriptive Statistics for Eastern Ohio Correctional Center by Group Membership

Variable	Experimental Group	Comparison Group
	Mean (N)	Mean (N)
Age (Average Age in Years)*	27.96 (197)	33.15 (82)
Race	% (N)	% (N)
Black	11.2 (22)	18.3 (15)
White	88.8 (175)	81.7 (67)
Sex	% (N)	% (N)
Male	100.0 (197)	100.0 (82)
Female	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)
Marital Status	% (N)	% (N)
Married	17.8 (35)	19.5 (16)
Never Married	66.0 (130)	67.1 (55)
Divorced/Separated/Widowed	16.2 (32)	13.4 (11)

*Difference Significant at $p < .05$

Table 71. Descriptive Statistics for Risk/Need Factors by Group Membership for Eastern Ohio Correctional Center

Variable	Experimental Group	Comparison Group
	Mean (N)	Mean (N)
Prior Arrests*	2.11 (1420)	3.08 (74)
	% (N)	% (N)
Prior Arrest (Yes/No)*	99.3 (141)	75.7 (56)
	Mean (N)	Mean (N)
Prior Incarcerations in State of Ohio*	0.11 (197)	0.62 (82)
	% (N)	% (N)
Prior Incarcerations (Yes/No)*	8.1 (16)	35.4 (29)
Employment Status at Arrest*	% (N)	% (N)
Employed	45.7 (90)	26.8 (22)
Unemployed	54.3 (107)	73.2 (60)
	Mean (N)	Mean (N)
Education Level (Highest Grade Completed)	10.8 (197)	10.6 (82)
	% (N)	% (N)
H.S. Graduate (Yes/No)	45.2 (89)	34.1 (28)
Offense Type*	% (N)	% (N)
Person	13.7 (27)	20.7 (17)
Sex	6.1 (12)	8.5 (7)
Drug	17.3 (34)	45.1 (37)
Property	42.1 (83)	14.6 (12)
Other	20.8 (41)	11.0 (9)
Degree of Current Offense*	% (N)	% (N)
First	0.0 (0)	1.2 (1)
Second	3.6 (7)	32.9 (27)
Third	14.3 (28)	15.9 (13)
Fourth	39.3 (77)	29.3 (24)
Fifth	42.9 (84)	20.7 (17)
History of Alcohol Abuse (Yes/No)	70.6 (139)	79.3 (65)
History of Drug Abuse (Yes/No)	64.5 (127)	72.0 (59)
Mental Health Problems Identified (Yes/No)*	53.8 (106)	32.9 (27)
	Mean (N)	Mean (N)
Risk Level*	58.44 (197)	62.63 (82)
	% (N)	% (N)
Risk Category	% (N)	% (N)
Low	11.7 (23)	23.9 (47)
Low/Moderate	23.9 (47)	28.0 (23)
Moderate	50.8 (100)	46.3 (38)
High	13.7 (27)	20.7 (17)

*Difference Significant at $p < .05$

Table 72. Programming Information for Eastern Ohio Correctional Center

Variable	Experimental Group
	% (N)
Academic Training Needed	42.6 (84)
Percent Participated In	61.9 (122)
Vocational Training Needed	47.7 (94)
Percent Participated In	40.1 (79)
Employment Assistance Needed	74.6 (147)
Percent Participated In	16.2 (32)
Assistance with Accommodations Needed	20.8 (41)
Percent Participated In	95.4 (188)
Substance Abuse Counseling Needed	68.5 (135)
Percent Participated In	86.8 (171)
Alcohol Abuse Counseling Needed	77.7 (153)
Percent Participated In	86.3 (170)
Mental Health Counseling Needed	53.8 (106)
Percent Participated In	74.6 (147)
Anger Management Counseling Needed	56.9 (112)
Percent Participated In	93.4 (184)
Sexual Behavior Counseling Needed	9.6 (19)
Percent Participated In	8.6 (17)
Termination Status*	% (N)
Successful	92.9 (183)
Unsuccessful	7.1 (14)

*Difference Significant at $p < .05$

Table 73. Descriptive Statistics By Termination Status for Eastern Ohio Correctional Center

Variable	Successful Terminations	Unsuccessful Terminations
	Mean (N)	Mean (N)
Age (Average Age in Years)	28.1 (183)	26.5 (14)
Race*	% (N)	% (N)
Black	8.2 (15)	50.0 (7)
White	91.8 (168)	50.0 (7)
Sex	% (N)	% (N)
Male	100.0 (183)	100.0 (14)
Female	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)
Marital Status	% (N)	% (N)
Married	17.5 (32)	21.4 (3)
Never Married	65.6 (120)	71.4 (10)
Divorced/Separated/Widowed	16.9 (31)	7.1 (1)

*Difference Significant at $p < .05$

Table 74. Descriptive Statistics for Risk/Need Factors by Termination Status for Eastern Ohio Correctional Center

Variable	Successful Terminations	Unsuccessful Terminations
	Mean (N)	Mean (N)
Prior Arrests*	1.95 (130)	3.75 (12)
	% (N)	% (N)
Prior Arrest (Yes/No)	99.2 (129)	100.0 (12)
	Mean (N)	Mean (N)
Prior Incarcerations in State of Ohio	0.11 (183)	0.01 (14)
	% (N)	% (N)
Prior Incarcerations (Yes/No)	8.2 (15)	7.1 (1)
Employment Status at Arrest	% (N)	% (N)
Employed	47.5 (87)	21.4 (3)
Unemployed	52.5 (96)	78.6 (11)
	Mean (N)	Mean (N)
Education Level (Highest Grade Completed)	10.83 (183)	10.71 (14)
	% (N)	% (N)
H.S. Graduate (Yes/No)	45.9 (84)	35.7 (5)
Offense Type	% (N)	% (N)
Person	14.8 (27)	0.0 (0)
Sex	6.6 (12)	0.0 (0)
Drug	15.3 (28)	42.9 (6)
Property	42.1 (77)	42.9 (6)
Other	21.3 (39)	14.3 (2)
Degree of Current Offense	% (N)	% (N)
First	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)
Second	3.8 (7)	0.0 (0)
Third	14.2 (26)	15.4 (2)
Fourth	40.4 (74)	23.1 (3)
Fifth	41.5 (76)	61.5 (8)
History of Alcohol Abuse (Yes/No)	71.6 (131)	57.1 (8)
History of Drug Abuse (Yes/No)	63.4 (116)	78.6 (11)
Mental Health Problems Identified (Yes/No)	54.6 (100)	42.9 (6)
	Mean (N)	Mean (N)
Risk Level*	57.73 (183)	67.71 (14)
	% (N)	% (N)
Risk Category	% (N)	% (N)
Low	12.6 (23)	0.0 (0)
Low/Moderate	24.0 (44)	21.4 (3)
Moderate	50.8 (93)	50.0 (7)
High	12.6 (23)	28.6 (4)

*Difference Significant at $p < .05$

Table 75. Any Re-Arrest By Group Membership and Risk Level for Eastern Ohio Correctional Center

	All	Risk Level			
		Low	Low/Moderate	Moderate	High
	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)
Experimental	43.0 (64)	26.7 (4)	35.1 (13)	48.6 (36)	47.8 (11)
Comparison	43.2 (32)	33.3 (1)	15.8 (3)	48.6 (17)	64.7 (11)

*Difference Significant at $p < .05$

Table 76. Incarceration for a Technical Violation By Group Membership and Risk Level for Eastern Ohio Correctional Center

	All	Risk Level			
		Low	Low/Moderate	Moderate	High
	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)
Experimental	14.2 (26)	4.3 (1)	6.8 (3)	20.4 (19)	13.0 (3)
Comparison	19.5 (16)	0.0 (0)	4.3 (1)	23.7 (9)	35.3 (6)

*Difference Significant at $p < .05$

Table 77. Incarceration for a New Offense By Group Membership and Risk Level for Eastern Ohio Correctional Center

	All	Risk Level			
		Low	Low/Moderate	Moderate	High
	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)
Experimental	8.7 (16)	8.7 (2)	2.3 (1)	8.6 (8)	21.7 (5)
Comparison	13.4 (11)	0.0 (0)	4.3 (1)	10.5 (4)	35.3 (6)

*Difference Significant at $p < .05$

Table 78. Any New Incarceration By Group Membership and Risk Level for Eastern Ohio Correctional Center

	All	Risk Level			
		Low	Low/Moderate	Moderate	High*
	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)
Experimental	23.0 (42)	13.0 (3)	9.1 (4)	29.0 (27)	34.8 (8)
Comparison	32.9 (27)	0.0 (0)	8.7 (2)	34.2 (13)	70.6 (12)

*Difference Significant at $p < .05$

Licking/Muskingum Community Correction Center (LMCCC)

The Licking/Muskingum Community Correction Center (LMCCC) is located in Newark, Ohio. LMCCC has been in operation since 1994, serving a capacity of 57 male felony offenders who qualify for community control. LMCCC has 28 full-time staff and 2 part-time staff. The average time in the program is between 4 and 6 months, with an additional 1-month of aftercare provided through LMCCC. This additional aftercare is provided by outside treatment providers. The following major services were offered by the facility in FY99:

- Substance abuse
- Employment
- Cognitive groups
- Education
- Mental health
- Financial

In addition to the core areas, LMCCC also offers groups in wellness, bible study, life skills, parenting, victim awareness, and nutrition.

Changes since FY99:

There have been no major programmatic changes at LMCCC since FY99. However, LMCCC has experienced funding cuts. The numbers of classes offered have been reduced and the length of stay for clients has been reduced from six months to four months.

The facility is based on a probation model with an emphasis on reality and cognitive therapies. The facility uses the Level of Service Inventory-Revised to assess offender risk and needs and the Substance Abuse Subtle Screening Inventory to assess offender substance use/abuse.

Bivariate Analyses for Licking/Muskingum

Table 79. Descriptive Statistics for Licking/Muskingum Community Based Correctional Facility by Group Membership

Variable	Experimental Group	Comparison Group
	Mean (N)	Mean (N)
Age (Average Age in Years)*	28.13 (143)	33.64 (132)
Race*	% (N)	% (N)
Black	11.9 (17)	22.0 (29)
White	88.1 (126)	78.0 (103)
Sex	% (N)	% (N)
Male	100.0 (143)	100.0 (132)
Female	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)
Marital Status	% (N)	% (N)
Married	16.1 (23)	21.2 (28)
Never Married	66.4 (95)	59.1 (78)
Divorced/Separated/Widowed	17.5 (25)	19.7 (26)

*Difference Significant at $p < .05$

Table 80. Descriptive Statistics for Risk/Need Factors by Group Membership for Licking/Muskingum Community Based Correctional Facility

Variable	Experimental Group	Comparison Group
	Mean (N)	Mean (N)
Prior Arrests*	3.58 (134)	4.21 (121)
	% (N)	% (N)
Prior Arrest (Yes/No)*	100.0 (134)	86.8 (105)
	Mean (N)	Mean (N)
Prior Incarcerations in State of Ohio*	0.39 (143)	0.86 (132)
	% (N)	% (N)
Prior Incarcerations (Yes/No)*	25.9 (37)	47.7 (63)
Employment Status at Arrest*	% (N)	% (N)
Employed	46.2 (66)	28.8 (38)
Unemployed	53.8 (77)	71.2 (94)
	Mean (N)	Mean (N)
Education Level (Highest Grade Completed)	10.73 (143)	10.65 (132)
	% (N)	% (N)
H.S. Graduate (Yes/No)	35.7 (51)	33.3 (44)
Offense Type*	% (N)	% (N)
Person	16.8 (24)	21.2 (28)
Sex	0.0 (0)	6.1 (8)
Drug	20.3 (29)	37.9 (50)
Property	44.8 (64)	25.8 (34)
Other	18.2 (26)	9.1 (12)
Degree of Current Offense*	% (N)	% (N)
First	3.5 (5)	8.3 (11)
Second	12.0 (17)	25.8 (34)
Third	14.1 (20)	22.0 (29)
Fourth	34.5 (49)	25.0 (33)
Fifth	35.9 (51)	18.9 (25)
History of Alcohol Abuse (Yes/No)*	90.2 (129)	77.3 (102)
History of Drug Abuse (Yes/No)	83.2 (119)	75.8 (100)
Mental Health Problems Identified (Yes/No)*	74.1 (106)	25.8 (34)
	Mean (N)	Mean (N)
Risk Level	67.39 (143)	65.83 (132)
Risk Category	% (N)	% (N)
Low	2.8 (4)	5.3 (7)
Low/Moderate	18.2 (26)	20.5 (27)
Moderate	48.3 (69)	43.9 (58)
High	30.8 (44)	30.3 (40)

*Difference Significant at $p < .05$

Table 81. Programming Information for Licking/Muskingum Community Based Correctional Facility

Variable	Experimental Group
	% (N)
Academic Training Needed	85.3 (122)
Percent Participated In	88.1 (126)
Vocational Training Needed	94.4 (135)
Percent Participated In	82.5 (118)
Employment Assistance Needed	99.3 (142)
Percent Participated In	80.4 (115)
Assistance with Accommodations Needed	87.4 (125)
Percent Participated In	67.1 (96)
Substance Abuse Counseling Needed	89.5 (128)
Percent Participated In	79.7 (114)
Alcohol Abuse Counseling Needed	93.7 (134)
Percent Participated In	81.1 (116)
Mental Health Counseling Needed	72.7 (104)
Percent Participated In	55.9 (80)
Anger Management Counseling Needed	65.7 (94)
Percent Participated In	60.8 (87)
Sexual Behavior Counseling Needed	2.8 (4)
Percent Participated In	0.7 (1)
Termination Status	% (N)
Successful	58.0 (83)
Unsuccessful	42.0 (60)

*Difference Significant at $p < .05$

Table 82. Descriptive Statistics By Termination Status for Licking/Muskingum Community Based Correctional Facility

Variable	Successful Terminations	Unsuccessful Terminations
	Mean (N)	Mean (N)
Age (Average Age in Years)*	29.6 (83)	26.2 (60)
Race	% (N)	% (N)
Black	9.6 (8)	15.0 (9)
White	90.4 (75)	85.0 (51)
Sex	% (N)	% (N)
Male	100.0 (83)	100.0 (60)
Female	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)
Marital Status*	% (N)	% (N)
Married	20.5 (17)	10.0 (6)
Never Married	56.6 (47)	80.0 (48)
Divorced/Separated/Widowed	22.9 (19)	10.0 (6)

*Difference Significant at $p < .05$

Table 83. Descriptive Statistics for Risk/Need Factors by Termination Status for Licking/Muskingum Community Based Correctional Facility

Variable	Successful Terminations	Unsuccessful Terminations
	Mean (N)	Mean (N)
Prior Arrests	3.82 (78)	3.25 (56)
	% (N)	% (N)
Prior Arrest (Yes/No)	100.0 (78)	100.0 (56)
	Mean (N)	Mean (N)
Prior Incarcerations in State of Ohio*	0.54 (83)	0.18 (60)
	% (N)	% (N)
Prior Incarcerations (Yes/No)*	34.9 (29)	13.3 (8)
Employment Status at Arrest*	% (N)	% (N)
Employed	53.0 (44)	36.7 (22)
Unemployed	47.0 (39)	63.3 (38)
	Mean (N)	Mean (N)
Education Level (Highest Grade Completed)	10.83 (83)	10.58 (60)
	% (N)	% (N)
H.S. Graduate (Yes/No)	39.8 (33)	30.0 (18)
Offense Type*	% (N)	% (N)
Person	13.3 (11)	21.7 (13)
Sex	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)
Drug	26.5 (22)	11.7 (7)
Property	37.3 (31)	55.0 (33)
Other	22.9 (19)	11.7 (7)
Degree of Current Offense	% (N)	% (N)
First	6.1 (5)	0.0 (0)
Second	12.2 (10)	11.7 (7)
Third	13.4 (11)	15.0 (9)
Fourth	39.0 (32)	28.3 (17)
Fifth	29.3 (24)	45.0 (27)
History of Alcohol Abuse (Yes/No)	94.0 (78)	85.0 (51)
History of Drug Abuse (Yes/No)	85.5 (71)	80.0 (48)
Mental Health Problems Identified (Yes/No)	73.5 (61)	75.0 (45)
	Mean (N)	Mean (N)
Risk Level	65.92 (83)	69.43 (60)
Risk Category	% (N)	% (N)
Low	2.4 (2)	3.3 (2)
Low/Moderate	20.5 (17)	15.0 (9)
Moderate	50.6 (42)	45.0 (27)
High	26.5 (22)	36.7 (22)

*Difference Significant at $p < .05$

Table 84. Any Re-Arrest By Group Membership and Risk Level for Licking/Muskingum Community Based Correctional Facility

	All	Risk Level			
		Low	Low/Moderate	Moderate	High
	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)
Experimental	43.8 (35)	100.0 (1)	50.0 (8)	35.7 (15)	52.4 (11)
Comparison	40.0 (50)	20.0 (1)	28.0 (7)	39.3 (22)	51.3 (20)

*Difference Significant at $p < .05$

Table 85. Incarceration for a Technical Violation By Group Membership and Risk Level for Licking/Muskingum Community Based Correctional Facility

	All	Risk Level			
		Low	Low/Moderate	Moderate	High
	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)
Experimental	31.3 (26)	0.0 (0)	23.5 (4)	28.6 (12)	45.5 (10)
Comparison	28.8 (28)	14.3 (1)	11.1 (3)	31.0 (18)	40.0 (16)

*Difference Significant at $p < .05$

Table 86. Incarceration for a New Offense By Group Membership and Risk Level for Licking/Muskingum Community Based Correctional Facility

	All	Risk Level			
		Low	Low/Moderate*	Moderate	High
	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)
Experimental	10.8 (9)	0.0 (0)	17.6 (3)	9.5 (4)	9.1 (2)
Comparison	12.1 (16)	14.3 (1)	3.7 (1)	6.9 (4)	25.0 (10)

*Difference Significant at $p < .05$

Table 87. Any New Incarceration By Group Membership and Risk Level for Licking/Muskingum Community Based Correctional Facility

	All	Risk Level			
		Low	Low/Moderate*	Moderate	High
	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)
Experimental	42.2 (35)	0.0 (0)	41.2 (7)	38.1 (16)	54.5 (12)
Comparison	40.9 (54)	28.6 (2)	14.8 (4)	37.9 (22)	65.0 (26)

*Difference Significant at $p < .05$

Lucas County Correctional Treatment Facility (CTF)

The Lucas County Correctional Treatment Facility (CTF) is located in Toledo, Ohio and has been in operation since 1994. The facility has 55 full-time staff and serves both male and female offenders. CTF has a capacity of 125 (100 male, 25 female) and there were 125 offenders in the facility at the time of the site visit. The average time in the program is 3 to 4 months, with an additional aftercare. The following major services were offered by the facility in FY99:

- Substance abuse
- Employment
- Cognitive groups
- Education
- Mental health
- Financial
- Sex offender
- Anger management
- Domestic violence

Changes since FY99:

There have been no major programmatic changes since FY99 as CTF continues to offer an array of services. According to the program director, changes to the program since FY99 have been the closing of one unit due to funding restraints. Changes have also taken place in that there has been an increased focus on aftercare through the offender stabilization project that emphasizes halfway house placements and community involvement with treatment.

The facility uses the Level of Service Inventory-Revised to assess offender risk and needs and the Addiction Severity Index to assess offender substance use/abuse. Exclusionary criteria include a history of violence and medical and mental instability.

Bivariate Analyses for Lucas CTF

Table 88. Descriptive Statistics for Lucas County Correctional Treatment Facility by Group Membership

Variable	Experimental Group	Comparison Group
	Mean (N)	Mean (N)
Age (Average Age in Years)*	30.71 (348)	34.01 (248)
Race	% (N)	% (N)
Black	55.5 (191)	56.0 (139)
White	44.5 (153)	44.0 (109)
Sex*	% (N)	% (N)
Male	80.7 (281)	96.4 (239)
Female	19.3 (67)	3.6 (9)
Marital Status*	% (N)	% (N)
Married	9.5 (33)	16.1 (40)
Never Married	69.0 (240)	68.1 (169)
Divorced/Separated/Widowed	21.6 (75)	15.7 (39)

*Difference Significant at $p < .05$

Table 89. Descriptive Statistics for Risk/Need Factors by Group Membership for Lucas County Correctional Treatment Facility

Variable	Experimental Group	Comparison Group
	Mean (N)	Mean (N)
Prior Arrests	3.59 (311)	3.72 (238)
	% (N)	% (N)
Prior Arrest (Yes/No)*	99.0 (308)	84.0 (200)
	Mean (N)	Mean (N)
Prior Incarcerations in State of Ohio*	0.41 (348)	1.14 (248)
	% (N)	% (N)
Prior Incarcerations (Yes/No)*	24.4 (85)	53.6 (133)
Employment Status at Arrest*	% (N)	% (N)
Employed	45.7 (159)	27.4 (68)
Unemployed	54.3 (189)	72.6 (180)
	Mean (N)	Mean (N)
Education Level (Highest Grade Completed)	11.0 (348)	10.83 (248)
	% (N)	% (N)
H.S. Graduate (Yes/No)	36.2 (126)	33.9 (84)
Offense Type*	% (N)	% (N)
Person	16.7 (58)	34.7 (86)
Sex	1.7 (6)	6.5 (16)
Drug	31.0 (108)	36.7 (91)
Property	32.8 (114)	15.3 (38)
Other	17.8 (62)	6.9 (17)
Degree of Current Offense*	% (N)	% (N)
First	0.6 (2)	9.7 (24)
Second	5.3 (18)	33.1 (82)
Third	16.0 (54)	21.0 (52)
Fourth	38.0 (129)	25.4 (63)
Fifth	40.1 (135)	10.9 (27)
History of Alcohol Abuse (Yes/No)*	54.9 (191)	75.0 (186)
History of Drug Abuse (Yes/No)*	73.6 (256)	83.1 (206)
Mental Health Problems Identified (Yes/No)*	77.6 (270)	26.6 (66)
	Mean (N)	Mean (N)
Risk Level	64.24 (348)	66.83 (248)
Risk Category*	% (N)	% (N)
Low	2.0 (7)	5.2 (13)
Low/Moderate	21.3 (74)	18.5 (46)
Moderate	54.0 (188)	47.6 (118)
High	22.7 (79)	28.6 (71)

*Difference Significant at $p < .05$

Table 90. Programming Information for Lucas County Correctional Treatment Facility

Variable	Experimental Group
	% (N)
Academic Training Needed	64.1 (223)
Percent Participated In	81.9 (285)
Vocational Training Needed	92.2 (321)
Percent Participated In	95.1 (331)
Employment Assistance Needed	76.1 (265)
Percent Participated In	34.5 (120)
Assistance with Accommodations Needed	22.4 (78)
Percent Participated In	72.7 (253)
Substance Abuse Counseling Needed	79.6 (277)
Percent Participated In	95.4 (332)
Alcohol Abuse Counseling Needed	64.4 (224)
Percent Participated In	95.1 (331)
Mental Health Counseling Needed	77.6 (270)
Percent Participated In	46.0 (160)
Anger Management Counseling Needed	75.3 (262)
Percent Participated In	58.9 (205)
Sexual Behavior Counseling Needed	3.7 (13)
Percent Participated In	1.7 (6)
Termination Status	% (N)
Successful	81.3 (283)
Unsuccessful	18.7 (65)

*Difference Significant at $p < .05$

Table 91. Descriptive Statistics By Termination Status for Lucas County Correctional Treatment Facility

Variable	Successful Terminations	Unsuccessful Terminations
	Mean (N)	Mean (N)
Age (Average Age in Years)	30.7 (283)	30.8 (65)
Race	% (N)	% (N)
Black	53.6 (150)	64.1 (41)
White	46.4 (130)	35.9 (23)
Sex	% (N)	% (N)
Male	79.9 (226)	84.6 (55)
Female	20.1 (57)	15.4 (10)
Marital Status	% (N)	% (N)
Married	10.6 (30)	4.6 (3)
Never Married	68.6 (194)	70.8 (46)
Divorced/Separated/Widowed	20.8 (59)	24.6 (16)

*Difference Significant at $p < .05$

Table 92. Descriptive Statistics for Risk/Need Factors by Termination Status for Lucas County Correctional Treatment Facility

Variable	Successful Terminations	Unsuccessful Terminations
	Mean (N)	Mean (N)
Prior Arrests	3.52 (254)	3.88 (57)
	% (N)	% (N)
Prior Arrest (Yes/No)	98.8 (251)	100.0 (57)
	Mean (N)	Mean (N)
Prior Incarcerations in State of Ohio	0.41 (283)	0.40 (65)
	% (N)	% (N)
Prior Incarcerations (Yes/No)	24.4 (69)	24.6 (16)
Employment Status at Arrest	% (N)	% (N)
Employed	47.7 (135)	52.3 (148)
Unemployed	36.9 (24)	63.1 (41)
	Mean (N)	Mean (N)
Education Level (Highest Grade Completed)	10.92 (283)	11.12 (65)
	% (N)	% (N)
H.S. Graduate (Yes/No)	34.3 (97)	44.6 (29)
Offense Type	% (N)	% (N)
Person	16.6 (47)	16.9 (11)
Sex	1.8 (5)	1.5 (1)
Drug	32.9 (93)	23.1 (15)
Property	31.4 (89)	38.5 (25)
Other	17.3 (49)	20.0 (13)
Degree of Current Offense	% (N)	% (N)
First	0.4 (1)	1.5 (1)
Second	5.9 (16)	3.1 (2)
Third	16.5 (45)	13.8 (9)
Fourth	37.5 (102)	40.0 (26)
Fifth	39.7 (108)	41.5 (27)
History of Alcohol Abuse (Yes/No)	54.1 (153)	58.5 (38)
History of Drug Abuse (Yes/No)	74.2 (210)	70.8 (46)
Mental Health Problems Identified (Yes/No)	76.0 (215)	84.6 (55)
	Mean (N)	Mean (N)
Risk Level	64.23 (283)	64.26 (65)
Risk Category	% (N)	% (N)
Low	2.1 (6)	1.5 (1)
Low/Moderate	20.8 (59)	23.1 (15)
Moderate	54.1 (153)	53.8 (35)
High	23.0 (65)	23.0 (65)

*Difference Significant at $p < .05$

Table 93. Any Re-Arrest By Group Membership and Risk Level for Lucas County Correctional Treatment Facility

	All*	Risk Level			
		Low	Low/Moderate	Moderate*	High
	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)
Experimental	46.4 (124)	50.0 (3)	22.4 (11)	47.6 (70)	61.5 (40)
Comparison	35.3 (85)	45.5 (5)	15.9 (7)	32.8 (38)	50.0 (35)

*Difference Significant at $p < .05$

Table 94. Incarceration for a Technical Violation By Group Membership and Risk Level for Lucas County Correctional Treatment Facility

	All	Risk Level			
		Low*	Low/Moderate	Moderate	High
	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)
Experimental	25.4 (72)	33.3 (2)	15.3 (9)	26.8 (41)	30.8 (20)
Comparison	28.6 (71)	0.0 (0)	8.7 (4)	30.5 (38)	43.7 (31)

*Difference Significant at $p < .05$

Table 95. Incarceration for a New Offense By Group Membership and Risk Level for Lucas County Correctional Treatment Facility

	All*	Risk Level			
		Low	Low/Moderate	Moderate*	High
	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)
Experimental	11.7 (33)	0.0 (0)	1.7 (1)	13.1 (20)	18.5 (12)
Comparison	11.7 (29)	23.1 (3)	6.5 (3)	8.5 (10)	18.3 (13)

*Difference Significant at $p < .05$

Table 96. Any New Incarceration By Group Membership and Risk Level for Lucas County Correctional Treatment Facility

	All	Risk Level			
		Low	Low/Moderate	Moderate	High
	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)
Experimental	37.1 (105)	33.3 (2)	16.9 (10)	39.9 (61)	49.2 (32)
Comparison	40.3 (100)	23.1 (3)	15.2 (7)	39.0 (46)	62.0 (44)

*Difference Significant at $p < .05$

MonDay Community Correctional Institution

MonDay CBCF is located in Dayton and has been in operation since 1978. The facility has 77 full-time staff and serves both male and female offenders. MonDay CBCF has a capacity of 160 (100 male and 60 female) and there were 162 offenders in the facility at the time of the site visit. The average time in the program is 4 months. The following major services were offered by the facility in FY99:

- Substance abuse
- Employment
- Work release
- Cognitive groups
- Education
- Mental health
- Financial
- Anger management
- Community service
- Criminal thinking

Changes since FY99:

Since FY99, MonDay has added services for its dual diagnosed population, obtained ACA reaccreditation, ODADAS reaccreditation, constructed a 40,000 square foot addition, hired a chaplain, began hiring former residents as staff, implemented a vocational education program, and participated in three research studies.

Bivariate Analyses for MonDay

Table 97. Descriptive Statistics for MonDay Community Correctional Institution by Group Membership

Variable	Experimental Group	Comparison Group
	Mean (N)	Mean (N)
Age (Average Age in Years)*	28.90 (377)	33.94 (303)
Race	% (N)	% (N)
Black	52.9 (199)	53.5 (162)
White	47.1 (177)	46.5 (141)
Sex*	% (N)	% (N)
Male	67.9 (256)	80.9 (245)
Female	32.1 (121)	19.1 (58)
Marital Status*	% (N)	% (N)
Married	10.3 (39)	17.5 (53)
Never Married	67.9 (256)	61.1 (185)
Divorced/Separated/Widowed	21.8 (82)	21.5 (65)

*Difference Significant at $p < .05$

Table 98. Descriptive Statistics for Risk/Need Factors by Group Membership for MonDay Community Correctional Institution

Variable	Experimental Group	Comparison Group
	Mean (N)	Mean (N)
Prior Arrests	4.26 (347)	4.81 (290)
	% (N)	% (N)
Prior Arrest (Yes/No)*	100.0 (347)	85.9 (249)
	Mean (N)	Mean (N)
Prior Incarcerations in State of Ohio*	0.31 (377)	0.78 (303)
	% (N)	% (N)
Prior Incarcerations (Yes/No)*	18.6 (70)	45.5 (138)
Employment Status at Arrest*	% (N)	% (N)
Employed	43.5 (164)	26.7 (81)
Unemployed	56.5 (213)	73.3 (222)
	Mean (N)	Mean (N)
Education Level (Highest Grade Completed)	10.37 (377)	10.59 (303)
	% (N)	% (N)
H.S. Graduate (Yes/No)*	24.1 (91)	31.7 (96)
Offense Type*	% (N)	% (N)
Person	4.2 (16)	31.0 (94)
Sex	0.8 (3)	5.0 (15)
Drug	47.7 (180)	28.4 (86)
Property	42.7 (161)	27.1 (82)
Other	4.5 (17)	8.6 (26)
Degree of Current Offense*	% (N)	% (N)
First	1.3 (5)	12.5 (38)
Second	5.3 (20)	32.7 (99)
Third	11.9 (45)	15.5 (47)
Fourth	44.0 (166)	21.1 (64)
Fifth	37.4 (141)	18.2 (55)
History of Alcohol Abuse (Yes/No)*	62.9 (237)	73.3 (222)
History of Drug Abuse (Yes/No)*	89.9 (339)	80.5 (244)
Mental Health Problems Identified (Yes/No)*	38.7 (146)	30.4 (92)
	Mean (N)	Mean (N)
Risk Level*	69.30 (377)	62.22 (303)
	% (N)	% (N)
Risk Category*	% (N)	% (N)
Low	1.9 (7)	8.9 (27)
Low/Moderate	12.2 (46)	20.1 (61)
Moderate	47.5 (179)	41.6 (126)
High	38.5 (145)	29.4 (89)

*Difference Significant at $p < .05$

Table 99. Programming Information for MonDay Community Correctional Institution

Variable	Experimental Group
	% (N)
Academic Training Needed	69.8 (263)
Percent Participated In	80.4 (303)
Vocational Training Needed	62.1 (234)
Percent Participated In	59.2 (223)
Employment Assistance Needed	78.0 (294)
Percent Participated In	49.1 (185)
Assistance with Accommodations Needed	26.5 (100)
Percent Participated In	78.0 (294)
Substance Abuse Counseling Needed	90.7 (342)
Percent Participated In	96.3 (363)
Alcohol Abuse Counseling Needed	70.8 (267)
Percent Participated In	22.0 (83)
Mental Health Counseling Needed	37.9 (143)
Percent Participated In	44.8 (169)
Anger Management Counseling Needed	44.0 (166)
Percent Participated In	38.7 (146)
Sexual Behavior Counseling Needed	2.7 (10)
Percent Participated In	0.5 (2)
Termination Status	% (N)
Successful	90.2 (340)
Unsuccessful	9.8 (37)

*Difference Significant at $p < .05$

Table 100. Descriptive Statistics By Termination Status for MonDay Community Correctional Institution

Variable	Successful Terminations	Unsuccessful Terminations
	Mean (N)	Mean (N)
Age (Average Age in Years)	29.0 (340)	27.7 (37)
Race	% (N)	% (N)
Black	53.4 (181)	48.6 (18)
White	46.6 (158)	51.4 (19)
Sex	% (N)	% (N)
Male	67.9 (231)	67.6 (25)
Female	32.1 (109)	32.4 (12)
Marital Status	% (N)	% (N)
Married	9.1 (31)	21.6 (8)
Never Married	69.1 (235)	56.8 (21)
Divorced/Separated/Widowed	21.8 (74)	21.6 (8)

*Difference Significant at $p < .05$

Table 101. Descriptive Statistics for Risk/Need Factors by Termination Status for MonDay Community Correctional Institution

Variable	Successful Terminations	Unsuccessful Terminations
	Mean (N)	Mean (N)
Prior Arrests	4.33 (311)	3.67 (36)
	% (N)	% (N)
Prior Arrest (Yes/No)	100.0 (311)	100.0 (36)
	Mean (N)	Mean (N)
Prior Incarcerations in State of Ohio	0.31 (340)	0.30 (37)
	% (N)	% (N)
Prior Incarcerations (Yes/No)	17.9 (61)	24.3 (9)
Employment Status at Arrest	% (N)	% (N)
Employed	44.1 (150)	37.8 (14)
Unemployed	55.9 (190)	62.2 (23)
	Mean (N)	Mean (N)
Education Level (Highest Grade Completed)	10.38 (340)	10.24 (37)
	% (N)	% (N)
H.S. Graduate (Yes/No)	24.4 (83)	21.6 (8)
Offense Type	% (N)	% (N)
Person	3.8 (13)	8.1 (3)
Sex	0.9 (3)	0.0 (0)
Drug	48.5 (165)	40.5 (15)
Property	42.1 (143)	48.6 (18)
Other	4.7 (16)	2.7 (1)
Degree of Current Offense	% (N)	% (N)
First	1.5 (5)	0.0 (0)
Second	5.9 (20)	0.0 (0)
Third	10.6 (36)	24.3 (9)
Fourth	43.8 (149)	45.9 (17)
Fifth	38.2 (130)	29.7 (11)
History of Alcohol Abuse (Yes/No)	63.2 (215)	59.5 (22)
History of Drug Abuse (Yes/No)	90.6 (308)	83.8 (31)
Mental Health Problems Identified (Yes/No)*	36.5 (124)	59.5 (22)
	Mean (N)	Mean (N)
Risk Level	69.13 (340)	70.81 (370)
Risk Category	% (N)	% (N)
Low	2.1 (7)	0.0 (0)
Low/Moderate	11.8 (40)	16.2 (6)
Moderate	48.2 (164)	40.5 (15)
High	37.9 (129)	43.2 (16)

*Difference Significant at $p < .05$

Table 102. Any Re-Arrest By Group Membership and Risk Level for MonDay Community Correctional Institution

	All*	Risk Level			
		Low	Low/Moderate	Moderate*	High
	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)
Experimental	61.1 (196)	28.6 (2)	44.1 (15)	61.7 (95)	66.7 (84)
Comparison	44.3 (120)	12.5 (3)	29.8 (17)	46.7 (57)	59.1 (52)

*Difference Significant at $p < .05$

Table 103. Incarceration for a Technical Violation By Group Membership and Risk Level for MonDay Community Correctional Institution

	All	Risk Level			
		Low*	Low/Moderate	Moderate	High
	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)
Experimental	17.4 (59)	14.3 (1)	10.0 (4)	16.5 (27)	20.9 (27)
Comparison	14.5 (44)	0.0 (0)	6.6 (4)	17.5 (22)	20.2 (18)

*Difference Significant at $p < .05$

Table 104. Incarceration for a New Offense By Group Membership and Risk Level for MonDay Community Correctional Institution

	All*	Risk Level			
		Low	Low/Moderate	Moderate	High
	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)
Experimental	19.1 (65)	0.0 (0)	12.5 (5)	16.5 (27)	25.6 (33)
Comparison	12.5 (38)	3.7 (1)	8.2 (5)	13.5 (17)	16.9 (15)

*Difference Significant at $p < .05$

Table 105. Any New Incarceration By Group Membership and Risk Level for MonDay Community Correctional Institution

	All*	Risk Level			
		Low	Low/Moderate	Moderate	High
	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)
Experimental	36.5 (124)	14.3 (1)	22.5 (9)	32.9 (54)	46.5 (60)
Comparison	27.1 (82)	3.7 (1)	14.8 (9)	31.0 (39)	37.1 (33)

*Difference Significant at $p < .05$

Stark Regional Community Correction Center (SRCCC)

Stark Regional Community Correction Center (SRCCC) is a community-based correctional facility located in Louisville, Ohio and has been in operation since 1992. The facility has 57 full-time, 5 part-time, and 4 contract staff who serve both male and female offenders on probation. SRCCC has a capacity of 105 and there were 105 (80% male and 20% female) offenders in the facility at the time of the site visit. The average length of stay in the facility is 4 months. There is an additional 12-week period of aftercare that is provided by a special transitional service located at SRCCC. The following major services were offered by the facility in FY99:

- Substance abuse
- Employment
- Cognitive groups
- Education
- Mental health
- Financial
- Anger management
- Sex offender treatment

In addition to the core areas, SRCCC also offers groups in life skills, constructive leisure and recreation, health and hygiene, human sexuality education, victim impact, domestic violence, relaxation and stress management, parent development, religious services, and community service.

Changes since FY99:

The major programmatic changes have been the addition of gender-specific programming, expanded 12-step and other chemical dependency groups, increased staff-client matching and the addition of on-site domestic violence survivor services. According to the program director, other major changes to the facility have included increased residency and the addition of 21 female beds, on-site physician services, the addition of a Clinical Supervisor to the organizational layout, and the increased number of licensed/credential/degreed staff.

SRCCC residents participate in a several treatment programs based in individualized program plans, and staff indicated that the treatments are primarily based on cognitive and disease models. The facility uses the Level of Service Inventory and several other assessment tools to assess offender needs. Individualized program plans are based on this information.

The agency is certified by the Ohio Department of Alcohol and Drug Addiction Services to provide intensive outpatient alcohol and other drug treatment and is accredited by the American Correctional Association.

Bivariate Analyses for SRCCC

Table 106. Descriptive Statistics for Stark Regional Community Correction Center by Group Membership

Variable	Experimental Group	Comparison Group
	Mean (N)	Mean (N)
Age (Average Age in Years)*	28.98 (240)	32.89 (203)
Race*	% (N)	% (N)
Black	36.8 (88)	47.8 (96)
White	63.2 (151)	52.2 (105)
Sex	% (N)	% (N)
Male	100.0 (240)	100.0 (203)
Female	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)
Marital Status	% (N)	% (N)
Married	18.3 (44)	16.7 (34)
Never Married	61.3 (147)	67.0 (136)
Divorced/Separated/Widowed	20.4 (49)	16.3 (33)

*Difference Significant at $p < .05$

Table 107. Descriptive Statistics for Risk/Need Factors by Group Membership for Stark Regional Community Correction Center

Variable	Experimental Group	Comparison Group
	Mean (N)	Mean (N)
Prior Arrests*	2.67 (233)	3.81 (193)
	% (N)	% (N)
Prior Arrest (Yes/No)*	100.0 (233)	82.4 (159)
	Mean (N)	Mean (N)
Prior Incarcerations in State of Ohio*	0.20 (240)	1.00 (203)
	% (N)	% (N)
Prior Incarcerations (Yes/No)*	16.7 (40)	49.8 (101)
Employment Status at Arrest*	% (N)	% (N)
Employed	43.8 (105)	31.5 (640)
Unemployed	56.3 (135)	68.5 (139)
	Mean (N)	Mean (N)
Education Level (Highest Grade Completed)*	11.0 (240)	10.62 (203)
	% (N)	% (N)
H.S. Graduate (Yes/No)*	44.2 (106)	31.5 (64)
Offense Type	% (N)	% (N)
Person	21.3 (51)	28.6 (58)
Sex	4.6 (11)	6.4 (13)
Drug	29.2 (70)	29.9 (60)
Property	27.5 (66)	26.6 (54)
Other	17.5 (42)	8.9 (18)
Degree of Current Offense*	% (N)	% (N)
First	1.3 (3)	9.4 (19)
Second	9.2 (22)	22.2 (45)
Third	11.3 (27)	12.8 (26)
Fourth	35.4 (85)	29.6 (60)
Fifth		
History of Alcohol Abuse (Yes/No)*	85.4 (205)	77.8 (158)
History of Drug Abuse (Yes/No)*	86.3 (207)	75.4 (153)
Mental Health Problems Identified (Yes/No)*	7.5 (18)	22.7 (46)
	Mean (N)	Mean (N)
Risk Level*	63.10 (240)	67.42 (203)
Risk Category*	% (N)	% (N)
Low	3.3 (8)	3.4 (7)
Low/Moderate	22.1 (53)	21.7 (44)
Moderate	55.8 (134)	40.4 (820)
High	18.8 (45)	34.5 (70)

*Difference Significant at $p < .05$

Table 108. Programming Information for Stark Regional Community Correction Center

Variable	Experimental Group
	% (N)
Academic Training Needed	46.7 (112)
Percent Participated In	44.6 (107)
Vocational Training Needed	1.7 (4)
Percent Participated In	1.7 (4)
Employment Assistance Needed	94.2 (226)
Percent Participated In	82.9 (199)
Assistance with Accommodations Needed	96.7 (232)
Percent Participated In	91.3 (219)
Substance Abuse Counseling Needed	89.6 (215)
Percent Participated In	82.1 (197)
Alcohol Abuse Counseling Needed	87.5 (210)
Percent Participated In	82.1 (197)
Mental Health Counseling Needed	3.8 (9)
Percent Participated In	5.8 (14)
Anger Management Counseling Needed	77.1 (185)
Percent Participated In	49.2 (118)
Sexual Behavior Counseling Needed	4.6 (11)
Percent Participated In	4.2 (10)
Termination Status	% (N)
Successful	91.3 (219)
Unsuccessful	8.8 (21)

*Difference Significant at $p < .05$

Table 109. Descriptive Statistics By Termination Status for Stark Regional Community Correction Center

Variable	Successful Terminations	Unsuccessful Terminations
	Mean (N)	Mean (N)
Age (Average Age in Years)*	29.4 (219)	24.6 (21)
Race*	% (N)	% (N)
Black	33.9 (74)	66.7 (14)
White	66.1 (14)	33.3 (7)
Sex	% (N)	% (N)
Male	100.0 (219)	100.0 (21)
Female	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)
Marital Status*	% (N)	% (N)
Married	18.3 (40)	19.0 (4)
Never Married	59.8 (131)	76.2 (16)
Divorced/Separated/Widowed	21.9 (48)	4.8 (1)

*Difference Significant at $p < .05$

Table 110. Descriptive Statistics for Risk/Need Factors by Termination Status for Stark Regional Community Correction Center

Variable	Successful Terminations	Unsuccessful Terminations
	Mean (N)	Mean (N)
Prior Arrests	2.70 (213)	2.35 (20)
	% (N)	% (N)
Prior Arrest (Yes/No)	100.0 (213)	100.0 (20)
	Mean (N)	Mean (N)
Prior Incarcerations in State of Ohio	0.19 (219)	0.24 (21)
	% (N)	% (N)
Prior Incarcerations (Yes/No)	16.4 (36)	19.0 (4)
Employment Status at Arrest	% (N)	% (N)
Employed	45.2 (99)	28.6 (6)
Unemployed	54.8 (120)	71.4 (15)
	Mean (N)	Mean (N)
Education Level (Highest Grade Completed)	11.01 (219)	10.48 (21)
	% (N)	% (N)
H.S. Graduate (Yes/No)	45.7 (100)	28.6 (6)
Offense Type	% (N)	% (N)
Person	21.5 (47)	19.0 (4)
Sex	5.0 (11)	0.0 (0)
Drug	28.8 (63)	33.3 (7)
Property	27.9 (61)	23.8 (5)
Other	16.9 (37)	23.8 (5)
Degree of Current Offense	% (N)	% (N)
First	1.4 (3)	0.0 (0)
Second	10.0 (22)	0.0 (0)
Third	11.0 (24)	14.3 (3)
Fourth	36.1 (79)	28.6 (6)
Fifth	41.6 (91)	57.1 (12)
History of Alcohol Abuse (Yes/No)	85.8 (188)	81.0 (17)
History of Drug Abuse (Yes/No)	85.8 (188)	90.5 (19)
Mental Health Problems Identified (Yes/No)	7.3 (16)	9.5 (2)
	Mean (N)	Mean (N)
Risk Level*	62.45 (2190)	66.67 (21)
Risk Category*	% (N)	% (N)
Low	3.7 (8)	0.0 (0)
Low/Moderate	22.8 (50)	14.3 (3)
Moderate	57.1 (125)	42.9 (9)
High	16.4 (36)	42.9 (9)

*Difference Significant at $p < .05$

Table 111. Any Re-Arrest By Group Membership and Risk Level for Stark Regional Community Correction Center

	All	Risk Level			
		Low	Low/Moderate	Moderate	High
	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)
Experimental	45.6 (98)	25.0 (2)	34.7 (17)	49.2 (60)	52.8 (19)
Comparison	52.6 (103)	0.0 (0)	39.5 (17)	46.2 (36)	71.4 (50)

*Difference Significant at $p < .05$

Table 112. Incarceration for a Technical Violation By Group Membership and Risk Level for Stark Regional Community Correction Center

	All	Risk Level			
		Low	Low/Moderate	Moderate*	High
	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)
Experimental	29.2 (64)	0.0 (0)	20.0 (10)	34.4 (43)	30.6 (11)
Comparison	23.2 (47)	0.0 (0)	20.5 (9)	17.1 (14)	34.3 (24)

*Difference Significant at $p < .05$

Table 113. Incarceration for a New Offense By Group Membership and Risk Level for Stark Regional Community Correction Center

	All*	Risk Level			
		Low	Low/Moderate*	Moderate*	High*
	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)
Experimental	10.0 (22)	12.5 (1)	2.0 (1)	10.4 (13)	19.4 (7)
Comparison	25.1 (51)	0.0 (0)	18.2 (8)	22.0 (18)	35.7 (25)

*Difference Significant at $p < .05$

Table 114. Any New Incarceration By Group Membership and Risk Level for Stark Regional Community Correction Center

	All	Risk Level			
		Low	Low/Moderate	Moderate	High*
	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)
Experimental	39.3 (86)	12.5 (1)	22.0 (11)	4.8 (56)	50.0 (18)
Comparison	48.3 (98)	0.0 (0)	38.6 (17)	39.0 (32)	70.0 (49)

*Difference Significant at $p < .05$

Community Correctional Center (Butler, Clermont, and Warren)

Community Correctional Center (Butler, Clermont, and Warren) is located in Lebanon, Ohio and has been in operation since 1995. The facility has 53 full-time staff and serves male offenders. Community Correctional Center (Butler, Clermont, and Warren) has a capacity of 100 and there were 103 offenders in the facility at the time of the site visit. The average time in the program is 135 days. The following major services were offered by the facility in FY99:

- Cognitive groups
- Substance abuse
- Employment
- Education
- Sex offender programming
- Anger management
- Life skills
- AA/NA
- Stress management
- Domestic violence
- Self help

In addition to the core areas, Community Correctional Center (Butler, Clermont, and Warren) also offers groups in family dynamics, health and wellness, and stress management.

Changes since FY99:

The major programmatic changes have been the addition of a cognitive curriculum (Corrective Thinking) that is the focus of all treatment services. There has been a greater focus on family with enhanced groups for offenders as well as the opportunity for individual family sessions. A curriculum-based approach has been dramatically intensified since FY 99.

The other major change is the elimination of work release during the final phase of the program. Offenders no longer work while living at CCC, rather the emphasis is on assisting them with finding the best possible employment that they can maintain in the community and assuring a starting date for this employment immediately following their discharge from CCC.

Bivariate Analyses for Butler, Clermont, Warren Counties

Table 115. Descriptive Statistics for Community Correctional Center for Butler, Clermont, and Warren Counties by Group Membership

Variable	Experimental Group	Comparison Group
	Mean (N)	Mean (N)
Age (Average Age in Years)*	29.24 (260)	31.09 (186)
Race*	% (N)	% (N)
Black	17.0 (44)	26.9 (50)
White	83.0 (215)	73.1 (136)
Sex	% (N)	% (N)
Male	100.0 (280)	100.0 (186)
Female	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)
Marital Status	% (N)	% (N)
Married	13.5 (35)	13.4 (25)
Never Married	65.0 (169)	69.4 (129)
Divorced/Separated/Widowed	21.5 (56)	17.2 (32)

*Difference Significant at $p < .05$

Table 116. Descriptive Statistics for Risk/Need Factors by Group Membership for Community Correctional Center for Butler, Clermont, and Warren Counties

Variable	Experimental Group	Comparison Group
	Mean (N)	Mean (N)
Prior Arrests	3.75 (224)	3.48 (176)
	% (N)	% (N)
Prior Arrest (Yes/No)*	100.0 (224)	79.0 (139)
	Mean (N)	Mean (N)
Prior Incarcerations in State of Ohio*	0.23 (260)	0.57 (186)
	% (N)	% (N)
Prior Incarcerations (Yes/No)*	18.8 (49)	34.9 (65)
	% (N)	% (N)
Employment Status at Arrest*		
Employed	62.7 (163)	40.3 (75)
Unemployed	37.3 (97)	59.7 (111)
	Mean (N)	Mean (N)
Education Level (Highest Grade Completed)	10.56 (260)	10.57 (186)
	% (N)	% (N)
H.S. Graduate (Yes/No)	33.1 (86)	33.9 (63)
	% (N)	% (N)
Offense Type*		
Person	14.2 (37)	17.2 (32)
Sex	6.9 (18)	5.9 (11)
Drug	23.8 (62)	41.4 (77)
Property	30.0 (78)	28.5 (53)
Other	25.0 (65)	7.0 (13)
	% (N)	% (N)
Degree of Current Offense*		
First	0.8 (2)	5.9 (11)
Second	4.6 (12)	23.7 (44)
Third	11.9 (31)	15.1 (28)
Fourth	44.6 (116)	29.6 (55)
Fifth	38.1 (99)	25.8 (48)
	% (N)	% (N)
History of Alcohol Abuse (Yes/No)	83.1 (216)	81.2 (1510)
History of Drug Abuse (Yes/No)	87.3 (227)	84.4 (157)
Mental Health Problems Identified (Yes/No)*	10.8 (28)	25.3 (47)
	Mean (N)	Mean (N)
Risk Level	64.49 (260)	67.11 (186)
	% (N)	% (N)
Risk Category*		
Low	4.6 (12)	5.4 (10)
Low/Moderate	20.0 (52)	16.7 (31)
Moderate	53.8 (140)	45.2 (84)
High	21.5 (56)	32.8 (61)

*Difference Significant at $p < .05$

Table 117. Programming Information for Community Correctional Center for Butler, Clermont, and Warren Counties

Variable	Experimental Group
	% (N)
Academic Training Needed	99.6 (259)
Percent Participated In	99.2 (258)
Vocational Training Needed	99.6 (259)
Percent Participated In	99.6 (259)
Employment Assistance Needed	98.5 (256)
Percent Participated In	99.6 (259)
Assistance with Accommodations Needed	63.1 (164)
Percent Participated In	85.4 (222)
Substance Abuse Counseling Needed	81.9 (213)
Percent Participated In	99.2 (258)
Alcohol Abuse Counseling Needed	81.9 (213)
Percent Participated In	99.6 (259)
Mental Health Counseling Needed	8.5 (22)
Percent Participated In	15.8 (41)
Anger Management Counseling Needed	48.8 (127)
Percent Participated In	98.1 (255)
Sexual Behavior Counseling Needed	10.8 (28)
Percent Participated In	10.0 (26)
Termination Status	% (N)
Successful	85.0 (221)
Unsuccessful	15.0 (39)

*Difference Significant at $p < .05$

Table 118. Descriptive Statistics By Termination Status for Community Correctional Center for Butler, Clermont, and Warren Counties

Variable	Successful Terminations	Unsuccessful Terminations
	Mean (N)	Mean (N)
Age (Average Age in Years)	29.2 (221)	29.3 (39)
Race	% (N)	% (N)
Black	15.5 (34)	25.6 (10)
White	84.5 (186)	74.4 (29)
Sex	% (N)	% (N)
Male	100.0 (221)	100.0 (39)
Female	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)
Marital Status	% (N)	% (N)
Married	12.7 (28)	17.9 (7)
Never Married	64.7 (143)	66.7 (26)
Divorced/Separated/Widowed	22.6 (50)	15.4 (6)

*Difference Significant at $p < .05$

Table 119. Descriptive Statistics for Risk/Need Factors by Termination Status for Community Correctional Center for Butler, Clermont, and Warren Counties

Variable	Successful Terminations	Unsuccessful Terminations
	Mean (N)	Mean (N)
Prior Arrests	3.63 (187)	4.41 (37)
	% (N)	% (N)
Prior Arrest (Yes/No)	100.0 (187)	100.0 (37)
	Mean (N)	Mean (N)
Prior Incarcerations in State of Ohio	0.23 (221)	0.28 (39)
	% (N)	% (N)
Prior Incarcerations (Yes/No)	19.0 (42)	17.9 (7)
Employment Status at Arrest*	% (N)	% (N)
Employed	65.6 (145)	46.2 (18)
Unemployed	34.4 (76)	53.8 (21)
	Mean (N)	Mean (N)
Education Level (Highest Grade Completed)	10.57 (221)	10.54 (39)
	% (N)	% (N)
H.S. Graduate (Yes/No)	33.3 (73)	33.3 (13)
Offense Type	% (N)	% (N)
Person	14.9 (33)	10.3 (4)
Sex	6.8 (15)	7.7 (3)
Drug	23.5 (52)	25.6 (10)
Property	29.4 (65)	33.3 (13)
Other	25.3 (56)	23.1 (9)
Degree of Current Offense	% (N)	% (N)
First	0.9 (2)	0.0 (0)
Second	5.0 (11)	2.6 (1)
Third	12.2 (27)	10.3 (4)
Fourth	46.2 (102)	35.9 (14)
Fifth	35.7 (79)	51.3 (20)
History of Alcohol Abuse (Yes/No)	82.4 (1820)	87.2 (34)
History of Drug Abuse (Yes/No)	87.3 (193)	87.2 (34)
Mental Health Problems Identified (Yes/No)	10.9 (24)	10.3 (4)
	Mean (N)	Mean (N)
Risk Level*	63.62 (221)	69.38 (39)
Risk Category*	% (N)	% (N)
Low	5.0 (11)	2.6 (1)
Low/Moderate	20.4 (45)	17.9 (7)
Moderate	56.1 (124)	41.0 (16)
High	18.6 (41)	38.5 (15)

*Difference Significant at $p < .05$

Table 120. Any Re-Arrest By Group Membership and Risk Level for Community Correctional Center for Butler, Clermont, and Warren Counties

	All	Risk Level			
		Low*	Low/Moderate	Moderate	High
	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)
Experimental	57.2 (119)	55.6 (5)	46.2 (18)	57.1 (68)	68.3 (28)
Comparison	54.8 (97)	10.0 (1)	41.4 (12)	48.8 (39)	77.6 (45)

*Difference Significant at $p < .05$

Table 121. Incarceration for a Technical Violation By Group Membership and Risk Level for Community Correctional Center for Butler, Clermont, and Warren Counties

	All	Risk Level			
		Low	Low/Moderate	Moderate	High
	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)
Experimental	30.3 (67)	18.2 (2)	24.4 (11)	32.3 (40)	34.1 (14)
Comparison	32.3 (60)	10.0 (1)	29.0 (9)	31.0 (26)	39.3 (24)

*Difference Significant at $p < .05$

Table 122. Incarceration for a New Offense By Group Membership and Risk Level for Community Correctional Center for Butler, Clermont, and Warren Counties

	All	Risk Level			
		Low	Low/Moderate	Moderate	High
	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)
Experimental	9.0 (20)	0.0 (0)	6.7 (3)	9.7 (12)	12.2 (5)
Comparison	13.4 (25)	0.0 (0)	6.5 (2)	8.3 (7)	26.2 (16)

*Difference Significant at $p < .05$

Table 123. Any New Incarceration By Group Membership and Risk Level for Community Correctional Center for Butler, Clermont, and Warren Counties

	All	Risk Level			
		Low	Low/Moderate	Moderate	High*
	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)
Experimental	39.4 (87)	18.2 (2)	31.1 (14)	41.9 (52)	46.3 (19)
Comparison	45.7 (85)	10.0 (1)	35.5 (11)	39.3 (33)	65.6 (40)

*Difference Significant at $p < .05$

Lorain/Medina Community Based Correctional Facility

The Lorain/Median CBCF has been in operation for four years and is located in Elyria, Ohio. It has a capacity of 56 adult males. At the time of the assessment, the program was serving 55 males. The facility has 30 full-time staff and 5 part time staff. On average, participants spend 4 months in the program. Aftercare services are provided on a voluntary basis and are conducted in-house. The following major services were offered in FY 99:

- Substance abuse
- Employment
- Cognitive groups
- Education
- Anger management

Changes since FY 99:

In addition to the above services, the program has added the following groups: life skills, relationships, parenting, and vocational. Other changes include increased structure to the program, increase in the number of classes, and a decrease in down time. Finally, it was reported that a more cohesive team approach is utilized.

Participants are assessed using the Level of Service Inventory-Revised and a chemical dependency assessment based on DSM-IV criteria.

Bivariate Analyses for Lorain/Medina

Table 124. Descriptive Statistics for Lorain/Medina Community Based Correctional Facility by Group Membership

Variable	Experimental Group	Comparison Group
	Mean (N)	Mean (N)
Age (Average Age in Years)	29.82 (148)	32.24 (145)
Race	% (N)	% (N)
Black	44.2 (65)	49.0 (71)
White	55.8 (82)	51.0 (74)
Sex	% (N)	% (N)
Male	100.0 (148)	100.0 (145)
Female	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)
Marital Status	% (N)	% (N)
Married	16.9 (25)	13.1 (19)
Never Married	64.9 (96)	76.6 (111)
Divorced/Separated/Widowed	18.2 (27)	10.3 (15)

*Difference Significant at $p < .05$

Table 125. Descriptive Statistics for Risk/Need Factors by Group Membership for Lorain/Medina Community Based Correctional Facility

Variable	Experimental Group	Comparison Group
	Mean (N)	Mean (N)
Prior Arrests	4.05 (133)	3.41 (134)
	% (N)	% (N)
Prior Arrest (Yes/No)*	100.0 (133)	79.1 (106)
	Mean (N)	Mean (N)
Prior Incarcerations in State of Ohio	0.37 (148)	0.43 (145)
	% (N)	% (N)
Prior Incarcerations (Yes/No)	25.0 (37)	26.2 (38)
	% (N)	% (N)
Employment Status at Arrest*		
Employed	48.0 (71)	36.3 (53)
Unemployed	52.0 (77)	63.4 (92)
	Mean (N)	Mean (N)
Education Level (Highest Grade Completed)*	11.0 (148)	10.6 (145)
	% (N)	% (N)
H.S. Graduate (Yes/No)	37.8 (56)	31.7 (46)
	% (N)	% (N)
Offense Type*		
Person	10.1 (15)	19.3 (28)
Sex	0.0 (0)	4.1 (6)
Drug	33.8 (50)	23.4 (34)
Property	36.5 (54)	42.1 (61)
Other	19.6 (29)	11.0 (16)
	% (N)	% (N)
Degree of Current Offense*		
First	1.4 (2)	20.7 (30)
Second	10.1 (15)	33.1 (48)
Third	14.9 (22)	15.9 (23)
Fourth	41.2 (61)	22.8 (33)
Fifth	32.4 (48)	7.6 (11)
	% (N)	% (N)
History of Alcohol Abuse (Yes/No)	75.7 (112)	72.4 (105)
History of Drug Abuse (Yes/No)	85.1 (126)	77.9 (113)
Mental Health Problems Identified (Yes/No)*	6.8 (10)	31.0 (45)
	Mean (N)	Mean (N)
Risk Level*	65.57 (148)	60.37 (145)
	% (N)	% (N)
Risk Category*		
Low	2.7 (40)	13.8 (20)
Low/Moderate	16.2 (24)	22.1 (32)
Moderate	56.8 (84)	37.2 (54)
High	24.3 (36)	26.9 (39)

*Difference Significant at $p < .05$

Table 126. Programming Information for Lorain/Medina Community Based Correctional Facility

Variable	Experimental Group
	% (N)
Academic Training Needed	81.1 (120)
Percent Participated In	94.6 (140)
Vocational Training Needed	93.9 (139)
Percent Participated In	93.9 (139)
Employment Assistance Needed	95.3 (141)
Percent Participated In	90.5 (134)
Assistance with Accommodations Needed	75.7 (112)
Percent Participated In	87.8 (130)
Substance Abuse Counseling Needed	90.5 (134)
Percent Participated In	94.6 (140)
Alcohol Abuse Counseling Needed	88.5 (131)
Percent Participated In	94.6 (140)
Mental Health Counseling Needed	6.8 (10)
Percent Participated In	2.0 (3)
Anger Management Counseling Needed	92.6 (137)
Percent Participated In	95.9 (142)
Sexual Behavior Counseling Needed	0.7 (1)
Percent Participated In	0.0 (0)
Termination Status	% (N)
Successful	85.1 (126)
Unsuccessful	14.9 (22)

*Difference Significant at $p < .05$

Table 127. Descriptive Statistics By Termination Status for Lorain/Medina Community Based Correctional Facility

Variable	Successful Terminations	Unsuccessful Terminations
	Mean (N)	Mean (N)
Age (Average Age in Years)	29.9 (126)	29.6 (22)
Race	% (N)	% (N)
Black	44.8 (56)	40.9 (9)
White	55.2 (69)	59.1 (13)
Sex	% (N)	% (N)
Male	100.0 (126)	100.0 (22)
Female	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)
Marital Status	% (N)	% (N)
Married	15.9 (20)	22.7 (5)
Never Married	65.1 (82)	63.6 (14)
Divorced/Separated/Widowed	19.0 (24)	13.6 (3)

*Difference Significant at $p < .05$

Table 128. Descriptive Statistics for Risk/Need Factors by Termination Status for Lorain/Medina Community Based Correctional Facility

Variable	Successful Terminations	Unsuccessful Terminations
	Mean (N)	Mean (N)
Prior Arrests	3.90 (115)	5.00 (18)
	% (N)	% (N)
Prior Arrest (Yes/No)	100.0 (115)	100.0 (18)
	Mean (N)	Mean (N)
Prior Incarcerations in State of Ohio	0.37 (126)	0.36 (22)
	% (N)	% (N)
Prior Incarcerations (Yes/No)	25.4 (32)	22.7 (5)
Employment Status at Arrest	% (N)	% (N)
Employed	47.6 (60)	50.0 (11)
Unemployed	52.4 (66)	50.0 (11)
	Mean (N)	Mean (N)
Education Level (Highest Grade Completed)	11.0 (126)	10.73 (22)
	% (N)	% (N)
H.S. Graduate (Yes/No)	37.3 (47)	40.9 (9)
Offense Type	% (N)	% (N)
Person	10.3 (13)	9.1 (2)
Sex	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)
Drug	35.7 (45)	22.7 (5)
Property	35.7 (45)	40.9 (9)
Other	18.3 (23)	27.3 (6)
Degree of Current Offense*	% (N)	% (N)
First	0.0 (0)	9.1 (2)
Second	11.1 (140)	4.5 (1)
Third	14.3 (18)	18.2 (4)
Fourth	41.3 (52)	40.9 (9)
Fifth	33.3 (42)	27.3 (6)
History of Alcohol Abuse (Yes/No)	77.8 (98)	63.6 (14)
History of Drug Abuse (Yes/No)	85.7 (108)	81.8 (18)
Mental Health Problems Identified (Yes/No)	6.3 (8)	9.1 (2)
	Mean (N)	Mean (N)
Risk Level	66.15 (126)	62.23 (22)
Risk Category	% (N)	% (N)
Low	3.2 (4)	0.0 (0)
Low/Moderate	15.9 (20)	18.2 (4)
Moderate	54.8 (69)	68.2 (15)
High	26.2 (33)	13.6 (3)

*Difference Significant at $p < .05$

Table 129. Any Re-Arrest By Group Membership and Risk Level for Lorain/Medina Community Based Correctional Facility

	All*	Risk Level			
		Low	Low/Moderate	Moderate	High*
	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)
Experimental	51.3 (60)	0.0 (0)	35.3 (6)	45.3 (29)	75.8 (25)
Comparison	36.1 (48)	10.5 (2)	18.5 (5)	43.1 (22)	52.8 (19)

*Difference Significant at $p < .05$

Table 130. Incarceration for a Technical Violation By Group Membership and Risk Level for Lorain/Medina Community Based Correctional Facility

	All	Risk Level			
		Low	Low/Moderate	Moderate	High
	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)
Experimental	31.3 (39)	0.0 (0)	10.0 (2)	30.4 (21)	48.5 (16)
Comparison	22.8 (33)	5.0 (1)	6.3 (2)	16.7 (9)	53.8 (21)

*Difference Significant at $p < .05$

Table 131. Incarceration for a New Offense By Group Membership and Risk Level for Lorain/Medina Community Based Correctional Facility

	All	Risk Level			
		Low	Low/Moderate	Moderate	High
	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)
Experimental	10.3 (13)	0.0 (0)	10.0 (2)	8.7 (6)	15.2 (5)
Comparison	9.7 (14)	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)	13.0 (7)	17.9 (7)

*Difference Significant at $p < .05$

Table 132. Any New Incarceration By Group Membership and Risk Level for Lorain/Medina Community Based Correctional Facility

	All	Risk Level			
		Low	Low/Moderate	Moderate	High
	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)
Experimental	41.3 (52)	0.0 (0)	20.0 (4)	39.1 (27)	63.6 (21)
Comparison	32.4 (47)	5.0 (1)	6.3 (2)	29.6 (16)	71.8 (28)

*Difference Significant at $p < .05$

River City Community Correctional Center

The River City Correctional Center is located in Cincinnati and has been in operation since 1998. The facility has 93 full-time staff and serves both male and female offenders. River City has a capacity of 200 (150 male, 50 female) and there were 150 offenders in the facility at the time of the site visit. The average time in the program is between 4 and 6 months, with an additional 6 to 12 months of aftercare, which is provided through Hamilton County Adult Probation and River City staff. The following major services were offered by the facility in FY99:

- Substance abuse
- Employment
- Cognitive groups
- Education
- Mental health
- Financial
- Anger management
- Behavior modification

In addition to the core areas, River City also offers groups in anger, parenting, relationships, yoga, health, young men groups, feelings, gambling addiction, self-development, team building, and women's issues.

Changes since FY99:

The major programmatic change has been the addition of sex offender treatment. According to the program director other changes to the program since FY99 have been increased training, more consistency in the therapeutic community pods, less reliance on the medical model and more emphasis on behavior modification through the therapeutic community.

Bivariate Analyses for River City

Table 133. Descriptive Statistics for River City Correctional Center by Group Membership

Variable	Experimental Group	Comparison Group
	Mean (N)	Mean (N)
Age (Average Age in Years)*	33.13 (138)	37.56 (138)
Race	% (N)	% (N)
Black	69.6 (96)	75.9 (104)
White	30.4 (42)	24.1 (33)
Sex	% (N)	% (N)
Male	84.8 (117)	84.8 (117)
Female	15.2 (21)	15.2 (21)
Marital Status	% (N)	% (N)
Married	18.1 (25)	15.2 (21)
Never Married	60.1 (83)	61.6 (85)
Divorced/Separated/Widowed	21.7 (30)	23.2 (32)

*Difference Significant at $p < .05$

Table 134. Descriptive Statistics for Risk/Need Factors by Group Membership for River City Correctional Center

Variable	Experimental Group	Comparison Group
	Mean (N)	Mean (N)
Prior Arrests*	4.85 (137)	6.26 (132)
	% (N)	% (N)
Prior Arrest (Yes/No)*	100.0 (137)	96.2 (127)
	Mean (N)	Mean (N)
Prior Incarcerations in State of Ohio*	0.43 (138)	1.25 (138)
	% (N)	% (N)
Prior Incarcerations (Yes/No)*	27.5 (380)	61.6 (85)
Employment Status at Arrest*	% (N)	% (N)
Employed	71.7 (99)	36.2 (50)
Unemployed	28.3 (39)	63.8 (88)
	Mean (N)	Mean (N)
Education Level (Highest Grade Completed)	10.77 (138)	10.81 (138)
	% (N)	% (N)
H.S. Graduate (Yes/No)	35.5 (49)	31.9 (44)
Offense Type*	% (N)	% (N)
Person	12.3 (17)	27.5 (38)
Sex	1.4 (2)	2.2 (3)
Drug	55.1 (76)	26.1 (36)
Property	27.5 (38)	36.2 (5)
Other	3.6 (5)	8.0 (11)
Degree of Current Offense*	% (N)	% (N)
First	0.0 (0)	5.1 (7)
Second	1.6 (2)	31.2 (43)
Third	7.2 (9)	16.7 (23)
Fourth	42.4 (53)	22.5 (31)
Fifth	48.8 (61)	24.6 (34)
History of Alcohol Abuse (Yes/No)*	62.3 (86)	76.1 (105)
History of Drug Abuse (Yes/No)	82.6 (114)	80.4 (111)
Mental Health Problems Identified (Yes/No)	31.9 (44)	24.6 (34)
	Mean (N)	Mean (N)
Risk Level	67.90 (138)	68.33 (138)
Risk Category*	% (N)	% (N)
Low	1.4 (2)	4.3 (6)
Low/Moderate	14.5 (20)	19.6 (27)
Moderate	58.7 (81)	39.9 (55)
High	25.4 (35)	36.2 (50)

*Difference Significant at $p < .05$

Table 135. Programming Information for River City Correctional Center

Variable	Experimental Group
	% (N)
Academic Training Needed	62.3 (86)
Percent Participated In	67.4 (93)
Vocational Training Needed	66.7 (92)
Percent Participated In	49.3 (68)
Employment Assistance Needed	80.4 (111)
Percent Participated In	58.0 (80)
Assistance with Accommodations Needed	46.4 (64)
Percent Participated In	46.4 (64)
Substance Abuse Counseling Needed	85.5 (118)
Percent Participated In	73.9 (102)
Alcohol Abuse Counseling Needed	63.0 (87)
Percent Participated In	67.4 (93)
Mental Health Counseling Needed	29.7 (41)
Percent Participated In	18.8 (26)
Anger Management Counseling Needed	23.9 (33)
Percent Participated In	56.5 (78)
Sexual Behavior Counseling Needed	5.8 (8)
Percent Participated In	2.2 (3)
Termination Status	% (N)
Successful	84.8 (117)
Unsuccessful	15.2 (21)

*Difference Significant at $p < .05$

Table 136. Descriptive Statistics By Termination Status for River City Correctional Center

Variable	Successful Terminations	Unsuccessful Terminations
	Mean (N)	Mean (N)
Age (Average Age in Years)	30.2 (1170)	29.9 (21)
Race	% (N)	% (N)
Black	67.5 (79)	81.0 (17)
White	32.5 (38)	19.0 (4)
Sex	% (N)	% (N)
Male	87.2 (102)	71.4 (15)
Female	12.8 (15)	28.6 (6)
Marital Status*	% (N)	% (N)
Married	17.1 (20)	23.8 (5)
Never Married	60.7 (71)	57.1 (12)
Divorced/Separated/Widowed	22.2 (26)	19.0 (4)

*Difference Significant at $p < .05$

Table 137. Descriptive Statistics for Risk/Need Factors by Termination Status for River City Correctional Center

Variable	Successful Terminations	Unsuccessful Terminations
	Mean (N)	Mean (N)
Prior Arrests	4.96 (117)	4.20 (20)
	% (N)	% (N)
Prior Arrest (Yes/No)	100.0 (117)	100.0 (20)
	Mean (N)	Mean (N)
Prior Incarcerations in State of Ohio	0.47 (117)	0.19 (21)
	% (N)	% (N)
Prior Incarcerations (Yes/No)	29.9 (35)	14.3 (3)
Employment Status at Arrest*	% (N)	% (N)
Employed	75.2 (88)	52.4 (11)
Unemployed	24.8 (29)	47.6 (10)
	Mean (N)	Mean (N)
Education Level (Highest Grade Completed)	10.79 (117)	10.67 (21)
	% (N)	% (N)
H.S. Graduate (Yes/No)	35.9 (42)	66.7 (14)
Offense Type	% (N)	% (N)
Person	12.8 (15)	9.5 (2)
Sex	1.7 (2)	0.0 (0)
Drug	54.7 (64)	57.1 (120)
Property	28.2 (33)	23.8 (5)
Other	2.6 (3)	9.5 (2)
Degree of Current Offense	% (N)	% (N)
First	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)
Second	1.9 (2)	0.0 (0)
Third	7.5 (8)	5.6 (1)
Fourth	43.0 (46)	38.9 (7)
Fifth	47.7 (51)	55.6 (10)
History of Alcohol Abuse (Yes/No)	65.0 (76)	47.6 (10)
History of Drug Abuse (Yes/No)	83.8 (98)	76.2 (16)
Mental Health Problems Identified (Yes/No)*	27.4 (32)	57.1 (12)
	Mean (N)	Mean (N)
Risk Level	67.89 (117)	67.95 (21)
Risk Category	% (N)	% (N)
Low	1.7 (2)	0.0 (0)
Low/Moderate	13.7 (16)	19.0 (4)
Moderate	59.0 (69)	57.1 (12)
High	25.6 (30)	23.8 (5)

*Difference Significant at $p < .05$

Table 138. Any Re-Arrest By Group Membership and Risk Level for River City Correctional Center

	All*	Risk Level			
		Low	Low/Moderate	Moderate*	High*
	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)
Experimental	66.4 (77)	50.0 (1)	50.0 (8)	65.2 (45)	79.3 (23)
Comparison	46.6 (61)	20.0 (1)	40.0 (10)	42.3 (22)	57.1 (28)

*Difference Significant at $p < .05$

Table 139. Incarceration for a Technical Violation By Group Membership and Risk Level for River City Correctional Center

	All*	Risk Level			
		Low	Low/Moderate*	Moderate*	High*
	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)
Experimental	37.6 (44)	50.0 (1)	31.3 (5)	36.2 (25)	43.3 (13)
Comparison	10.9 (15)	16.7 (1)	0.0 (0)	9.1 (5)	18.0 (9)

*Difference Significant at $p < .05$

Table 140. Incarceration for a New Offense By Group Membership and Risk Level for River City Correctional Center

	All	Risk Level			
		Low	Low/Moderate	Moderate	High
	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)
Experimental	17.1 (20)	0.0 (0)	12.5 (2)	14.5 (10)	26.7 (8)
Comparison	15.2 (21)	0.0 (0)	18.5 (5)	14.5 (8)	16.0 (8)

*Difference Significant at $p < .05$

Table 141. Any New Incarceration By Group Membership and Risk Level for River City Correctional Center

	All*	Risk Level			
		Low	Low/Moderate	Moderate*	High*
	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)
Experimental	54.7 (64)	50.0 (1)	43.8 (7)	50.7 (35)	70.0 (21)
Comparison	26.1 (36)	16.7 (1)	18.5 (5)	23.6 (13)	34.0 (17)

*Difference Significant at $p < .05$

Mahoning County CBCF

Mahoning County CBCF is a community-based correctional facility located in Youngstown and has been in operation since 1987. The facility has 46 full-time staff who serve male/female offenders in need of rehabilitative services. The CBCF has a capacity of 70 and there were 62 offenders in the facility at the time of the site visit. The average length of stay in the facility is 4 months. There is an additional period of aftercare that is provided by both the CBCF treatment staff and community agencies to which offenders are referred. The CBCF serves offenders at various legal statuses. The following major services were offered by the facility in FY99:

- Substance abuse
- Employment
- Cognitive groups
- Education
- Mental health
- Anger management
- Sex offender treatment

In addition to the core areas, Mahoning County CBCF also offers groups in HIV/AIDS awareness, parenting, domestic violence, family counseling, and community service.

Changes since FY99:

According to the program director, there have been no major programmatic changes since 1999.

CBCF residents participate in a different treatment groups based on assessment of needs as well as judicial requests for programming, and staff indicated that the treatments are primarily based on the cognitive model. The facility uses the its own assessment to evaluate overall offender risk and the Substance Abuse Subtle Screening Inventory to assess substance abuse related needs. Individualized program plans are based on this information as well as the clients' self-identified needs.

Bivariate Analyses for Mahoning County

Table 142. Descriptive Statistics for Mahoning County Community Based Correctional Facility by Group Membership

Variable	Experimental Group	Comparison Group
	Mean (N)	Mean (N)
Age (Average Age in Years)*	28.97 (180)	36.33 (97)
Race	% (N)	% (N)
Black	64.2 (115)	53.6 (52)
White	35.8 (64)	46.6 (45)
Sex*	% (N)	% (N)
Male	100.0 (180)	94.8 (92)
Female	0.0 (0)	5.2 (5)
Marital Status*	% (N)	% (N)
Married	8.9 (16)	23.7 (23)
Never Married	80.6 (145)	56.7 (55)
Divorced/Separated/Widowed	10.6 (19)	19.6 (19)

*Difference Significant at $p < .05$

Table 143. Descriptive Statistics for Risk/Need Factors by Group Membership for Mahoning County Community Based Correctional Facility

Variable	Experimental Group	Comparison Group
	Mean (N)	Mean (N)
Prior Arrests*	3.42 (169)	5.11 (95)
	% (N)	% (N)
Prior Arrest (Yes/No)*	100.0 (169)	83.2 (79)
	Mean (N)	Mean (N)
Prior Incarcerations in State of Ohio*	0.16 (180)	0.32 (97)
	% (N)	% (N)
Prior Incarcerations (Yes/No)*	11.7 (21)	20.6 (20)
Employment Status at Arrest	% (N)	% (N)
Employed	32.2 (58)	37.1 (36)
Unemployed	67.8 (122)	62.9 (61)
	Mean (N)	Mean (N)
Education Level (Highest Grade Completed)	10.57 (180)	10.80 (97)
	% (N)	% (N)
H.S. Graduate (Yes/No)	39.4 (71)	60.6 (109)
Offense Type*	% (N)	% (N)
Person	13.9 (25)	30.9 (55)
Sex	1.1 (2)	4.1 (6)
Drug	39.4 (71)	33.0 (103)
Property	23.3 (42)	28.9 (70)
Other	22.2 (40)	3.1 (3)
Degree of Current Offense*	% (N)	% (N)
First	2.9 (5)	8.2 (8)
Second	7.4 (13)	29.9 (29)
Third	14.3 (25)	14.4 (14)
Fourth	38.9 (68)	20.6 (20)
Fifth	36.6 (64)	26.8 (26)
History of Alcohol Abuse (Yes/No)	59.4 (107)	64.9 (63)
History of Drug Abuse (Yes/No)	82.8 (149)	77.3 (75)
Mental Health Problems Identified (Yes/No)	22.2 (40)	23.7 (23)
	Mean (N)	Mean (N)
Risk Level*	64.61 (180)	57.21 (97)
Risk Category*	% (N)	% (N)
Low	2.2 (4)	10.3 (10)
Low/Moderate	21.1 (38)	32.0 (31)
Moderate	55.6 (100)	45.4 (44)
High	21.1 (38)	12.4 (12)

*Difference Significant at $p < .05$

Table 144. Programming Information for Mahoning County Community Based Correctional Facility

Variable	Experimental Group
	% (N)
Academic Training Needed	53.3 (96)
Percent Participated In	58.9 (106)
Vocational Training Needed	81.1 (146)
Percent Participated In	85.6 (154)
Employment Assistance Needed	87.2 (157)
Percent Participated In	84.4 (152)
Assistance with Accommodations Needed	75.6 (136)
Percent Participated In	85.0 (153)
Substance Abuse Counseling Needed	82.2 (148)
Percent Participated In	82.8 (149)
Alcohol Abuse Counseling Needed	66.1 (119)
Percent Participated In	80.0 (144)
Mental Health Counseling Needed	21.7 (39)
Percent Participated In	15.0 (27)
Anger Management Counseling Needed	92.8 (167)
Percent Participated In	92.2 (166)
Sexual Behavior Counseling Needed	3.3 (6)
Percent Participated In	1.7 (3)
Termination Status*	% (N)
Successful	80.6 (145)
Unsuccessful	19.4 (35)

*Difference Significant at $p < .05$

Table 145. Descriptive Statistics By Termination Status for Mahoning County Community Based Correctional Facility

Variable	Successful Terminations	Unsuccessful Terminations
	Mean (N)	Mean (N)
Age (Average Age in Years)*	29.3 (145)	34.0 (132)
Race	% (N)	% (N)
Black	64.1 (93)	56.5 (74)
White	35.9 (52)	43.5 (57)
Sex*	% (N)	% (N)
Male	100.0 (145)	96.2 (127)
Female	0.0 (0)	3.8 (5)
Marital Status*	% (N)	% (N)
Married	9.0 (13)	19.7 (26)
Never Married	80.0 (116)	63.6 (84)
Divorced/Separated/Widowed	11.0 (16)	16.7 (22)

*Difference Significant at $p < .05$

Table 146. Descriptive Statistics for Risk/Need Factors by Termination Status for Mahoning County Community Based Correctional Facility

Variable	Successful Terminations	Unsuccessful Terminations
	Mean (N)	Mean (N)
Prior Arrests*	3.26 (135)	4.83 (129)
	% (N)	% (N)
Prior Arrest (Yes/No)*	100.0 (135)	87.6 (113)
	Mean (N)	Mean (N)
Prior Incarcerations in State of Ohio*	0.10 (145)	0.33 (132)
	% (N)	% (N)
Prior Incarcerations (Yes/No)*	10.3 (15)	19.7 (26)
Employment Status at Arrest	% (N)	% (N)
Employed	35.2 (51)	32.6 (43)
Unemployed	64.8 (94)	67.4 (89)
	Mean (N)	Mean (N)
Education Level (Highest Grade Completed)	10.50 (145)	10.82 (132)
	% (N)	% (N)
H.S. Graduate (Yes/No)	37.2 (54)	43.2 (57)
Offense Type*	% (N)	% (N)
Person	13.8 (20)	26.5 (35)
Sex	1.4 (2)	3.0 (4)
Drug	40.0 (58)	34.1 (45)
Property	23.4 (34)	27.3 (36)
Other	21.4 (31)	9.1 (12)
Degree of Current Offense*	% (N)	% (N)
First	2.9 (4)	6.8 (9)
Second	5.7 (8)	25.8 (34)
Third	14.3 (20)	14.4 (19)
Fourth	42.1 (59)	22.0 (29)
Fifth	35.0 (49)	31.1 (41)
History of Alcohol Abuse (Yes/No)	60.0 (87)	62.9 (83)
History of Drug Abuse (Yes/No)	84.1 (122)	77.3 (102)
Mental Health Problems Identified (Yes/No)	20.0 (29)	25.8 (32)
	Mean (N)	Mean (N)
Risk Level*	64.04 (145)	59.79 (132)
Risk Category	% (N)	% (N)
Low	2.1 (3)	8.3 (11)
Low/Moderate	23.4 (34)	26.5 (35)
Moderate	55.9 (81)	47.7 (63)
High	18.6 (27)	17.4 (23)

*Difference Significant at $p < .05$

Table 147. Any Re-Arrest By Group Membership and Risk Level for Mahoning County Community Based Correctional Facility

	All	Risk Level			
		Low*	Low/Moderate	Moderate	High
	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)
Experimental	62.0 (85)	100.0 (3)	41.9 (13)	67.1 (51)	66.7 (18)
Comparison	49.5 (46)	10.0 (1)	37.9 (11)	57.1 (24)	83.3 (10)

*Difference Significant at $p < .05$

Table 148. Incarceration for a Technical Violation By Group Membership and Risk Level for Mahoning County Community Based Correctional Facility

	All	Risk Level			
		Low*	Low/Moderate	Moderate	High
	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)
Experimental	27.6 (40)	66.7 (2)	20.6 (7)	27.2 (22)	33.3 (9)
Comparison	21.6 (21)	0.0 (0)	19.4 (6)	25.0 (11)	33.3 (4)

*Difference Significant at $p < .05$

Table 149. Incarceration for a New Offense By Group Membership and Risk Level for Mahoning County Community Based Correctional Facility

	All*	Risk Level			
		Low	Low/Moderate	Moderate*	High
	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)
Experimental	15.2 (22)	33.3 (1)	14.7 (5)	14.8 (12)	14.8 (4)
Comparison	21.6 (21)	0.0 (0)	12.9 (4)	29.5 (13)	33.3 (4)

*Difference Significant at $p < .05$

Table 150. Any New Incarceration By Group Membership and Risk Level for Mahoning County Community Based Correctional Facility

	All	Risk Level			
		Low*	Low/Moderate	Moderate	High
	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)
Experimental	42.8 (62)	100.0 (3)	35.3 (12)	42.0 (34)	48.1 (13)
Comparison	43.3 (42)	0.0 (0)	32.3 (10)	54.5 (24)	66.7 (8)

*Difference Significant at $p < .05$

Northeast Ohio Community Alternative Program (NEOCAP)

The Northeast Ohio Community Alternative Program (NEOCAP) is a community-based corrections facility located in Warren and began operations in 1997. The facility serves common pleas courts in Trumbull, Portage, Geauga, Lake, and Ashtabula Counties. The facility has 35 full-time and 1 part-time staff. In 1999 NEOCAP served only male offenders and had a capacity of 66 beds. The average length of stay in the facility is four months. The following core services are offered by NEOCAP in FY99:

- Substance abuse
- Employment
- Cognitive groups
- Education
- Anger management
- Life skills
- AA/NA groups
- Stress management
- Financial planning
- Criminogenic treatment programming
- Domestic violence
- Self help programming
- Community service work

Changes since FY99:

The program has increased bed capacity to include 25 female beds and an additional 25 male beds. Our capacity is 116 beds. Programming to address the special needs of female offenders has been added.

NEOCAP has become ODADAS certified. It is a pilot program for treating mental illness through the Ohio Office of Criminal Justice Services.

NEOCAP has added a special program to address mental health issues through its dual diagnosis treatment program. A consulting on-site psychiatrist who prescribes and monitors appropriate medications is the focal point of this pilot project.

The staff size doubled with the expansion to 70 full-time staff and 8 part-time positions. The expanded beds were activated at the beginning of FY01.

NEOCAP residents participate in several criminogenic treatment programs based on individualized program plans identified through the Level of Service Inventory-Revised and CCSI information identifying the offender's needs. Cognitive and Reality Therapy models are featured throughout the programming philosophy.

Bivariate Analyses for NEOCAP

Table 151. Descriptive Statistics for Northeast Ohio Community Alternative Program by Group Membership

Variable	Experimental Group	Comparison Group
	Mean (N)	Mean (N)
Age (Average Age in Years)	29.60 (216)	31.30 (147)
Race*	% (N)	% (N)
Black	25.0 (54)	36.7 (54)
White	75.0 (162)	63.3 (93)
Sex	% (N)	% (N)
Male	100.0 (216)	100.0 (147)
Female	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)
Marital Status	% (N)	% (N)
Married	16.2 (35)	19.7 (29)
Never Married	63.0 (136)	66.0 (97)
Divorced/Separated/Widowed	20.8 (45)	14.3 (21)

*Difference Significant at $p < .05$

Table 152. Descriptive Statistics for Risk/Need Factors by Group Membership for Northeast Ohio Community Alternative Program

Variable	Experimental Group	Comparison Group
	Mean (N)	Mean (N)
Prior Arrests	3.63 (188)	5.08 (143)
	% (N)	% (N)
Prior Arrest (Yes/No)*	99.5 (187)	82.5 (118)
	Mean (N)	Mean (N)
Prior Incarcerations in State of Ohio*	0.18 (2160)	0.73 (147)
	% (N)	% (N)
Prior Incarcerations (Yes/No)*	15.3 (33)	43.5 (64)
	% (N)	% (N)
Employment Status at Arrest*		
Employed	54.6 (118)	33.3 (49)
Unemployed	45.4 (98)	66.7 (98)
	Mean (N)	Mean (N)
Education Level (Highest Grade Completed)	10.90 (216)	10.79 (147)
	% (N)	% (N)
H.S. Graduate (Yes/No)	40.3 (87)	33.3 (49)
	% (N)	% (N)
Offense Type*		
Person	9.7 (21)	21.8 (32)
Sex	2.3 (5)	6.1 (9)
Drug	21.8 (47)	45.6 (67)
Property	34.3 (74)	19.0 (28)
Other	31.9 (69)	7.5 (11)
	% (N)	% (N)
Degree of Current Offense*		
First	0.9 (2)	4.1 (6)
Second	3.7 (8)	23.8 (35)
Third	14.5 (31)	19.7 (29)
Fourth	49.5 (106)	27.9 (41)
Fifth	31.3 (67)	24.5 (36)
	% (N)	% (N)
History of Alcohol Abuse (Yes/No)	87.5 (189)	81.6 (120)
History of Drug Abuse (Yes/No)	83.3 (180)	77.6 (114)
Mental Health Problems Identified (Yes/No)*	85.2 (184)	32.0 (47)
	Mean (N)	Mean (N)
Risk Level*	62.39 (216)	69.02 (147)
	% (N)	% (N)
Risk Category*		
Low	5.1 (11)	4.8 (7)
Low/Moderate	23.6 (51)	11.6 (17)
Moderate	50.5 (109)	46.9 (69)
High	20.8 (45)	36.7 (54)

*Difference Significant at $p < .05$

Table 153. Programming Information for Northeast Ohio Community Alternative Program

Variable	Experimental Group
	% (N)
Academic Training Needed	87.0 (188)
Percent Participated In	96.8 (209)
Vocational Training Needed	96.3 (208)
Percent Participated In	97.2 (210)
Employment Assistance Needed	95.4 (206)
Percent Participated In	98.1 (212)
Assistance with Accommodations Needed	55.6 (120)
Percent Participated In	95.8 (207)
Substance Abuse Counseling Needed	86.1 (186)
Percent Participated In	98.1 (212)
Alcohol Abuse Counseling Needed	88.9 (192)
Percent Participated In	98.1 (212)
Mental Health Counseling Needed	84.7 (183)
Percent Participated In	98.1 (212)
Anger Management Counseling Needed	99.5 (215)
Percent Participated In	98.6 (213)
Sexual Behavior Counseling Needed	10.2 (22)
Percent Participated In	2.3 (5)
Termination Status	% (N)
Successful	93.1 (201)
Unsuccessful	6.9 (15)

*Difference Significant at $p < .05$

Table 154. Descriptive Statistics By Termination Status for Northeast Ohio Community Alternative Program

Variable	Successful Terminations	Unsuccessful Terminations
	Mean (N)	Mean (N)
Age (Average Age in Years)*	30.0 (201)	23.5 (15)
Race	% (N)	% (N)
Black	24.9 (50)	26.7 (4)
White	75.1 (151)	73.3 (11)
Sex	% (N)	% (N)
Male	100.0 (201)	100.0 (15)
Female	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)
Marital Status	% (N)	% (N)
Married	17.4 (35)	0.0 (0)
Never Married	61.2 (123)	86.7 (13)
Divorced/Separated/Widowed	21.4 (43)	13.3 (2)

*Difference Significant at $p < .05$

Table 155. Descriptive Statistics for Risk/Need Factors by Termination Status for Northeast Ohio Community Alternative Program

Variable	Successful Terminations	Unsuccessful Terminations
	Mean (N)	Mean (N)
Prior Arrests	3.63 (174)	3.64 (14)
	% (N)	% (N)
Prior Arrest (Yes/No)	99.4 (173)	100.0 (14)
	Mean (N)	Mean (N)
Prior Incarcerations in State of Ohio	0.18 (201)	0.13 (15)
	% (N)	% (N)
Prior Incarcerations (Yes/No)	15.4 (31)	13.3 (2)
Employment Status at Arrest*	% (N)	% (N)
Employed	56.7 (114)	26.7 (4)
Unemployed	43.3 (87)	73.3 (11)
	Mean (N)	Mean (N)
Education Level (Highest Grade Completed)	10.92 (201)	10.51 (15)
	% (N)	% (N)
H.S. Graduate (Yes/No)	41.8 (84)	20.0 (3)
Offense Type	% (N)	% (N)
Person	9.5 (19)	13.3 (2)
Sex	2.5 (5)	0.0 (0)
Drug	22.4 (45)	13.3 (2)
Property	32.8 (68)	53.3 (8)
Other	32.8 (66)	20.0 (3)
Degree of Current Offense*	% (N)	% (N)
First	0.5 (1)	6.7 (1)
Second	2.5 (5)	20.0 (3)
Third	15.6 (31)	0.0 (0)
Fourth	49.7 (99)	46.7 (7)
Fifth	31.7 (63)	26.7 (4)
History of Alcohol Abuse (Yes/No)	86.6 (174)	100.0 (15)
History of Drug Abuse (Yes/No)	82.1 (165)	100.0 (15)
Mental Health Problems Identified (Yes/No)	84.1 (169)	100.0 (15)
	Mean (N)	Mean (N)
Risk Level*	61.56 (201)	73.47 (15)
Risk Category*	% (N)	% (N)
Low	5.5 (11)	0.0 (0)
Low/Moderate	25.4 (51)	0.0 (0)
Moderate	49.8 (100)	60.0 (9)
High	19.4 (39)	40.0 (6)

*Difference Significant at $p < .05$

Table 156. Any Re-Arrest By Group Membership and Risk Level for Northeast Ohio Community Alternative Program

	All	Risk Level			
		Low	Low/Moderate*	Moderate	High
	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)
Experimental	57.5 (107)	33.3 (2)	63.6 (28)	51.5 (50)	69.2 (27)
Comparison	47.9 (69)	16.7 (1)	13.3 (2)	46.4 (32)	63.0 (34)

*Difference Significant at $p < .05$

Table 157. Incarceration for a Technical Violation By Group Membership and Risk Level for Northeast Ohio Community Alternative Program

	All*	Risk Level			
		Low	Low/Moderate	Moderate*	High
	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)
Experimental	11.9 (24)	0.0 (0)	9.8 (5)	14.0 (14)	12.8 (5)
Comparison	24.5 (36)	0.0 (0)	11.8 (2)	26.1 (18)	29.6 (16)

*Difference Significant at $p < .05$

Table 158. Incarceration for a New Offense By Group Membership and Risk Level for Northeast Ohio Community Alternative Program

	All*	Risk Level			
		Low	Low/Moderate	Moderate	High
	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)
Experimental	21.4 (43)	0.0 (0)	17.6 (9)	20.0 (20)	35.9 (14)
Comparison	14.3 (21)	0.0 (0)	5.9 (1)	14.5 (10)	18.5 (10)

*Difference Significant at $p < .05$

Table 159. Any New Incarceration By Group Membership and Risk Level for Northeast Ohio Community Alternative Program

	All	Risk Level			
		Low	Low/Moderate	Moderate	High
	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)
Experimental	33.3 (67)	0.0 (0)	27.5 (14)	34.0 (34)	48.7 (19)
Comparison	38.8 (57)	0.0 (0)	17.6 (3)	40.6 (28)	48.1 (26)

*Difference Significant at $p < .05$

Western Ohio Regional Treatment and Rehabilitation Center

Western Ohio Regional Treatment and Rehabilitation Center (WORTH) is located in Lima, Ohio and has been in operation 9 years. The facility has 44 full-time staff and 3 part-time staff. The WORTH Center serves both male and female offenders. WORTH Center has a capacity of 94 and there were 92 offenders in the facility at the time of the site visit. The average time in the program is between 3 and 5 months. The following major services are offered by the facility in FY99:

- Substance abuse
- Employment
- Cognitive groups
- Education
- Anger management

In addition to the core areas, the W.O.R.T.H center also offers groups in parenting, conflict resolution, and fitness.

Changes since FY99:

The major programmatic change has been the increased emphasis on cognitive behavioral programming and a decreased reliance on self-help programming. The facility has also excluded sex offenders from admission. According to the program director other changes to the program since FY99 have been large turnover in qualified staff and a lack of chemical dependency specialists.

Bivariate Analyses for WORTH

Table 160. Descriptive Statistics for Western Ohio Regional Treatment and Rehabilitation Center by Group Membership

Variable	Experimental Group	Comparison Group
	Mean (N)	Mean (N)
Age (Average Age in Years)*	26.44 (264)	31.48 (191)
Race*	% (N)	% (N)
Black	15.7 (41)	27.2 (52)
White	84.3 (220)	72.8 (139)
Sex*	% (N)	% (N)
Male	77.7 (205)	94.2 (180)
Female	22.3 (59)	5.8 (11)
Marital Status	% (N)	% (N)
Married	10.6 (28)	13.1 (25)
Never Married	71.2 (188)	69.1 (132)
Divorced/Separated/Widowed	18.2 (48)	17.8 (34)

*Difference Significant at $p < .05$

Table 161. Descriptive Statistics for Risk/Need Factors by Group Membership for Western Ohio Regional Treatment and Rehabilitation Center

Variable	Experimental Group	Comparison Group
	Mean (N)	Mean (N)
Prior Arrests*	2.58 (231)	3.61 (179)
	% (N)	% (N)
Prior Arrest (Yes/No)*	100.0 (231)	78.2 (140)
	Mean (N)	Mean (N)
Prior Incarcerations in State of Ohio*	0.27 (264)	0.82 (191)
	% (N)	% (N)
Prior Incarcerations (Yes/No)*	21.6 (57)	45.0 (86)
Employment Status at Arrest*	% (N)	% (N)
Employed	44.7 (118)	30.4 (58)
Unemployed	55.3 (146)	69.6 (133)
	Mean (N)	Mean (N)
Education Level (Highest Grade Completed)	10.42 (264)	10.56 (191)
	% (N)	% (N)
H.S. Graduate (Yes/No)	29.9 (79)	33.0 (63)
Offense Type*	% (N)	% (N)
Person	11.4 (30)	14.1 (27)
Sex	3.4 (9)	12.0 (23)
Drug	26.1 (69)	38.7 (74)
Property	45.1 (119)	28.3 (54)
Other	14.0 (37)	6.8 (13)
Degree of Current Offense*	% (N)	% (N)
First	1.9 (5)	5.2 (10)
Second	7.6 (20)	22.5 (43)
Third	13.3 (35)	17.3 (33)
Fourth	43.9 (116)	33.0 (63)
Fifth	33.3 (88)	22.0 (42)
History of Alcohol Abuse (Yes/No)	80.3 (212)	81.7 (156)
History of Drug Abuse (Yes/No)	81.4 (215)	76.4 (146)
Mental Health Problems Identified (Yes/No)*	20.8 (55)	31.9 (61)
	Mean (N)	Mean (N)
Risk Level*	65.81 (264)	68.83 (191)
Risk Category	% (N)	% (N)
Low	4.9 (13)	3.1 (6)
Low/Moderate	14.8 (39)	16.8 (32)
Moderate	53.4 (141)	45.5 (87)
High	26.9 (71)	34.6 (66)

*Difference Significant at $p < .05$

Table 162. Programming Information for Western Ohio Regional Treatment and Rehabilitation Center

Variable	Experimental Group
	% (N)
Academic Training Needed	60.2 (159)
Percent Participated In	63.6 (168)
Vocational Training Needed	71.6 (189)
Percent Participated In	18.9 (50)
Employment Assistance Needed	98.9 (261)
Percent Participated In	87.9 (232)
Assistance with Accommodations Needed	9.8 (26)
Percent Participated In	79.9 (211)
Substance Abuse Counseling Needed	89.8 (237)
Percent Participated In	93.6 (247)
Alcohol Abuse Counseling Needed	90.9 (240)
Percent Participated In	93.6 (247)
Mental Health Counseling Needed	19.3 (51)
Percent Participated In	38.6 (102)
Anger Management Counseling Needed	98.1 (259)
Percent Participated In	94.7 (250)
Sexual Behavior Counseling Needed	11.0 (29)
Percent Participated In	4.2 (11)
Termination Status	% (N)
Successful	76.9 (203)
Unsuccessful	23.1 (61)

*Difference Significant at $p < .05$

Table 163. Descriptive Statistics By Termination Status for Western Ohio Regional Treatment and Rehabilitation Center

Variable	Successful Terminations	Unsuccessful Terminations
	Mean (N)	Mean (N)
Age (Average Age in Years)	26.6 (203)	25.8 (61)
Race	% (N)	% (N)
Black	16.7 (34)	12.1 (7)
White	83.3 (169)	87.9 (51)
Sex	% (N)	% (N)
Male	77.8 (158)	77.0 (47)
Female	22.2 (45)	23.0 (14)
Marital Status	% (N)	% (N)
Married	11.8 (24)	6.6 (4)
Never Married	68.0 (138)	82.0 (50)
Divorced/Separated/Widowed	20.2 (41)	11.5 (7)

*Difference Significant at $p < .05$

Table 164. Descriptive Statistics for Risk/Need Factors by Termination Status for Western Ohio Regional Treatment and Rehabilitation Center

Variable	Successful Terminations	Unsuccessful Terminations
	Mean (N)	Mean (N)
Prior Arrests	2.50 (181)	2.88 (50)
	% (N)	% (N)
Prior Arrest (Yes/No)	100.0 (181)	100.0 (50)
	Mean (N)	Mean (N)
Prior Incarcerations in State of Ohio	0.24 (203)	0.36 (61)
	% (N)	% (N)
Prior Incarcerations (Yes/No)	20.2 (41)	26.2 (16)
Employment Status at Arrest	% (N)	% (N)
Employed	43.8 (89)	47.5 (29)
Unemployed	56.2 (114)	52.5 (32)
	Mean (N)	Mean (N)
Education Level (Highest Grade Completed)	10.51 (203)	10.12 (61)
	% (N)	% (N)
H.S. Graduate (Yes/No)	31.5 (64)	24.6 (15)
Offense Type	% (N)	% (N)
Person	10.3 (21)	14.8 (9)
Sex	2.5 (5)	6.6 (4)
Drug	27.6 (56)	21.3 (13)
Property	45.3 (92)	44.3 (27)
Other	14.3 (29)	13.1 (8)
Degree of Current Offense	% (N)	% (N)
First	2.0 (4)	1.6 (1)
Second	7.9 (16)	6.6 (4)
Third	13.8 (28)	11.5 (7)
Fourth	42.9 (87)	47.5 (29)
Fifth	33.5 (68)	32.8 (20)
History of Alcohol Abuse (Yes/No)	81.8 (166)	75.4 (46)
History of Drug Abuse (Yes/No)	81.8 (166)	80.3 (49)
Mental Health Problems Identified (Yes/No)*	16.7 (34)	34.4 (21)
	Mean (N)	Mean (N)
Risk Level*	64.87 (203)	68.92 (61)
Risk Category	% (N)	% (N)
Low	5.9 (12)	1.6 (1)
Low/Moderate	15.3 (31)	13.1 (8)
Moderate	54.2 (110)	50.8 (31)
High	24.6 (50)	34.4 (21)

*Difference Significant at $p < .05$

Table 165. Any Re-Arrest By Group Membership and Risk Level for Western Ohio Regional Treatment and Rehabilitation Center

	All	Risk Level			
		Low	Low/Moderate	Moderate	High
	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)
Experimental	40.0 (76)	44.4 (4)	30.0 (9)	38.2 (39)	49.0 (24)
Comparison	36.3 (66)	0.0 (0)	21.4 (6)	37.8 (31)	43.9 (29)

*Difference Significant at $p < .05$

Table 166. Incarceration for a Technical Violation By Group Membership and Risk Level for Western Ohio Regional Treatment and Rehabilitation Center

	All	Risk Level			
		Low	Low/Moderate	Moderate	High
	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)
Experimental	20.2 (41)	8.3 (1)	9.7 (3)	19.1 (21)	32.0 (16)
Comparison	25.7 (49)	16.7 (1)	12.5 (4)	25.3 (22)	33.3 (22)

*Difference Significant at $p < .05$

Table 167. Incarceration for a New Offense By Group Membership and Risk Level for Western Ohio Regional Treatment and Rehabilitation Center

	All	Risk Level			
		Low	Low/Moderate	Moderate	High
	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)
Experimental	18.2 (37)	8.3 (1)	19.4 (6)	15.5 (17)	26.0 (13)
Comparison	15.7 (30)	0.0 (0)	15.6 (5)	16.1 (14)	16.7 (11)

*Difference Significant at $p < .05$

Table 168. Any New Incarceration By Group Membership and Risk Level for Western Ohio Regional Treatment and Rehabilitation Center

	All	Risk Level			
		Low	Low/Moderate	Moderate	High
	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)
Experimental	38.4 (78)	16.7 (2)	29.0 (9)	34.5 (38)	58.0 (29)
Comparison	41.4 (79)	16.7 (1)	28.1 (9)	41.4 (36)	50.0 (33)

*Difference Significant at $p < .05$

Summit County Community Based Correctional Facility (CBCF)- Men

Summit County Men's CBCF is a secure community facility located in Akron and has been in operation for 10 years. The facility has 50 full-time and 2 part-time staff that serve male probationers eligible for community sanctions. The CBCF has a capacity of 112 and there were 125 offenders in the facility at the time of the site visit. The average length of stay in the facility is 5 months with a maximum stay of 6 months. There is an additional 12 weeks of aftercare that is provided by CBCF treatment staff. The following major services were offered by the facility in FY99:

- Substance abuse
- Employment
- Cognitive groups
- Education

In addition to the core areas, the CBCF also offers groups in AA/NA/self-help, AIDS awareness, anger management, art/sewing, community service, finances, human sexuality, life skills, men's health, mental health, Peer Influence Programming, recreation, relationships, relaxation, spirituality/religion, and Tai Chi.

Changes since FY99:

The major programmatic changes have been the addition of the transitional services program, a privilege point system, and male-specific programming. According to the program manager, other major changes include a grievance tracking system and facility quality assurance.

CBCF male clients participate in a four-phase program designed to facilitate reintegration into the community. The staff indicated that the treatments are primarily based on the cognitive disease models. The facility uses the Level of Service Inventory-Revised to assess offenders' needs. Where applicable, further assessments are done in the areas of chemical dependency, medical needs, employment, education, and mental health. Individualized program plans are based on this information.

Summit County Community Based Correctional Facility (CBCF)– Women

Summit County Women’s CBCF is a secure community facility located in Akron and has been in operation for 10 years. The facility has 26 full-time and 3 part-time staff that serve female probationers eligible for community sanctions. The women’s CBCF has a capacity of 60 and there were 56 offenders in the facility at the time of the site visit. The average length of stay in the facility is 4 months with a maximum stay of 6 months. There is an additional 12 weeks of aftercare that is provided by CBCF treatment staff. The following major services were offered by the facility in FY99:

- Substance abuse
- Employment
- Cognitive groups
- Education

In addition to the core areas, the women’s CBCF also offers groups in AA/NA/self-help, AIDS awareness, anger management, art/sewing, community service, finances, human sexuality, life skills, mental health, parenting, recreation, relaxation, spirituality/religion, women’s health, women-specific issues, and yoga.

Changes since FY99:

The major programmatic changes have been the addition of the transitional services program, and female-specific programming. According to the program director, the other major change was the relocation of the women’s CBCF to a new facility.

CBCF residents participate in a four-phase program designed to facilitate reintegration into the community. The staff indicated that the treatments are primarily based on the disease and cognitive models. The facility uses the Level of Service Inventory-Revised to assess offender needs. Where applicable, they are also assessed in the areas of chemical dependency, medical needs, employment, education and mental health. Individualized program plans are based on this information.

Bivariate Analyses for Summit County

Table 169. Descriptive Statistics for Summit County Community Based Correctional Facility by Group Membership

Variable	Experimental Group	Comparison Group
	Mean (N)	Mean (N)
Age (Average Age in Years)*	29.94 (518)	33.63 (437)
Race	% (N)	% (N)
Black	53.3 (276)	59.2 (258)
White	46.7 (242)	40.8 (178)
Sex*	% (N)	% (N)
Male	74.9 (388)	86.5 (378)
Female	25.1 (130)	13.5 (59)
Marital Status	% (N)	% (N)
Married	14.5 (75)	15.6 (68)
Never Married	68.9 (357)	66.8 (292)
Divorced/Separated/Widowed	16.6 (86)	17.6 (77)

*Difference Significant at $p < .05$

Table 170. Descriptive Statistics for Risk/Need Factors by Group Membership for Summit County Community Based Correctional Facility

Variable	Experimental Group	Comparison Group
	Mean (N)	Mean (N)
Prior Arrests	4.76 (488)	5.25 (425)
	% (N)	% (N)
Prior Arrest (Yes/No)*	100.0 (488)	85.9 (365)
	Mean (N)	Mean (N)
Prior Incarcerations in State of Ohio*	0.65 (518)	1.16 (437)
	% (N)	% (N)
Prior Incarcerations (Yes/No)*	34.6 (179)	54.9 (240)
Employment Status at Arrest*	% (N)	% (N)
Employed	39.2 (203)	30.2 (132)
Unemployed	60.8 (315)	69.8 (305)
	Mean (N)	Mean (N)
Education Level (Highest Grade Completed)	10.60 (518)	10.79 (437)
	% (N)	% (N)
H.S. Graduate (Yes/No)	33.2 (172)	33.9 (148)
Offense Type*	% (N)	% (N)
Person	10.6 (550)	28.4 (124)
Sex	1.0 (5)	6.2 (27)
Drug	44.2 (229)	30.9 (135)
Property	33.0 (171)	26.5 (116)
Other	11.2 (58)	8.0 (35)
Degree of Current Offense*	% (N)	% (N)
First	1.5 (8)	7.1 (31)
Second	5.6 (29)	23.6 (103)
Third	10.8 (56)	18.1 (79)
Fourth	31.5 (163)	25.6 (112)
Fifth	50.5 (261)	25.6 (112)
History of Alcohol Abuse (Yes/No)*	67.6 (350)	77.3 (338)
History of Drug Abuse (Yes/No)*	84.7 (439)	79.9 (349)
Mental Health Problems Identified (Yes/No)*	42.3 (219)	29.7 (130)
	Mean (N)	Mean (N)
Risk Level*	67.80 (518)	70.16 (437)
Risk Category*	% (N)	% (N)
Low	2.1 (11)	5.0 (22)
Low/Moderate	17.2 (89)	14.9 (65)
Moderate	50.4 (261)	39.6 (173)
High	30.3 (157)	40.5 (177)

*Difference Significant at $p < .05$

Table 171. Programming Information for Summit County Community Based Correctional Facility

Variable	Experimental Group
	% (N)
Academic Training Needed	52.3 (271)
Percent Participated In	52.7 (273)
Vocational Training Needed	6.4 (33)
Percent Participated In	2.3 (12)
Employment Assistance Needed	89.2 (462)
Percent Participated In	81.9 (424)
Assistance with Accommodations Needed	47.1 (244)
Percent Participated In	67.6 (350)
Substance Abuse Counseling Needed	85.1 (441)
Percent Participated In	77.8 (403)
Alcohol Abuse Counseling Needed	73.9 (383)
Percent Participated In	77.4 (401)
Mental Health Counseling Needed	41.9 (217)
Percent Participated In	36.3 (188)
Anger Management Counseling Needed	48.5 (251)
Percent Participated In	51.0 (264)
Sexual Behavior Counseling Needed	2.7 (14)
Percent Participated In	0.8 (4)
Termination Status	% (N)
Successful	65.1 (337)
Unsuccessful	34.9 (181)

*Difference Significant at $p < .05$

Table 172. Descriptive Statistics By Termination Status for Summit County Community Based Correctional Facility

Variable	Successful Terminations	Unsuccessful Terminations
	Mean (N)	Mean (N)
Age (Average Age in Years)*	30.7 (337)	28.4 (181)
Race	% (N)	% (N)
Black	50.4 (170)	58.6 (106)
White	49.6 (167)	41.4 (75)
Sex*	% (N)	% (N)
Male	70.6 (238)	82.9 (150)
Female	29.4 (99)	17.1 (31)
Marital Status*	% (N)	% (N)
Married	15.1 (51)	13.3 (24)
Never Married	65.0 (219)	76.2 (138)
Divorced/Separated/Widowed	19.9 (67)	10.5 (19)

*Difference Significant at $p < .05$

Table 173. Descriptive Statistics for Risk/Need Factors by Termination Status for Summit County Community Based Correctional Facility

Variable	Successful Terminations	Unsuccessful Terminations
	Mean (N)	Mean (N)
Prior Arrests	4.72 (319)	4.84 (169)
	% (N)	% (N)
Prior Arrest (Yes/No)	100.0 (319)	100.0 (169)
	Mean (N)	Mean (N)
Prior Incarcerations in State of Ohio	0.62 (337)	0.71 (181)
	% (N)	% (N)
Prior Incarcerations (Yes/No)	35.6 (120)	32.6 (59)
Employment Status at Arrest*	% (N)	% (N)
Employed	43.6 (147)	30.9 (56)
Unemployed	56.4 (190)	69.1 (125)
	Mean (N)	Mean (N)
Education Level (Highest Grade Completed)	10.64 (337)	10.51 (181)
	% (N)	% (N)
H.S. Graduate (Yes/No)	35.6 (120)	28.7 (52)
Offense Type	% (N)	% (N)
Person	9.5 (32)	12.7 (23)
Sex	1.5 (5)	0.0 (0)
Drug	45.4 (153)	42.0 (76)
Property	32.3 (109)	34.3 (62)
Other	11.3 (38)	11.0 (20)
Degree of Current Offense	% (N)	% (N)
First	2.4 (8)	0.0 (0)
Second	5.7 (19)	5.5 (10)
Third	11.6 (39)	9.4 (17)
Fourth	29.2 (98)	35.9 (65)
Fifth	51.2 (172)	49.2 (89)
History of Alcohol Abuse (Yes/No)	64.7 (218)	72.9 (132)
History of Drug Abuse (Yes/No)*	81.9 (276)	90.1 (163)
Mental Health Problems Identified (Yes/No)*	38.9 (131)	48.6 (88)
	Mean (N)	Mean (N)
Risk Level*	14.80 (337)	72.32 (181)
Risk Category*	% (N)	% (N)
Low	2.4 (8)	1.7 (3)
Low/Moderate	22.3 (75)	7.7 (14)
Moderate	51.0 (172)	49.2 (89)
High	24.3 (82)	41.4 (75)

*Difference Significant at $p < .05$

Table 174. Any Re-Arrest By Group Membership and Risk Level for Summit County Community Based Correctional Facility

	All	Risk Level			
		Low	Low/Moderate	Moderate	High
	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)
Experimental	55.3 (178)	0.0 (0)	38.6 (27)	51.2 (85)	82.5 (66)
Comparison	59.4 (255)	31.6 (6)	34.4 (21)	53.5 (92)	76.8 (136)

*Difference Significant at $p < .05$

Table 175. Incarceration for a Technical Violation By Group Membership and Risk Level for Summit County Community Based Correctional Facility

	All	Risk Level			
		Low	Low/Moderate	Moderate	High
	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)
Experimental	25.2 (85)	0.0 (0)	21.3 (16)	21.5 (37)	39.0 (32)
Comparison	26.1 (114)	13.6 (3)	15.4 (10)	26.0 (45)	31.6 (56)

*Difference Significant at $p < .05$

Table 176. Incarceration for a New Offense By Group Membership and Risk Level for Summit County Community Based Correctional Facility

	All*	Risk Level			
		Low	Low/Moderate	Moderate	High*
	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)
Experimental	11.6 (39)	0.0 (0)	9.3 (7)	12.2 (21)	13.4 (11)
Comparison	19.9 (87)	0.0 (0)	10.8 (7)	16.8 (29)	28.8 (51)

*Difference Significant at $p < .05$

Table 177. Any New Incarceration By Group Membership and Risk Level for Summit County Community Based Correctional Facility

	All*	Risk Level			
		Low	Low/Moderate	Moderate	High
	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)
Experimental	36.8 (124)	0.0 (0)	30.7 (23)	33.7 (58)	52.4 (43)
Comparison	46.0 (201)	13.6 (3)	26.2 (17)	42.8 (74)	60.5 (107)

*Difference Significant at $p < .05$

HWH PROGRAM ANALYSES

Alvis House Dunning Hall

The Dunning Hall Alvis House is located in Columbus and the agency has been in operation since 1967. The facility currently has 10 full-time staff and 1 part-time staff and has the capacity to serve 38 female offenders. At the time of the site visit, the facility was providing services to 37 offenders. Offenders spend between 1 and 12 months in the program with the average length of time in the program being 4 months. Aftercare is provided by Alvis House and Netcare. The following major services were offered by the facility in FY99:

- Substance abuse
- Employment
- Cognitive groups
- Education
- Financial
- Substance abuse education

In addition to the core areas, Dunning Hall also offers groups in parenting, domestic violence, life skills, HIV awareness, sewing, nutrition, and quilting.

Changes since FY99:

The major programmatic change has been the loss of substance abuse treatment groups and the loss of the GED program.

Staff indicated that the treatment models included: self-help, cognitive, and behavioral. In FY99, the facility used a bio-psycho-social intake instrument to assess offenders. However, the facility has implemented the Level of Service Inventory to assess offenders.

Bivariate Analyses for Alvis House Dunning Hall

Table 177. Descriptive Statistics for Alvis House Dunning Hall by Group Membership

Variable	Experimental Group	Comparison Group
	Mean (N)	Mean (N)
Age (Average Age in Years)*	32.43 (141)	37.86 (94)
Race	% (N)	% (N)
Black	52.9 (74)	64.9 (61)
White	47.1 (66)	35.1 (33)
Sex	% (N)	% (N)
Male	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)
Female	100.0 (141)	100.0 (94)
Marital Status	% (N)	% (N)
Married	15.6 (22)	21.3 (20)
Never Married	53.3 (78)	53.2 (50)
Divorced/Separated/Widowed	29.1 (41)	25.5 (24)

*Difference Significant at $p < .05$

Table 178. Descriptive Statistics for Risk/Need Factors by Group Membership for Alvis House Dunning Hall

Variable	Experimental Group	Comparison Group
	Mean (N)	Mean (N)
Prior Arrests*	5.76 (127)	8.58 (89)
	% (N)	% (N)
Prior Arrest (Yes/No)*	99.2 (126)	91.0 (81)
	Mean (N)	Mean (N)
Prior Incarcerations in State of Ohio	0.58 (141)	0.54 (94)
	% (N)	% (N)
Prior Incarcerations (Yes/No)	35.5 (50)	24.5 (23)
Employment Status at Arrest*	% (N)	% (N)
Employed	45.4 (64)	19.1 (18)
Unemployed	54.6 (77)	80.9 (76)
	Mean (N)	Mean (N)
Education Level (Highest Grade Completed)	10.60 (141)	10.89 (94)
	% (N)	% (N)
H.S. Graduate (Yes/No)	39.7 (56)	34.0 (32)
Offense Type*	% (N)	% (N)
Person	5.0 (7)	21.3 (20)
Sex	0.0(0)	1.1 (1)
Drug	43.3 (61)	34.0 (32)
Property	44.0 (62)	39.4 (37)
Other	7.8 (11)	4.3 (4)
Degree of Current Offense*	% (N)	% (N)
First	2.3 (3)	2.1 (2)
Second	1.5 (2)	34.0 (32)
Third	18.2 (24)	23.4 (22)
Fourth	33.3 (44)	16.0 (15)
Fifth	44.7 (59)	24.5 (23)
History of Alcohol Abuse (Yes/No)*	30.5 (43)	64.9 (61)
History of Drug Abuse (Yes/No)*	66.0 (93)	85.1 (80)
Mental Health Problems Identified (Yes/No)*	27.0 (38)	47.9 (45)
	Mean (N)	Mean (N)
Risk Level	58.84 (141)	63.12 (94)
Risk Category*	% (N)	% (N)
Low	5.7 (8)	13.8 (13)
Low/Moderate	29.1 (41)	14.9 (14)
Moderate	48.2 (68)	44.7 (42)
High	17.0 (24)	26.6 (25)

*Difference Significant at $p < .05$

Table 179. Programming Information for Alvis House Dunning Hall

Variable	Experimental Group
	% (N)
Academic Training Needed	27.7 (39)
Percent Participated In	54.6 (77)
Vocational Training Needed	32.6 (46)
Percent Participated In	20.6 (29)
Employment Assistance Needed	63.1 (89)
Percent Participated In	64.5 (91)
Assistance with Accommodations Needed	29.8 (42)
Percent Participated In	76.6 (108)
Substance Abuse Counseling Needed	61.0 (86)
Percent Participated In	96.5 (136)
Alcohol Abuse Counseling Needed	35.5 (50)
Percent Participated In	92.9 (131)
Mental Health Counseling Needed	26.2 (37)
Percent Participated In	23.4 (33)
Anger Management Counseling Needed	31.2 (44)
Percent Participated In	22.0 (31)
Sexual Behavior Counseling Needed	2.8 (4)
Percent Participated In	0.0 (0)
Termination Status	% (N)
Successful	84.4 (119)
Unsuccessful	15.6 (22)

*Difference Significant at $p < .05$

Table 180. Descriptive Statistics By Termination Status for Alvis House Dunning Hall

Variable	Successful Terminations	Unsuccessful Terminations
	Mean (N)	Mean (N)
Age (Average Age in Years)	32.75 (119)	30.68 (22)
Race	% (N)	% (N)
Black	54.6 (65)	42.9 (9)
White	45.4 (54)	57.1 (12)
Sex	% (N)	% (N)
Male	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)
Female	100.0 (119)	100.0 (22)
Marital Status	% (N)	% (N)
Married	17.6 (21)	4.5 (1)
Never Married	52.9 (63)	68.2 (15)
Divorced/Separated/Widowed	29.4 (35)	27.3 (6)

*Difference Significant at $p < .05$

Table 181. Descriptive Statistics for Risk/Need Factors by Termination Status for Alvis House Dunning Hall

Variable	Successful Terminations	Unsuccessful Terminations
	Mean (N)	Mean (N)
Prior Arrests*	5.31 (108)	8.32 (19)
	% (N)	% (N)
Prior Arrest (Yes/No)	99.1 (107)	100.0 (19)
	Mean (N)	Mean (N)
Prior Incarcerations in State of Ohio	0.56 (119)	0.68 (22)
	% (N)	% (N)
Prior Incarcerations (Yes/No)	34.5 (41)	40.9 (9)
Employment Status at Arrest	% (N)	% (N)
Employed	47.1 (56)	36.4 (8)
Unemployed	52.9 (63)	63.6 (14)
	Mean (N)	Mean (N)
Education Level (Highest Grade Completed)*	10.79 (119)	9.53 (22)
	% (N)	% (N)
H.S. Graduate (Yes/No)	42.9 (51)	22.7 (5)
Offense Type*	% (N)	% (N)
Person	4.2 (5)	9.1 (2)
Sex	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)
Drug	47.1 (56)	22.7 (5)
Property	40.3 (48)	63.6 (14)
Other	8.4 (10)	4.5 (1)
Degree of Current Offense	% (N)	% (N)
First	2.7 (3)	0.0 (0)
Second	1.8 (2)	0.0 (0)
Third	17.7 (20)	21.1 (4)
Fourth	33.6 (38)	31.6 (6)
Fifth	44.2 (50)	47.4 (9)
History of Alcohol Abuse (Yes/No)	29.4 (35)	36.4 (8)
History of Drug Abuse (Yes/No)	66.4 (79)	63.6 (14)
Mental Health Problems Identified (Yes/No)	25.2 (30)	36.4 (8)
	Mean (N)	Mean (N)
Risk Level*	58.69 (119)	66.09 (22)
Risk Category*	% (N)	% (N)
Low	6.7 (8)	0.0 (0)
Low/Moderate	29.4 (35)	27.3 (6)
Moderate	50.4 (60)	36.4 (8)
High	13.4 (16)	36.4 (8)

*Difference Significant at $p < .05$

Table 182. Any Re-Arrest By Group Membership and Risk Level for Alvis House Dunning Hall

	All	Risk Level			
		Low	Low/Moderate	Moderate	High
	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)
Experimental	36.1 (39)	12.5 (1)	22.2 (6)	36.8 (21)	68.8 (11)
Comparison	32.6 (29)	0.0 (0)	30.8 (4)	28.2 (11)	56.0 (14)

*Difference Significant at $p < .05$

Table 183. Incarceration for a Technical Violation By Group Membership and Risk Level for Alvis House Dunning Hall

	All	Risk Level			
		Low	Low/Moderate	Moderate	High
	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)
Experimental	9.2 (11)	0.0 (0)	2.9 (1)	11.7 (7)	18.8 (3)
Comparison	8.5 (8)	0.0 (0)	7.1 (1)	9.5 (4)	12.0 (3)

*Difference Significant at $p < .05$

Table 184. Incarceration for a New Offense By Group Membership and Risk Level for Alvis House Dunning Hall

	All	Risk Level			
		Low	Low/Moderate	Moderate	High
	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)
Experimental	7.6 (9)	0.0 (0)	5.7 (2)	6.7 (4)	18.8 (3)
Comparison	13.8 (13)	0.0 (0)	14.3 (2)	7.1 (3)	32.0 (8)

*Difference Significant at $p < .05$

Table 185. Any New Incarceration By Group Membership and Risk Level for Alvis House Dunning Hall

	All	Risk Level			
		Low	Low/Moderate	Moderate	High
	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)
Experimental	16.8 (20)	0.0 (0)	8.6 (3)	18.3 (11)	37.5 (6)
Comparison	22.3 (21)	0.0 (0)	21.4 (3)	16.7 (7)	44.0 (11)

*Difference Significant at $p < .05$

Alvis House Alum Creek

The Alum Creek Alvis House is located in Columbus and the agency has been in operation since 1967. The facility currently has 22 full-time staff and 1 part-time staff and has the capacity to serve 104 male offenders. At the time of the site visit, the facility was providing services to 104 offenders. Offenders spend between 3 and 12 months in the program with the average length of time in the program being 4 months. Aftercare is provided by Alvis House, Netcare, and Maryhaven. The following major services were offered by the facility in FY99:

- Substance abuse
- Employment
- Cognitive groups
- Education
- Financial
- Substance abuse education

In addition to the core areas, Alum Creek also offers groups in parenting, HIV awareness, and life skills.

Changes since FY99:

The major programmatic change has been the loss of substance abuse treatment groups, the cognitive group, and the loss of the GED program. Staff reported that there has been a high degree of staff turnover and a low degree of successful completion for state offenders.

In FY99, the facility includes a separate bootcamp. Staff indicated that the treatment models included: self-help, cognitive, and eclectic. In FY99, the facility used a bio-psycho-social intake instrument to assess offenders. However, the facility has implemented the Level of Service Inventory to assess offenders.

Bivariate Analyses for Alvis House Alum Creek

Table 186. Descriptive Statistics for Alvis House Alum Creek by Group Membership

Variable	Experimental Group	Comparison Group
	Mean (N)	Mean (N)
Age (Average Age in Years)*	28.51 (335)	39.24 (314)
Race	% (N)	% (N)
Black	62.3 (208)	62.9 (197)
White	37.7 (126)	37.1 (116)
Sex	% (N)	% (N)
Male	100.0 (335)	100.0 (314)
Female	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)
Marital Status*	% (N)	% (N)
Married	11.0 (37)	22.9 (72)
Never Married	74.9 (251)	56.1 (176)
Divorced/Separated/Widowed	14.0 (47)	21.0 (66)

*Difference Significant at $p < .05$

Table 187. Descriptive Statistics for Risk/Need Factors by Group Membership for Alvis House Alum Creek

Variable	Experimental Group	Comparison Group
	Mean (N)	Mean (N)
Prior Arrests*	5.46 (309)	8.18 (305)
	% (N)	% (N)
Prior Arrest (Yes/No)*	100.0 (309)	93.8 (286)
	Mean (N)	Mean (N)
Prior Incarcerations in State of Ohio*	0.56 (335)	0.84 (314)
	% (N)	% (N)
Prior Incarcerations (Yes/No)	31.0 (104)	37.6 (118)
Employment Status at Arrest*	% (N)	% (N)
Employed	57.9 (194)	34.1 (107)
Unemployed	42.1 (141)	65.9 (207)
	Mean (N)	Mean (N)
Education Level (Highest Grade Completed)	10.51 (335)	10.76 (314)
	% (N)	% (N)
H.S. Graduate (Yes/No)	27.8 (93)	32.8 (103)
Offense Type*	% (N)	% (N)
Person	10.1 (34)	24.8 (78)
Sex	0.0 (0)	4.8 (15)
Drug	53.7 (180)	34.7 (109)
Property	28.7 (96)	30.3 (95)
Other	7.5 (25)	5.4 (17)
Degree of Current Offense*	% (N)	% (N)
First	6.9 (20)	8.9 (28)
Second	10.1 (29)	27.7 (87)
Third	24.3 (70)	18.5 (58)
Fourth	31.6 (91)	23.6 (74)
Fifth	27.1 (78)	21.3 (67)
History of Alcohol Abuse (Yes/No)*	38.5 (129)	69.1 (217)
History of Drug Abuse (Yes/No)*	52.5 (176)	78.7 (247)
Mental Health Problems Identified (Yes/No)*	10.1 (34)	25.2 (79)
	Mean (N)	Mean (N)
Risk Level*	61.65 (335)	65.47 (314)
Risk Category	% (N)	% (N)
Low	5.1 (17)	4.8 (15)
Low/Moderate	25.1 (84)	20.4 (64)
Moderate	50.4 (169)	48.1 (151)
High	19.4 (65)	26.8 (84)

*Difference Significant at $p < .05$

Table 188. Programming Information for Alvis House Alum Creek

Variable	Experimental Group
	% (N)
Academic Training Needed	44.8 (150)
Percent Participated In	34.6 (116)
Vocational Training Needed	43.0 (144)
Percent Participated In	4.2 (14)
Employment Assistance Needed	68.4 (229)
Percent Participated In	91.9 (308)
Assistance with Accommodations Needed	31.9 (107)
Percent Participated In	74.6 (250)
Substance Abuse Counseling Needed	71.6 (240)
Percent Participated In	97.6 (327)
Alcohol Abuse Counseling Needed	63.6 (213)
Percent Participated In	95.2 (319)
Mental Health Counseling Needed	9.0 (30)
Percent Participated In	4.8 (16)
Anger Management Counseling Needed	32.2 (108)
Percent Participated In	63.0 (211)
Sexual Behavior Counseling Needed	1.5 (5)
Percent Participated In	0.0 (0)
Termination Status	% (N)
Successful	82.7 (277)
Unsuccessful	17.3 (58)

*Difference Significant at $p < .05$

Table 189. Descriptive Statistics By Termination Status for Alvis House Alum Creek

Variable	Successful Terminations	Unsuccessful Terminations
	Mean (N)	Mean (N)
Age (Average Age in Years)*	27.68 (277)	32.52 (58)
Race	% (N)	% (N)
Black	60.9 (168)	69.0 (40)
White	39.1 (108)	31.0 (18)
Sex	% (N)	% (N)
Male	100.0 (277)	100.0 (58)
Female	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)
Marital Status	% (N)	% (N)
Married	10.8 (30)	12.1 (7)
Never Married	76.9 (213)	65.5 (38)
Divorced/Separated/Widowed	12.3 (34)	22.4 (13)

*Difference Significant at $p < .05$

Table 190. Descriptive Statistics for Risk/Need Factors by Termination Status for Alvis House Alum Creek

Variable	Successful Terminations	Unsuccessful Terminations
	Mean (N)	Mean (N)
Prior Arrests*	4.90 (256)	8.17 (53)
	% (N)	% (N)
Prior Arrest (Yes/No)	100.0 (256)	100.0 (53)
	Mean (N)	Mean (N)
Prior Incarcerations in State of Ohio*	0.48 (277)	0.97 (58)
	% (N)	% (N)
Prior Incarcerations (Yes/No)*	28.2 (78)	44.8 (26)
Employment Status at Arrest	% (N)	% (N)
Employed	56.3 (156)	65.5 (38)
Unemployed	43.7 (121)	34.5 (20)
	Mean (N)	Mean (N)
Education Level (Highest Grade Completed)	10.45 (277)	10.77 (58)
	% (N)	% (N)
H.S. Graduate (Yes/No)	26.4 (73)	34.5 (20)
Offense Type*	% (N)	% (N)
Person	7.6 (21)	22.4 (13)
Sex	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)
Drug	58.5 (162)	31.0 (18)
Property	26.7 (74)	37.9 (22)
Other	7.2 (20)	8.6 (5)
Degree of Current Offense*	% (N)	% (N)
First	6.7 (16)	8.2 (4)
Second	6.3 (15)	28.6 (14)
Third	27.2 (65)	10.2 (5)
Fourth	32.6 (78)	26.5 (13)
Fifth	27.2 (65)	26.5 (13)
History of Alcohol Abuse (Yes/No)	37.2 (103)	44.8 (26)
History of Drug Abuse (Yes/No)	51.6 (143)	56.9 (33)
Mental Health Problems Identified (Yes/No)*	6.5 (18)	27.6 (16)
	Mean (N)	Mean (N)
Risk Level	62.03 (277)	59.79 (58)
Risk Category	% (N)	% (N)
Low	5.1 (14)	5.2 (3)
Low/Moderate	22.0 (61)	39.7 (23)
Moderate	54.2 (150)	32.8 (19)
High	18.8 (52)	22.4 (13)

*Difference Significant at $p < .05$

Table 191. Any Re-Arrest By Group Membership and Risk Level for Alvis House Alum Creek

	All	Risk Level			
		Low	Low/Moderate	Moderate	High
	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)
Experimental	46.5 (119)	9.1 (1)	34.0 (18)	47.1 (66)	65.4 (34)
Comparison	50.5 (154)	25.0 (3)	25.4 (16)	52.1 (76)	70.2 (59)

*Difference Significant at $p < .05$

Table 192. Incarceration for a Technical Violation By Group Membership and Risk Level for Alvis House Alum Creek

	All*	Risk Level			
		Low	Low/Moderate	Moderate*	High
	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)
Experimental	10.8 (30)	21.4 (3)	11.5 (7)	7.3 (11)	17.3 (9)
Comparison	22.3 (70)	26.7 (4)	9.4 (6)	26.5 (40)	23.8 (20)

*Difference Significant at $p < .05$

Table 193. Incarceration for a New Offense By Group Membership and Risk Level for Alvis House Alum Creek

	All	Risk Level			
		Low	Low/Moderate	Moderate	High
	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)
Experimental	16.6 (46)	7.1 (1)	13.1 (8)	14.7 (22)	28.8 (15)
Comparison	17.5 (55)	0.0 (0)	6.3 (4)	17.9 (27)	28.6 (24)

*Difference Significant at $p < .05$

Table 194. Any New Incarceration By Group Membership and Risk Level for Alvis House Alum Creek

	All*	Risk Level			
		Low	Low/Moderate	Moderate*	High
	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)
Experimental	27.4 (76)	28.6 (4)	24.6 (15)	22.0 (33)	46.2 (24)
Comparison	39.8 (125)	26.7 (4)	15.6 (10)	44.4 (67)	52.4 (44)

*Difference Significant at $p < .05$

Community Treatment and Correction Center

Community Treatment and Correction Center is a halfway house located in Canton and has been in operation for 29 years. The facility has 17 full-time staff and 1 part-time staff that serve male offenders in need of rehabilitative services. CTCC has a capacity of 50 and there were 50 offenders in the facility at the time of the site visit. The average length of stay in the facility is 3 months. Services are provided both in-house and through community referrals. CTCC serves parolees and probationers, specializing in those difficult-to-place clients who would otherwise not qualify for a halfway house or community setting. The following major services were offered by the facility in FY99:

- Chemical dependency treatment
- Substance abuse education
- Cognitive groups
- Education
- Employment (job readiness)
- Financial
- Anger management

In addition to the core areas, CTCC also offers groups in family therapy, life skills, stress management, recreation, community service, and referrals for medical/dental services

Changes since FY99:

According to the program director, the major programmatic changes since 1999 have been the change of the chemical dependency treatment from intensive outpatient to on-site halfway house treatment, and the newly contracted monitoring service conducted by an independent security company.

CTCC residents participate in a different treatment groups based on individualized program plans, and staff indicated that the treatments are primarily based on the medical or disease model. The facility does not evaluate overall offender risk and uses the SASSI to assess substance abuse related needs. Individualized program plans are based on this and other substance abuse assessment information.

Bivariate Analyses for Community Treatment and Corrections Center

Table 195. Descriptive Statistics for Canton Community Treatment Center by Group Membership

Variable	Experimental Group	Comparison Group
	Mean (N)	Mean (N)
Age (Average Age in Years)	35.39 (136)	36.69 (101)
Race	% (N)	% (N)
Black	62.5 (85)	57.0 (57)
White	37.5 (51)	43.0 (43)
Sex	% (N)	% (N)
Male	100.0 (136)	100.0 (101)
Female	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)
Marital Status	% (N)	% (N)
Married	10.3 (14)	18.8 (19)
Never Married	69.1 (94)	66.3 (67)
Divorced/Separated/Widowed	20.6 (28)	14.9 (15)

*Difference Significant at $p < .05$

Table 196. Descriptive Statistics for Risk/Need Factors by Group Membership for Canton Community Treatment Center

Variable	Experimental Group	Comparison Group
	Mean (N)	Mean (N)
Prior Arrests	7.35 (123)	5.98 (92)
	% (N)	% (N)
Prior Arrest (Yes/No)*	100.0 (123)	94.6 (87)
	Mean (N)	Mean (N)
Prior Incarcerations in State of Ohio*	1.08 (136)	0.77 (101)
	% (N)	% (N)
Prior Incarcerations (Yes/No)*	56.6 (77)	42.6 (43)
Employment Status at Arrest*	% (N)	% (N)
Employed	52.2 (71)	34.7 (35)
Unemployed	47.8 (65)	65.3 (66)
	Mean (N)	Mean (N)
Education Level (Highest Grade Completed)	11.06 (136)	10.82 (101)
	% (N)	% (N)
H.S. Graduate (Yes/No)	39.7 (54)	30.7 (31)
Offense Type*	% (N)	% (N)
Person	33.8 (46)	23.8 (24)
Sex	2.2 (3)	8.9 (9)
Drug	23.5 (32)	40.6 (41)
Property	33.8 (46)	22.8 (23)
Other	6.6 (9)	4.0 (4)
Degree of Current Offense	% (N)	% (N)
First	11.7 (15)	8.9 (9)
Second	26.6 (34)	38.6 (39)
Third	18.0 (23)	15.8 (16)
Fourth	23.4 (30)	19.8 (20)
Fifth	20.3 (26)	16.8 (17)
History of Alcohol Abuse (Yes/No)*	58.8 (80)	76.2 (77)
History of Drug Abuse (Yes/No)	75.7 (103)	80.2 (81)
Mental Health Problems Identified (Yes/No)	21.3 (29)	27.7 (28)
	Mean (N)	Mean (N)
Risk Level	17.15 (136)	64.45 (101)
Risk Category	% (N)	% (N)
Low	7.4 (10)	5.9 (6)
Low/Moderate	21.3 (29)	19.8 (20)
Moderate	47.1 (64)	49.5 (50)
High	24.3 (33)	24.8 (25)

*Difference Significant at $p < .05$

Table 197. Programming Information for Canton Community Treatment Center

Variable	Experimental Group
	% (N)
Academic Training Needed	34.6 (47)
Percent Participated In	2.2 (3)
Vocational Training Needed	33.1 (45)
Percent Participated In	24.3 (33)
Employment Assistance Needed	75.7 (103)
Percent Participated In	87.5 (119)
Assistance with Accommodations Needed	47.1 (64)
Percent Participated In	63.2 (86)
Substance Abuse Counseling Needed	69.9 (95)
Percent Participated In	83.1 (113)
Alcohol Abuse Counseling Needed	55.9 (76)
Percent Participated In	83.1 (113)
Mental Health Counseling Needed	21.3 (29)
Percent Participated In	11.8 (16)
Anger Management Counseling Needed	25.0 (34)
Percent Participated In	27.9 (38)
Sexual Behavior Counseling Needed	1.5 (2)
Percent Participated In	0.7 (1)
Termination Status	% (N)
Successful	57.4 (78)
Unsuccessful	42.6 (58)

*Difference Significant at $p < .05$

Table 198. Descriptive Statistics By Termination Status for Canton Community Treatment Center

Variable	Successful Terminations	Unsuccessful Terminations
	Mean (N)	Mean (N)
Age (Average Age in Years)	36.19 (78)	34.31 (58)
Race	% (N)	% (N)
Black	62.8 (49)	62.1 (36)
White	37.2 (29)	37.9 (22)
Sex	% (N)	% (N)
Male	100.0 (78)	100.0 (58)
Female	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)
Marital Status	% (N)	% (N)
Married	10.3 (8)	10.3 (6)
Never Married	65.4 (51)	74.1 (43)
Divorced/Separated/Widowed	24.4 (19)	15.5 (9)

*Difference Significant at $p < .05$

Table 199. Descriptive Statistics for Risk/Need Factors by Termination Status for Canton Community Treatment Center

Variable	Successful Terminations	Unsuccessful Terminations
	Mean (N)	Mean (N)
Prior Arrests*	6.24 (71)	8.87 (52)
	% (N)	% (N)
Prior Arrest (Yes/No)	100.0 (71)	100.0 (52)
	Mean (N)	Mean (N)
Prior Incarcerations in State of Ohio*	0.90 (78)	1.33 (58)
	% (N)	% (N)
Prior Incarcerations (Yes/No)	50.0 (39)	65.5 (38)
Employment Status at Arrest	% (N)	% (N)
Employed	56.4 (44)	46.6 (27)
Unemployed	43.6 (34)	53.4 (31)
	Mean (N)	Mean (N)
Education Level (Highest Grade Completed)	11.05 (78)	11.08 (58)
	% (N)	% (N)
H.S. Graduate (Yes/No)	39.7 (31)	39.7 (23)
Offense Type	% (N)	% (N)
Person	30.8 (24)	37.9 (22)
Sex	1.3 (1)	3.4 (2)
Drug	28.2 (22)	17.2 (10)
Property	32.1 (25)	36.2 (21)
Other	7.7 (6)	5.2 (3)
Degree of Current Offense	% (N)	% (N)
First	16.4 (12)	5.5 (3)
Second	28.8 (21)	23.6 (13)
Third	19.2 (14)	16.4 (9)
Fourth	21.9 (16)	25.5 (14)
Fifth	13.7 (10)	29.1 (16)
History of Alcohol Abuse (Yes/No)	61.5 (48)	55.2 (32)
History of Drug Abuse (Yes/No)	76.9 (50)	74.1 (43)
Mental Health Problems Identified (Yes/No)	19.2 (15)	24.1 (14)
	Mean (N)	Mean (N)
Risk Level*	60.46 (78)	68.47 (58)
Risk Category*	% (N)	% (N)
Low	10.3 (8)	3.4 (2)
Low/Moderate	30.8 (24)	8.6 (5)
Moderate	35.9 (28)	62.1 (36)
High	23.1 (18)	25.9 (15)

*Difference Significant at $p < .05$

Table 200. Any Re-Arrest By Group Membership and Risk Level for Canton Community Treatment Center

	All	Risk Level			
		Low	Low/Moderate	Moderate	High
	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)
Experimental	53.5 (38)	28.6 (2)	35.0 (7)	65.4 (17)	66.7 (12)
Comparison	55.8 (53)	33.3 (2)	52.6 (10)	50.0 (23)	75.0 (18)

*Difference Significant at $p < .05$

Table 201. Incarceration for a Technical Violation By Group Membership and Risk Level for Canton Community Treatment Center

	All	Risk Level			
		Low	Low/Moderate	Moderate	High
	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)
Experimental	23.1 (18)	37.5 (3)	20.8 (5)	25.0 (7)	16.7 (3)
Comparison	30.7 (31)	0.0 (0)	35.0 (7)	32.0 (16)	32.0 (8)

*Difference Significant at $p < .05$

Table 202. Incarceration for a New Offense By Group Membership and Risk Level for Canton Community Treatment Center

	All	Risk Level			
		Low	Low/Moderate	Moderate	High
	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)
Experimental	23.1 (18)	0.0 (0)	12.5 (3)	28.6 (8)	38.9 (7)
Comparison	23.8 (24)	33.3 (2)	10.0 (2)	24.0 (12)	32.0 (8)

*Difference Significant at $p < .05$

Table 203. Any New Incarceration By Group Membership and Risk Level for Canton Community Treatment Center

	All	Risk Level			
		Low	Low/Moderate	Moderate	High
	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)
Experimental	46.2 (36)	37.5 (3)	33.3 (8)	53.6 (15)	55.6 (10)
Comparison	54.5 (55)	33.3 (2)	45.0 (9)	56.0 (28)	64.0 (16)

*Difference Significant at $p < .05$

Harbor Light Salvation Army

The Salvation Army Harbor Light program located in Cleveland has been in existence since 1949 and serves both men and women. The program has a capacity of 130 residents and was operating at capacity at the time of the assessment. Eighty-three percent of the offenders were male and 17 percent were female. The program has 39 full-time staff and one part-time staff. On average, participants stay in the program for three to 5.5 months. Aftercare is currently provided in-house, however, it is only required of IOP clients. It is not clear if aftercare was provided at all during FY99. The major services offered during FY99 were:

- Substance abuse
- Employment
- Cognitive groups
- Education
- Financial
- Spiritual counseling
- Parenting/life skills
- Self support groups

Changes since FY99:

Since FY99, mental health and anger management groups have been added while cognitive groups have been eliminated. Other changes involve the primary administrator. Specifically, it was noted that the primary administrator has traditionally been a Salvation Army Officer and that “this is the first time a lay person has been executive director.” Other changes include the expansion of electronic monitoring for transitional control clients, the enhancement of employment services and increased exposure to and participation in community activities.

The participants are assessed using a psychosocial interview.

Bivariate Analyses for Harbor Light

Table 204. Descriptive Statistics for Harbor Light Salvation Army Cleveland by Group Membership

Variable	Experimental Group	Comparison Group
	Mean (N)	Mean (N)
Age (Average Age in Years)	34.63 (219)	35.65 (158)
Race	% (N)	% (N)
Black	71.7 (157)	66.5 (105)
White	28.3 (62)	33.5 (53)
Sex	% (N)	% (N)
Male	90.4 (198)	93.0 (147)
Female	9.6 (21)	7.0 (11)
Marital Status*	% (N)	% (N)
Married	11.9 (26)	22.8 (36)
Never Married	73.1 (160)	61.4 (97)
Divorced/Separated/Widowed	15.1 (33)	15.8 (25)

*Difference Significant at $p < .05$

Table 205. Descriptive Statistics for Risk/Need Factors by Group Membership for Harbor Light Salvation Army Cleveland

Variable	Experimental Group	Comparison Group
	Mean (N)	Mean (N)
Prior Arrests	8.35 (185)	8.18 (154)
	% (N)	% (N)
Prior Arrest (Yes/No)*	100.0 (185)	94.2 (145)
	Mean (N)	Mean (N)
Prior Incarcerations in State of Ohio*	0.90 (219)	1.15 (158)
	% (N)	% (N)
Prior Incarcerations (Yes/No)	51.1 (112)	57.6 (91)
Employment Status at Arrest	% (N)	% (N)
Employed	41.1 (90)	35.4 (56)
Unemployed	58.9 (129)	64.6 (102)
	Mean (N)	Mean (N)
Education Level (Highest Grade Completed)*	11.08 (219)	10.63 (158)
	% (N)	% (N)
H.S. Graduate (Yes/No)*	45.7 (100)	31.0 (49)
Offense Type	% (N)	% (N)
Person	26.9 (59)	19.0 (30)
Sex	1.8 (4)	3.2 (5)
Drug	31.5 (69)	31.6 (50)
Property	34.2 (75)	41.1 (65)
Other	5.5 (12)	5.1 (8)
Degree of Current Offense*	% (N)	% (N)
First	10.6 (23)	8.2 (13)
Second	14.4 (31)	25.9 (41)
Third	36.6 (79)	20.3 (32)
Fourth	24.1 (52)	21.5 (34)
Fifth	14.4 (31)	24.1 (38)
History of Alcohol Abuse (Yes/No)*	54.3 (119)	65.8 (104)
History of Drug Abuse (Yes/No)	74.4 (163)	77.8 (123)
Mental Health Problems Identified (Yes/No)*	5.0 (11)	25.9 (41)
	Mean (N)	Mean (N)
Risk Level*	61.41 (219)	67.95 (158)
Risk Category*	% (N)	% (N)
Low	7.3 (16)	5.7 (9)
Low/Moderate	28.3 (62)	15.2 (24)
Moderate	43.8 (96)	43.7 (69)
High	20.5 (45)	35.4 (56)

*Difference Significant at $p < .05$

Table 206. Programming Information for Harbor Light Salvation Army Cleveland

Variable	Experimental Group
	% (N)
Academic Training Needed	21.0 (46)
Percent Participated In	5.5 (12)
Vocational Training Needed	4.6 (10)
Percent Participated In	1.8 (4)
Employment Assistance Needed	88.6 (194)
Percent Participated In	74.9 (164)
Assistance with Accommodations Needed	68.0 (149)
Percent Participated In	57.1 (125)
Substance Abuse Counseling Needed	61.2 (134)
Percent Participated In	60.3 (132)
Alcohol Abuse Counseling Needed	52.5 (115)
Percent Participated In	57.1 (125)
Mental Health Counseling Needed	4.6 (10)
Percent Participated In	2.7 (6)
Anger Management Counseling Needed	3.7 (8)
Percent Participated In	2.3 (5)
Sexual Behavior Counseling Needed	0.9 (2)
Percent Participated In	0.0 (0)
Termination Status	% (N)
Successful	79.9 (175)
Unsuccessful	20.1 (44)

*Difference Significant at $p < .05$

Table 207. Descriptive Statistics By Termination Status for Harbor Light Salvation Army Cleveland

Variable	Successful Terminations	Unsuccessful Terminations
	Mean (N)	Mean (N)
Age (Average Age in Years)	35.35 (149)	33.10 (70)
Race	% (N)	% (N)
Black	69.1 (103)	77.1 (54)
White	30.9 (46)	22.9 (16)
Sex	% (N)	% (N)
Male	91.3 (136)	88.6 (62)
Female	8.7 (13)	11.4 (8)
Marital Status	% (N)	% (N)
Married	11.4 (17)	12.9 (9)
Never Married	73.2 (109)	72.9 (51)
Divorced/Separated/Widowed	15.4 (23)	14.3 (10)

*Difference Significant at $p < .05$

Table 208. Descriptive Statistics for Risk/Need Factors by Termination Status for Harbor Light Salvation Army Cleveland

Variable	Successful Terminations	Unsuccessful Terminations
	Mean (N)	Mean (N)
Prior Arrests	8.38 (129)	8.29 (56)
	% (N)	% (N)
Prior Arrest (Yes/No)	100.0 (129)	100.0 (56)
	Mean (N)	Mean (N)
Prior Incarcerations in State of Ohio	0.87 (149)	0.96 (70)
	% (N)	% (N)
Prior Incarcerations (Yes/No)	51.7 (77)	50.0 (35)
Employment Status at Arrest	% (N)	% (N)
Employed	44.3 (66)	34.3 (24)
Unemployed	55.7 (83)	65.7 (46)
	Mean (N)	Mean (N)
Education Level (Highest Grade Completed)	11.13 (149)	10.97 (70)
	% (N)	% (N)
H.S. Graduate (Yes/No)	47.7 (71)	41.4 (29)
Offense Type	% (N)	% (N)
Person	25.5 (38)	30.0 (21)
Sex	1.3 (2)	2.9 (2)
Drug	33.6 (50)	27.1 (19)
Property	34.2 (51)	34.3 (24)
Other	5.4 (8)	5.7 (4)
Degree of Current Offense	% (N)	% (N)
First	11.6 (17)	8.7 (6)
Second	16.3 (24)	10.1 (7)
Third	36.7 (54)	36.2 (25)
Fourth	23.1 (34)	26.1 (18)
Fifth	22.2 (18)	18.8 (13)
History of Alcohol Abuse (Yes/No)	52.3 (78)	58.6 (41)
History of Drug Abuse (Yes/No)	74.5 (111)	74.3 (52)
Mental Health Problems Identified (Yes/No)*	2.0 (3)	11.4 (8)
	Mean (N)	Mean (N)
Risk Level*	59.77 (149)	64.90 (70)
Risk Category	% (N)	% (N)
Low	8.7 (13)	4.3 (3)
Low/Moderate	30.9 (46)	22.9 (16)
Moderate	43.6 (65)	44.3 (31)
High	16.8 (25)	20.6 (20)

*Difference Significant at $p < .05$

Table 209. Any Re-Arrest By Group Membership and Risk Level for Harbor Light Salvation Army Cleveland

	All	Risk Level			
		Low	Low/Moderate	Moderate	High
	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)
Experimental	49.6 (60)	16.7 (1)	38.9 (14)	47.5 (29)	64.0 (16)
Comparison	46.5 (72)	11.1 (1)	21.7 (5)	43.3 (29)	66.1 (37)

*Difference Significant at $p < .05$

Table 210. Incarceration for a Technical Violation By Group Membership and Risk Level for Harbor Light Salvation Army Cleveland

	All*	Risk Level			
		Low	Low/Moderate	Moderate*	High
	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)
Experimental	6.7 (10)	0.0 (0)	4.3 (2)	6.2 (4)	16.0 (4)
Comparison	81.6 (129)	11.1 (1)	12.5 (3)	18.8 (13)	21.4 (12)

*Difference Significant at $p < .05$

Table 211. Incarceration for a New Offense By Group Membership and Risk Level for Harbor Light Salvation Army Cleveland

	All*	Risk Level			
		Low	Low/Moderate	Moderate	High
	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)
Experimental	11.4 (17)	15.4 (2)	8.7 (4)	13.8 (9)	8.0 (2)
Comparison	20.3 (32)	0.0 (0)	12.5 (3)	20.3 (14)	26.8 (15)

*Difference Significant at $p < .05$

Table 212. Any New Incarceration By Group Membership and Risk Level for Harbor Light Salvation Army Cleveland

	All*	Risk Level			
		Low	Low/Moderate	Moderate*	High*
	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)
Experimental	18.1 (27)	15.4 (2)	13.0 (6)	20.0 (13)	24.0 (6)
Comparison	38.6 (61)	11.1 (1)	25.0 (6)	39.1 (27)	48.2 (27)

*Difference Significant at $p < .05$

Community Corrections Association (CCA) - Men

Community Corrections Association (CCA) Men's Program is a halfway house located in Youngstown and has been in operation since 1976. The average length of stay in the facility is 4 months with a maximum stay of 6 months. For some, there is an additional period of aftercare that is provided by both CCA treatment staff and outside agencies to which offenders are referred. CCA serves 25 male offenders at various legal statuses including federal and state parolees and probationers, work release clients, and those under transitional control. The following major services were offered by the facility in FY99:

- Substance abuse
- Employment
- Cognitive Groups
- Education
- Mental Health
- Anger Management

In addition to the core areas, CCA also offers groups in domestic violence, parenting, stress management, recreation, life skills, and community service.

Changes since FY99:

According to the program director, there have been no major programmatic changes since 1999.

CCA residents participate in a different treatment groups based on individualized program plans, and staff indicated that the treatments are primarily based on the cognitive model. The facility uses its own assessment to evaluate overall offender risk and the Substance Abuse Subtle Screening Inventory to assess substance abuse related needs. Individualized program plans are based on this information as well as the clients' self-identified needs.

Bivariate Analyses for Community Corrections Association Men's Facility

Table 213. Descriptive Statistics for Community Corrections Association - Men's Facility by Group Membership

Variable	Experimental Group	Comparison Group
	Mean (N)	Mean (N)
Age (Average Age in Years)*	33.44 (148)	35.86 (92)
Race	% (N)	% (N)
Black	55.4 (82)	53.3 (49)
White	44.6 (66)	46.7 (43)
Sex	% (N)	% (N)
Male	100.0 (148)	100.0 (92)
Female	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)
Marital Status*	% (N)	% (N)
Married	9.5 (14)	25.0 (23)
Never Married	62.2 (92)	57.6 (53)
Divorced/Separated/Widowed	28.4 (42)	17.4 (16)

*Difference Significant at $p < .05$

Table 214. Descriptive Statistics for Risk/Need Factors by Group Membership for Community Corrections Association - Men's Facility

Variable	Experimental Group	Comparison Group
	Mean (N)	Mean (N)
Prior Arrests	4.63 (139)	5.19 (90)
	% (N)	% (N)
Prior Arrest (Yes/No)*	100.0 (139)	84.4 (76)
	Mean (N)	Mean (N)
Prior Incarcerations in State of Ohio*	0.66 (148)	0.33 (92)
	% (N)	% (N)
Prior Incarcerations (Yes/No)*	40.5 (60)	20.7 (19)
Employment Status at Arrest*	% (N)	% (N)
Employed	53.4 (79)	37.0 (34)
Unemployed	46.6 (69)	63.0 (58)
	Mean (N)	Mean (N)
Education Level (Highest Grade Completed)	11.08 (148)	11.00 (92)
	% (N)	% (N)
H.S. Graduate (Yes/No)	43.2 (64)	43.5 (40)
Offense Type*	% (N)	% (N)
Person	27.7 (41)	32.6 (30)
Sex	0.7 (1)	4.3 (4)
Drug	27.0 (40)	33.7 (31)
Property	34.5 (51)	26.1 (24)
Other	10.1 (15)	3.3 (3)
Degree of Current Offense*	% (N)	% (N)
First	11.3 (16)	7.6 (7)
Second	37.3 (53)	29.3 (27)
Third	30.3 (43)	14.1 (13)
Fourth	14.8 (21)	21.7 (20)
Fifth	6.3 (9)	27.2 (25)
History of Alcohol Abuse (Yes/No)	61.5 (91)	66.3 (61)
History of Drug Abuse (Yes/No)*	89.2 (132)	78.3 (72)
Mental Health Problems Identified (Yes/No)	19.6 (29)	22.8 (21)
	Mean (N)	Mean (N)
Risk Level	59.93 (148)	57.66 (92)
Risk Category	% (N)	% (N)
Low	7.4 (11)	8.7 (8)
Low/Moderate	31.8 (47)	32.6 (30)
Moderate	39.2 (58)	46.7 (43)
High	21.6 (32)	12.0 (11)

*Difference Significant at $p < .05$

Table 215. Programming Information for Community Corrections Association - Men's Facility

Variable	Experimental Group
	% (N)
Academic Training Needed	37.8 (56)
Percent Participated In	21.6 (32)
Vocational Training Needed	43.2 (64)
Percent Participated In	27.7 (41)
Employment Assistance Needed	85.1 (126)
Percent Participated In	91.9 (136)
Assistance with Accommodations Needed	89.2 (132)
Percent Participated In	91.9 (136)
Substance Abuse Counseling Needed	89.9 (133)
Percent Participated In	87.8 (130)
Alcohol Abuse Counseling Needed	54.7 (81)
Percent Participated In	62.8 (93)
Mental Health Counseling Needed	18.9 (28)
Percent Participated In	20.3 (30)
Anger Management Counseling Needed	83.1 (123)
Percent Participated In	87.8 (130)
Sexual Behavior Counseling Needed	7.4 (11)
Percent Participated In	1.4 (2)
Termination Status	% (N)
Successful	84.5 (125)
Unsuccessful	15.5 (23)

*Difference Significant at $p < .05$

Table 216. Descriptive Statistics By Termination Status for Community Corrections Association - Men's Facility

Variable	Successful Terminations	Unsuccessful Terminations
	Mean (N)	Mean (N)
Age (Average Age in Years)	33.52 (125)	33.00 (23)
Race	% (N)	% (N)
Black	52.8 (66)	69.6 (16)
White	47.2 (59)	30.4 (7)
Sex	% (N)	% (N)
Male	100.0 (125)	100.0 (23)
Female	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)
Marital Status	% (N)	% (N)
Married	10.4 (13)	4.3 (1)
Never Married	60.8 (76)	69.6 (16)
Divorced/Separated/Widowed	28.8 (36)	26.1 (6)

*Difference Significant at $p < .05$

Table 217. Descriptive Statistics for Risk/Need Factors by Termination Status for Community Corrections Association - Men's Facility

Variable	Successful Terminations	Unsuccessful Terminations
	Mean (N)	Mean (N)
Prior Arrests	4.73 (116)	4.13 (23)
	% (N)	% (N)
Prior Arrest (Yes/No)*	100.0 (116)	100.0 (23)
	Mean (N)	Mean (N)
Prior Incarcerations in State of Ohio	0.69 (125)	0.48 (23)
	% (N)	% (N)
Prior Incarcerations (Yes/No)	43.2 (54)	26.1 (6)
Employment Status at Arrest	% (N)	% (N)
Employed	53.6 (67)	52.2 (12)
Unemployed	46.4 (58)	47.8 (11)
	Mean (N)	Mean (N)
Education Level (Highest Grade Completed)	11.10 (125)	11.00 (23)
	% (N)	% (N)
H.S. Graduate (Yes/No)	41.6 (52)	52.2 (12)
Offense Type	% (N)	% (N)
Person	27.2 (34)	30.4 (7)
Sex	0.8 (1)	0.0 (0)
Drug	27.2 (34)	26.1 (6)
Property	33.6 (42)	39.1 (9)
Other	11.2 (14)	4.3 (1)
Degree of Current Offense	% (N)	% (N)
First	12.4 (15)	4.8 (1)
Second	38.0 (46)	33.3 (7)
Third	29.8 (36)	33.3 (7)
Fourth	13.2 (16)	23.8 (5)
Fifth	6.6 (8)	4.8 (1)
History of Alcohol Abuse (Yes/No)*	64.8 (81)	43.5 (10)
History of Drug Abuse (Yes/No)	91.2 (114)	78.3 (18)
Mental Health Problems Identified (Yes/No)	18.4 (23)	26.1 (6)
	Mean (N)	Mean (N)
Risk Level	60.15 (125)	58.70 (23)
Risk Category	% (N)	% (N)
Low	6.4 (8)	13.0 (3)
Low/Moderate	32.8 (41)	26.1 (6)
Moderate	39.2 (49)	39.1 (9)
High	21.6 (27)	21.7 (5)

*Difference Significant at $p < .05$

Table 218. Any Re-Arrest By Group Membership and Risk Level for Community Corrections Association - Men's Facility

	All	Risk Level			
		Low	Low/Moderate	Moderate	High
	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)
Experimental	40.9 (47)	0.0 (0)	37.1 (13)	42.6 (20)	56.0 (14)
Comparison	50.0 (44)	12.5 (1)	39.3 (11)	56.1 (23)	81.8 (9)

*Difference Significant at $p < .05$

Table 219. Incarceration for a Technical Violation By Group Membership and Risk Level for Community Corrections Association - Men's Facility

	All	Risk Level			
		Low	Low/Moderate	Moderate	High
	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)
Experimental	21.6 (27)	0.0 (0)	24.4 (10)	16.3 (8)	33.3 (9)
Comparison	21.7 (20)	0.0 (0)	20.0 (6)	25.6 (11)	27.3 (3)

*Difference Significant at $p < .05$

Table 220. Incarceration for a New Offense By Group Membership and Risk Level for Community Corrections Association - Men's Facility

	All*	Risk Level			
		Low	Low/Moderate	Moderate*	High
	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)
Experimental	10.4 (13)	0.0 (0)	7.3 (3)	12.2 (6)	14.8 (4)
Comparison	21.7 (20)	0.0 (0)	13.3 (4)	27.9 (12)	36.4 (4)

*Difference Significant at $p < .05$

Table 221. Any New Incarceration By Group Membership and Risk Level for Community Corrections Association - Men's Facility

	All	Risk Level			
		Low	Low/Moderate	Moderate*	High
	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)
Experimental	32.0 (40)	0.0 (0)	31.7 (13)	28.6 (14)	48.1 (13)
Comparison	43.5 (40)	0.0 (0)	33.3 (10)	53.5 (23)	63.6 (7)

*Difference Significant at $p < .05$

Community Residential Treatment Services (CompDrug)

CompDrug is located in Columbus and has been in operation since 1977. The facility has 23 full-time staff members and serves male parolees, probationers, and pre-releases.

CompDrug has the capacity of 105 offenders and there were 95 offenders in the facility at the time of the site visit. The average length of stay is between 3 and 6 months. Aftercare is not provided by the facility. The following major services were offered by the facility in FY99:

- Substance abuse
- Employment
- Education
- Mental health
- Financial
- Anger management

In addition to the core areas, CompDrug also offers groups in feelings, grief, life skills, HIV awareness, family, and self-esteem.

Changes since FY99:

According to the program director, there have been no major changes in the program since FY99.

Staff indicated that the treatment models include 12-step/disease, psychodynamic, self-help, cognitive, and eclectic.

Bivariate Analyses for CompDrug

Table 222. Descriptive Statistics for Compdrug by Group Membership

Variable	Experimental Group	Comparison Group
	Mean (N)	Mean (N)
Age (Average Age in Years)*	33.39 (210)	35.31 (134)
Race	% (N)	% (N)
Black	54.5 (114)	47.8 (64)
White	45.5 (95)	52.2 (70)
Sex	% (N)	% (N)
Male	100.0 (210)	100.0 (134)
Female	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)
Marital Status	% (N)	% (N)
Married	11.9 (25)	13.4 (18)
Never Married	75.2 (158)	70.9 (95)
Divorced/Separated/Widowed	12.9 (27)	15.7 (21)

*Difference Significant at $p < .05$

Table 223. Descriptive Statistics for Risk/Need Factors by Group Membership for Compdrug

Variable	Experimental Group	Comparison Group
	Mean (N)	Mean (N)
Prior Arrests	6.27 (181)	6.32 (133)
	% (N)	% (N)
Prior Arrest (Yes/No)*	100.0 (181)	91.0 (121)
	Mean (N)	Mean (N)
Prior Incarcerations in State of Ohio	0.70 (210)	0.75 (134)
	% (N)	% (N)
Prior Incarcerations (Yes/No)	43.8 (92)	38.1 (51)
Employment Status at Arrest*	% (N)	% (N)
Employed	48.6 (102)	37.3 (50)
Unemployed	51.4 (108)	62.7 (84)
	Mean (N)	Mean (N)
Education Level (Highest Grade Completed)	11.00 (210)	10.76 (134)
	% (N)	% (N)
H.S. Graduate (Yes/No)	34.8 (73)	35.8 (48)
Offense Type*	% (N)	% (N)
Person	30.5 (64)	22.4 (30)
Sex	0.0 (0)	3.7 (5)
Drug	28.6 (60)	34.3 (46)
Property	36.7 (77)	36.6 (49)
Other	4.3 (9)	3.0 (4)
Degree of Current Offense*	% (N)	% (N)
First	16.3 (34)	10.4 (14)
Second	41.3 (86)	33.6 (45)
Third	18.8 (39)	14.9 (20)
Fourth	16.8 (35)	18.7 (25)
Fifth	6.7 (14)	22.4 (30)
History of Alcohol Abuse (Yes/No)*	85.7 (180)	67.2 (90)
History of Drug Abuse (Yes/No)*	89.5 (188)	79.1 (106)
Mental Health Problems Identified (Yes/No)*	9.5 (20)	17.9 (24)
	Mean (N)	Mean (N)
Risk Level	61.51 (210)	63.25 (134)
Risk Category	% (N)	% (N)
Low	6.2 (13)	6.7 (9)
Low/Moderate	28.1 (59)	22.4 (30)
Moderate	45.7 (96)	49.3 (66)
High	20.0 (42)	21.6 (29)

*Difference Significant at $p < .05$

Table 224. Programming Information for Compdrug

Variable	Experimental Group
	% (N)
Academic Training Needed	0.0 (0)
Percent Participated In	1.4 (3)
Vocational Training Needed	0.5 (1)
Percent Participated In	1.0 (2)
Employment Assistance Needed	98.6 (207)
Percent Participated In	94.3 (198)
Assistance with Accommodations Needed	4.8 (10)
Percent Participated In	85.7 (180)
Substance Abuse Counseling Needed	93.3 (196)
Percent Participated In	92.4 (194)
Alcohol Abuse Counseling Needed	92.4 (194)
Percent Participated In	92.4 (194)
Mental Health Counseling Needed	6.7 (14)
Percent Participated In	1.4 (3)
Anger Management Counseling Needed	1.9 (4)
Percent Participated In	1.9 (4)
Sexual Behavior Counseling Needed	0.5 (1)
Percent Participated In	1.0 (2)
Termination Status	% (N)
Successful	82.9 (174)
Unsuccessful	17.1 (36)

*Difference Significant at $p < .05$

Table 225. Descriptive Statistics By Termination Status for Compdrug

Variable	Successful Terminations	Unsuccessful Terminations
	Mean (N)	Mean (N)
Age (Average Age in Years)	33.28 (174)	33.94 (36)
Race	% (N)	% (N)
Black	54.3 (94)	55.6 (20)
White	45.7 (79)	44.4 (16)
Sex	% (N)	% (N)
Male	100.0 (174)	100.0 (36)
Female	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)
Marital Status	% (N)	% (N)
Married	11.5 (20)	13.9 (5)
Never Married	75.3 (131)	75.0 (27)
Divorced/Separated/Widowed	13.2 (23)	11.1 (4)

*Difference Significant at $p < .05$

Table 226. Descriptive Statistics for Risk/Need Factors by Termination Status for Compdrug

Variable	Successful Terminations	Unsuccessful Terminations
	Mean (N)	Mean (N)
Prior Arrests	6.21 (149)	6.53 (32)
	% (N)	% (N)
Prior Arrest (Yes/No)	100.0 (149)	100.0 (32)
	Mean (N)	Mean (N)
Prior Incarcerations in State of Ohio	0.68 (174)	0.81 (36)
	% (N)	% (N)
Prior Incarcerations (Yes/No)	43.1 (75)	47.2 (17)
Employment Status at Arrest	% (N)	% (N)
Employed	48.9 (85)	47.2 (17)
Unemployed	51.1 (89)	52.8 (19)
	Mean (N)	Mean (N)
Education Level (Highest Grade Completed)	11.09 (174)	10.53 (36)
	% (N)	% (N)
H.S. Graduate (Yes/No)*	38.5 (67)	16.7 (6)
Offense Type	% (N)	% (N)
Person	27.6 (48)	44.4 (16)
Sex	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)
Drug	29.3 (51)	25.0 (9)
Property	39.1 (68)	25.0 (9)
Other	4.0 (7)	5.6 (2)
Degree of Current Offense	% (N)	% (N)
First	17.9 (31)	8.6 (3)
Second	39.9 (69)	48.6 (17)
Third	19.7 (34)	14.3 (5)
Fourth	16.2 (28)	20.0 (7)
Fifth	6.4 (11)	8.6 (3)
History of Alcohol Abuse (Yes/No)	85.1 (148)	88.9 (32)
History of Drug Abuse (Yes/No)	89.7 (156)	88.9 (32)
Mental Health Problems Identified (Yes/No)	10.9 (19)	2.8 (1)
	Mean (N)	Mean (N)
Risk Level	60.89 (174)	64.56 (36)
Risk Category	% (N)	% (N)
Low	6.3 (11)	5.6 (2)
Low/Moderate	28.2 (49)	27.8 (10)
Moderate	47.1 (82)	38.9 (14)
High	18.4 (32)	27.8 (10)

*Difference Significant at $p < .05$

Table 227. Any Re-Arrest By Group Membership and Risk Level for Compdrug

	All	Risk Level			
		Low	Low/Moderate	Moderate	High
	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)
Experimental	42.6 (63)	14.3 (1)	34.2 (13)	42.5 (31)	60.0 (18)
Comparison	44.3 (58)	22.2 (2)	21.4 (6)	43.1 (28)	75.9 (22)

*Difference Significant at $p < .05$ **Table 228. Incarceration for a Technical Violation By Group Membership and Risk Level for Compdrug**

	All	Risk Level			
		Low	Low/Moderate	Moderate	High
	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)
Experimental	24.7 (43)	9.1 (1)	24.5 (12)	23.2 (19)	34.4 (11)
Comparison	20.9 (28)	0.0 (0)	16.7 (5)	19.7 (13)	34.5 (10)

*Difference Significant at $p < .05$ **Table 229. Incarceration for a New Offense By Group Membership and Risk Level for Compdrug**

	All*	Risk Level			
		Low	Low/Moderate	Moderate*	High*
	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)
Experimental	4.0 (7)	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)	4.9 (4)	9.4 (3)
Comparison	18.7 (25)	11.1 (1)	3.3 (1)	21.2 (14)	31.0 (9)

*Difference Significant at $p < .05$ **Table 230. Any New Incarceration By Group Membership and Risk Level for Compdrug**

	All*	Risk Level			
		Low	Low/Moderate	Moderate	High
	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)
Experimental	28.7 (50)	9.1 (1)	24.5 (12)	28.0 (23)	43.8 (14)
Comparison	39.6 (53)	11.1 (1)	20.0 (6)	40.9 (27)	65.5 (19)

*Difference Significant at $p < .05$

Dayton VOA McMahan Hall

Dayton VOA McMahan Hall CD Program is located in Dayton, Ohio and has been in operation since 1994. The facility has 9 full-time staff and serves male offenders. Dayton VOA McMahan Hall CD Program has a capacity of 70 offenders and there were 67 offenders in the facility at the time of the site visit. The average time in the program is 4 months. The following major services were core services offered in FY99:

Substance abuse

Employment

Financial

In addition to the core areas, Dayton VOA McMahan Hall CD Program offers groups in AA, Anger Management, Communication, Family, Life Skills, Relapse Prevention, and Spirituality.

Changes since FY99:

The major programmatic changes have been more structured groups and group curricula.

Bivariate Analyses for Dayton VOA McMahon Hall

Table 231. Descriptive Statistics for Dayton VOA McMahon Hall by Group Membership

Variable	Experimental Group	Comparison Group
	Mean (N)	Mean (N)
Age (Average Age in Years)*	32.82 (166)	35.24 (140)
Race	% (N)	% (N)
Black	62.0 (103)	60.7 (85)
White	38.0 (63)	39.3 (55)
Sex	% (N)	% (N)
Male	100.0 (166)	100.0 (140)
Female	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)
Marital Status*	% (N)	% (N)
Married	7.8 (13)	12.9 (18)
Never Married	81.3 (135)	67.1 (94)
Divorced/Separated/Widowed	10.8 (18)	20.0 (28)

*Difference Significant at $p < .05$

Table 232. Descriptive Statistics for Risk/Need Factors by Group Membership for Dayton VOA McMahon Hall

Variable	Experimental Group	Comparison Group
	Mean (N)	Mean (N)
Prior Arrests	6.28 (147)	5.65 (136)
	% (N)	% (N)
Prior Arrest (Yes/No)*	100.0 (147)	92.6 (126)
	Mean (N)	Mean (N)
Prior Incarcerations in State of Ohio*	0.84 (166)	0.44 (140)
	% (N)	% (N)
Prior Incarcerations (Yes/No)*	48.8 (81)	26.4 (37)
Employment Status at Arrest*	% (N)	% (N)
Employed	57.2 (95)	37.9 (53)
Unemployed	42.8 (71)	62.1 (87)
	Mean (N)	Mean (N)
Education Level (Highest Grade Completed)	10.87 (166)	10.71 (140)
	% (N)	% (N)
H.S. Graduate (Yes/No)	37.3 (62)	30.0 (42)
Offense Type	% (N)	% (N)
Person	24.7 (41)	21.4 (30)
Sex	0.0 (0)	2.1 (3)
Drug	31.3 (52)	35.7 (50)
Property	38.0 (63)	34.3 (48)
Other	6.0 (10)	6.4 (9)
Degree of Current Offense	% (N)	% (N)
First	17.6 (24)	10.7 (15)
Second	30.9 (42)	35.0 (49)
Third	17.6 (24)	15.0 (21)
Fourth	15.4 (21)	17.1 (24)
Fifth	18.4 (25)	22.1 (31)
History of Alcohol Abuse (Yes/No)*	51.2 (85)	71.4 (100)
History of Drug Abuse (Yes/No)*	59.6 (99)	79.3 (111)
Mental Health Problems Identified (Yes/No)*	11.4 (19)	20.0 (28)
	Mean (N)	Mean (N)
Risk Level	60.25 (166)	62.92 (140)
Risk Category	% (N)	% (N)
Low	13.3 (22)	6.4 (9)
Low/Moderate	24.7 (41)	21.4 (30)
Moderate	39.8 (66)	50.7 (71)
High	22.3 (37)	21.4 (30)

*Difference Significant at $p < .05$

Table 233. Programming Information for Dayton VOA McMahon Hall

Variable	Experimental Group
	% (N)
Academic Training Needed	10.2 (17)
Percent Participated In	4.2 (7)
Vocational Training Needed	7.8 (13)
Percent Participated In	1.2 (2)
Employment Assistance Needed	52.4 (87)
Percent Participated In	41.0 (68)
Assistance with Accommodations Needed	40.4 (67)
Percent Participated In	51.2 (85)
Substance Abuse Counseling Needed	63.3 (105)
Percent Participated In	93.4 (155)
Alcohol Abuse Counseling Needed	56.6 (94)
Percent Participated In	88.6 (147)
Mental Health Counseling Needed	10.2 (17)
Percent Participated In	14.5 (24)
Anger Management Counseling Needed	6.6 (11)
Percent Participated In	4.2 (7)
Sexual Behavior Counseling Needed	1.8 (3)
Percent Participated In	1.2 (2)
Termination Status	% (N)
Successful	66.3 (110)
Unsuccessful	33.7 (56)

*Difference Significant at $p < .05$

Table 234. Descriptive Statistics By Termination Status for Dayton VOA McMahon Hall

Variable	Successful Terminations	Unsuccessful Terminations
	Mean (N)	Mean (N)
Age (Average Age in Years)	33.22 (110)	32.04 (56)
Race	% (N)	% (N)
Black	61.8 (68)	62.5 (35)
White	38.2 (42)	37.5 (21)
Sex	% (N)	% (N)
Male	100.0 (110)	100.0 (56)
Female	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)
Marital Status	% (N)	% (N)
Married	8.2 (9)	7.1 (4)
Never Married	80.0 (88)	83.9 (47)
Divorced/Separated/Widowed	11.8 (13)	8.9 (5)

*Difference Significant at $p < .05$

**Table 235. Descriptive Statistics for Risk/Need Factors by Termination Status for Dayton VOA
McMahon Hall**

Variable	Successful Terminations	Unsuccessful Terminations
	Mean (N)	Mean (N)
Prior Arrests	6.25 (100)	6.34 (47)
	% (N)	% (N)
Prior Arrest (Yes/No)	100.0 (100)	100.0 (47)
	Mean (N)	Mean (N)
Prior Incarcerations in State of Ohio	0.80 (110)	0.91 (56)
	% (N)	% (N)
Prior Incarcerations (Yes/No)	49.1 (54)	48.2 (27)
Employment Status at Arrest*	% (N)	% (N)
Employed	62.7 (69)	46.4 (26)
Unemployed	37.3 (41)	53.6 (30)
	Mean (N)	Mean (N)
Education Level (Highest Grade Completed)	10.95 (110)	10.73 (56)
	% (N)	% (N)
H.S. Graduate (Yes/No)	40.0 (44)	32.1 (18)
Offense Type	% (N)	% (N)
Person	25.5 (28)	23.2 (13)
Sex	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)
Drug	32.7 (36)	28.6 (16)
Property	38.2 (42)	37.5 (21)
Other	3.6 (4)	10.7 (6)
Degree of Current Offense*	% (N)	% (N)
First	19.8 (18)	13.3 (6)
Second	35.2 (32)	22.2 (10)
Third	20.9 (19)	11.1 (5)
Fourth	9.9 (9)	26.7 (12)
Fifth	14.3 (13)	26.7 (12)
History of Alcohol Abuse (Yes/No)	48.2 (53)	57.1 (32)
History of Drug Abuse (Yes/No)	57.3 (63)	64.3 (36)
Mental Health Problems Identified (Yes/No)	11.8 (13)	10.7 (6)
	Mean (N)	Mean (N)
Risk Level	58.55 (110)	63.59 (56)
Risk Category	% (N)	% (N)
Low	15.5 (17)	8.9 (5)
Low/Moderate	25.5 (28)	23.2 (13)
Moderate	40.0 (44)	39.3 (22)
High	19.1 (21)	28.6 (16)

*Difference Significant at $p < .05$

Table 236. Any Re-Arrest By Group Membership and Risk Level for Dayton VOA McMahon Hall

	All	Risk Level			
		Low	Low/Moderate	Moderate	High
	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)
Experimental	50.5 (55)	25.0 (4)	50.0 (14)	52.3 (23)	66.7 (14)
Comparison	53.3 (73)	33.3 (3)	28.6 (8)	60.6 (43)	65.5 (19)

*Difference Significant at $p < .05$

Table 237. Incarceration for a Technical Violation By Group Membership and Risk Level for Dayton VOA McMahon Hall

	All	Risk Level			
		Low	Low/Moderate	Moderate	High
	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)
Experimental	24.5 (27)	17.6 (3)	25.0 (7)	25.0 (11)	28.6 (6)
Comparison	20.7 (29)	0.0 (0)	10.0 (3)	23.9 (17)	30.0 (9)

*Difference Significant at $p < .05$

Table 238. Incarceration for a New Offense By Group Membership and Risk Level for Dayton VOA McMahon Hall

	All	Risk Level			
		Low	Low/Moderate	Moderate	High
	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)
Experimental	20.0 (22)	17.6 (3)	10.7 (3)	22.7 (10)	28.6 (6)
Comparison	13.6 (19)	11.1 (1)	10.0 (3)	11.3 (8)	23.3 (7)

*Difference Significant at $p < .05$

Table 239. Any New Incarceration By Group Membership and Risk Level for Dayton VOA McMahon Hall

	All	Risk Level			
		Low	Low/Moderate	Moderate	High
	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)
Experimental	44.5 (49)	35.3 (6)	35.7 (10)	47.7 (21)	57.1 (12)
Comparison	34.3 (48)	11.1 (1)	20.0 (6)	35.2 (25)	53.3 (16)

*Difference Significant at $p < .05$

Cincinnati VOA Pogue Center- CD Program

Cincinnati VOA Pogue Center CD Program is located in Cincinnati and has been in operation since 1962. The facility has 9 full-time staff and serves male offenders. Cincinnati VOA Pogue Center CD Program has a capacity of 58 and there were 57 offenders in the facility at the time of the site visit. The average time in the program is 4 months. The following major services were offered by the facility in FY99:

Substance abuse
Employment
Financial

In addition to the core areas, Cincinnati VOA Pogue Center CD Program also offers groups in AA, anger, communication, family, life skills, relapse prevention, restorative justice, and spirituality.

Changes since FY99:

The major programmatic changes have been more structured groups and group curricula.

*Bivariate Analyses for Cincinnati VOA Pogue Rehabilitation Center Chemical
Dependency*

Table 240. Descriptive Statistics for Cincinnati VOA Pogue Rehabilitation Center Chemical Dependency Program by Group Membership

Variable	Experimental Group	Comparison Group
	Mean (N)	Mean (N)
Age (Average Age in Years)	34.03 (155)	33.51 (146)
Race	% (N)	% (N)
Black	63.0 (97)	58.9 (86)
White	37.0 (57)	41.1 (60)
Sex	% (N)	% (N)
Male	100.0 (155)	100.0 (146)
Female	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)
Marital Status	% (N)	% (N)
Married	10.3 (16)	13.7 (20)
Never Married	72.9 (113)	68.5 (100)
Divorced/Separated/Widowed	16.8 (26)	17.8 (26)

*Difference Significant at $p < .05$

Table 241. Descriptive Statistics for Risk/Need Factors by Group Membership for Cincinnati VOA Pogue Rehabilitation Center - Chemical Dependency Program

Variable	Experimental Group	Comparison Group
	Mean (N)	Mean (N)
Prior Arrests	6.24 (140)	5.55 (137)
	% (N)	% (N)
Prior Arrest (Yes/No)*	96.4 (135)	86.1 (118)
	Mean (N)	Mean (N)
Prior Incarcerations in State of Ohio*	0.94 (155)	0.49 (146)
	% (N)	% (N)
Prior Incarcerations (Yes/No)*	47.7 (74)	25.3 (37)
Employment Status at Arrest	% (N)	% (N)
Employed	38.7 (60)	32.9 (48)
Unemployed	61.3 (95)	67.1 (98)
	Mean (N)	Mean (N)
Education Level (Highest Grade Completed)	10.65 (155)	10.60 (146)
	% (N)	% (N)
H.S. Graduate (Yes/No)	34.8 (54)	30.1 (44)
Offense Type*	% (N)	% (N)
Person	41.3 (64)	29.5 (43)
Sex	1.3 (2)	6.2 (9)
Drug	23.2 (36)	22.6 (33)
Property	29.0 (45)	32.9 (48)
Other	5.2 (8)	8.9 (13)
Degree of Current Offense*	% (N)	% (N)
First	18.2 (27)	6.8 (10)
Second	35.8 (53)	32.2 (47)
Third	25.0 (37)	14.4 (21)
Fourth	10.8 (16)	21.9 (32)
Fifth	10.1 (15)	24.7 (36)
History of Alcohol Abuse (Yes/No)	60.0 (93)	65.8 (96)
History of Drug Abuse (Yes/No)	71.6 (111)	75.3 (110)
Mental Health Problems Identified (Yes/No)*	31.0 (48)	18.5 (27)
	Mean (N)	Mean (N)
Risk Level	60.83 (155)	64.18 (146)
	% (N)	% (N)
Risk Category	% (N)	% (N)
Low	12.3 (19)	8.2 (12)
Low/Moderate	25.2 (39)	21.9 (32)
Moderate	39.4 (61)	40.4 (59)
High	23.2 (36)	29.5 (43)

*Difference Significant at $p < .05$

Table 242. Programming Information for Cincinnati VOA Pogue Rehabilitation Center - Chemical Dependency Program

Variable	Experimental Group
	% (N)
Academic Training Needed	31.6 (49)
Percent Participated In	1.9 (3)
Vocational Training Needed	40.0 (62)
Percent Participated In	1.3 (2)
Employment Assistance Needed	67.1 (104)
Percent Participated In	47.1 (73)
Assistance with Accommodations Needed	65.8 (102)
Percent Participated In	51.0 (79)
Substance Abuse Counseling Needed	73.5 (114)
Percent Participated In	89.7 (139)
Alcohol Abuse Counseling Needed	66.5 (103)
Percent Participated In	87.1 (135)
Mental Health Counseling Needed	31.0 (48)
Percent Participated In	11.0 (17)
Anger Management Counseling Needed	25.8 (40)
Percent Participated In	6.5 (10)
Sexual Behavior Counseling Needed	5.2 (8)
Percent Participated In	2.6 (4)
Termination Status	% (N)
Successful	48.4 (75)
Unsuccessful	51.6 (80)

*Difference Significant at $p < .05$

Table 243. Descriptive Statistics By Termination Status for Cincinnati VOA Pogue Rehabilitation Center - Chemical Dependency Program

Variable	Successful Terminations	Unsuccessful Terminations
	Mean (N)	Mean (N)
Age (Average Age in Years)	35.00 (75)	8.23 (80)
Race	% (N)	% (N)
Black	61.3 (46)	64.6 (51)
White	38.7 (29)	35.4 (28)
Sex	% (N)	% (N)
Male	100.0 (75)	100.0 (80)
Female	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)
Marital Status	% (N)	% (N)
Married	14.7 (11)	6.3 (5)
Never Married	66.7 (50)	78.8 (63)
Divorced/Separated/Widowed	18.7 (14)	15.0 (12)

*Difference Significant at $p < .05$

Table 244. Descriptive Statistics for Risk/Need Factors by Termination Status for Cincinnati VOA Pogue Rehabilitation Center - Chemical Dependency Program

Variable	Successful Terminations	Unsuccessful Terminations
	Mean (N)	Mean (N)
Prior Arrests	6.57 (70)	5.90 (70)
	% (N)	% (N)
Prior Arrest (Yes/No)	97.1 (68)	95.7 (67)
	Mean (N)	Mean (N)
Prior Incarcerations in State of Ohio	0.97 (75)	0.90 (80)
	% (N)	% (N)
Prior Incarcerations (Yes/No)	49.3 (37)	46.3 (37)
Employment Status at Arrest	% (N)	% (N)
Employed	42.7 (32)	35.0 (28)
Unemployed	57.3 (43)	65.0 (52)
	Mean (N)	Mean (N)
Education Level (Highest Grade Completed)	10.77 (75)	10.54 (80)
	% (N)	% (N)
H.S. Graduate (Yes/No)	40.0 (30)	30.0 (24)
Offense Type	% (N)	% (N)
Person	36.0 (27)	46.3 (37)
Sex	0.0 (0)	2.5 (2)
Drug	26.7 (20)	20.0 (16)
Property	29.3 (22)	28.8 (23)
Other	8.0 (6)	2.5 (2)
Degree of Current Offense	% (N)	% (N)
First	22.5 (16)	14.3 (11)
Second	39.4 (28)	32.5 (25)
Third	21.1 (15)	28.6 (22)
Fourth	5.6 (4)	15.6 (12)
Fifth	11.3 (8)	9.1 (7)
History of Alcohol Abuse (Yes/No)	54.7 (41)	65.0 (52)
History of Drug Abuse (Yes/No)	68.0 (51)	75.0 (60)
Mental Health Problems Identified (Yes/No)	25.3 (19)	36.3 (29)
	Mean (N)	Mean (N)
Risk Level	58.44 (75)	63.06 (80)
Risk Category	% (N)	% (N)
Low	13.3 (10)	11.3 (9)
Low/Moderate	29.3 (22)	21.3 (17)
Moderate	40.0 (30)	38.8 (31)
High	17.3 (13)	28.8 (23)

*Difference Significant at $p < .05$

Table 245. Any Re-Arrest By Group Membership and Risk Level for Cincinnati VOA Pogue Rehabilitation Center - Chemical Dependency Program

	All	Risk Level			
		Low	Low/Moderate	Moderate	High
	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)
Experimental	46.5 (33)	25.0 (2)	42.9 (9)	46.7 (14)	66.7 (8)
Comparison	45.2 (61)	20.0 (2)	27.6 (8)	41.5 (22)	67.4 (29)

*Difference Significant at $p < .05$

Table 246. Incarceration for a Technical Violation By Group Membership and Risk Level for Cincinnati VOA Pogue Rehabilitation Center - Chemical Dependency Program

	All	Risk Level			
		Low	Low/Moderate	Moderate	High
	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)
Experimental	14.7 (11)	10.0 (1)	9.1 (2)	23.3 (7)	7.7 (1)
Comparison	14.4 (21)	8.3 (1)	6.3 (2)	22.0 (13)	11.6 (5)

*Difference Significant at $p < .05$

Table 247. Incarceration for a New Offense By Group Membership and Risk Level for Cincinnati VOA Pogue Rehabilitation Center - Chemical Dependency Program

	All	Risk Level			
		Low	Low/Moderate	Moderate	High
	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)
Experimental	8.0 (6)	0.0 (0)	9.1 (2)	0.0 (0)	30.8 (4)
Comparison	15.8 (23)	0.0 (0)	9.4 (3)	15.3 (9)	25.6 (11)

*Difference Significant at $p < .05$

Table 248. Any New Incarceration By Group Membership and Risk Level for Cincinnati VOA Pogue Rehabilitation Center - Chemical Dependency Program

	All	Risk Level			
		Low	Low/Moderate	Moderate	High
	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)
Experimental	22.7 (17)	10.0 (1)	18.2 (4)	23.3 (7)	38.5 (5)
Comparison	30.1 (44)	8.3 (1)	15.6 (5)	37.3 (22)	37.2 (16)

*Difference Significant at $p < .05$

Volunteers of America-Toledo

The Volunteers of America-Toledo (Toledo VOA) is located in Toledo, Ohio and has been in operation for 22 years. The facility has 35 full-time staff and serves male offenders. Toledo VOA has a capacity of 80 and there were 70 offenders in the facility at the time of the site visit. The average time in the program is between 3 and 4 months. The following major services were offered by the facility in FY99:

Substance abuse
Employment
Education
Financial

In addition to the core areas, Toledo VOA also offers groups parenting and computer skills.

Changes since FY99:

The major programmatic change has been the addition of anger management programming. According to the program director other changes to the program since FY99 have been overall program improvement and quality assurance.

The facility staff indicated that the treatment models include 12-Step, behavior modification, and self-help. The facility uses the M.A.S.T. to assess offender substance use/abuse. Toledo VOA excludes from admission sex-offenders, arsonists, and offenders with mental and physical disabilities.

Bivariate Analyses for Toledo VOA

Table 249. Descriptive Statistics for Toledo VOA by Group Membership

Variable	Experimental Group	Comparison Group
	Mean (N)	Mean (N)
Age (Average Age in Years)	34.72 (137)	34.33 (91)
Race	% (N)	% (N)
Black	54.1 (73)	45.1 (41)
White	45.9 (62)	54.9 (50)
Sex	% (N)	% (N)
Male	93.4 (128)	96.7 (88)
Female	6.6 (9)	3.3 (3)
Marital Status	% (N)	% (N)
Married	6.6 (9)	13.2 (12)
Never Married	70.8 (97)	73.6 (67)
Divorced/Separated/Widowed	22.6 (31)	13.2 (12)

*Difference Significant at $p < .05$

Table 250. Descriptive Statistics for Risk/Need Factors by Group Membership for Toledo VOA

Variable	Experimental Group	Comparison Group
	Mean (N)	Mean (N)
Prior Arrests*	5.35 (133)	4.09 (86)
	% (N)	% (N)
Prior Arrest (Yes/No)*	99.2 (132)	83.7 (72)
	Mean (N)	Mean (N)
Prior Incarcerations in State of Ohio*	0.84 (137)	0.47 (91)
	% (N)	% (N)
Prior Incarcerations (Yes/No)*	46.0 (63)	26.4 (24)
Employment Status at Arrest*	% (N)	% (N)
Employed	50.4 (69)	30.8 (28)
Unemployed	49.6 (68)	69.2 (63)
	Mean (N)	Mean (N)
Education Level (Highest Grade Completed)	10.29 (137)	10.67 (91)
	% (N)	% (N)
H.S. Graduate (Yes/No)	27.7 (38)	34.1 (31)
Offense Type*	% (N)	% (N)
Person	30.1 (41)	20.9 (19)
Sex	2.2 (3)	5.5 (5)
Drug	20.6 (28)	44.0 (40)
Property	41.2 (56)	24.2 (22)
Other	5.9 (8)	5.5 (5)
Degree of Current Offense	% (N)	% (N)
First	14.0 (17)	8.8 (8)
Second	34.7 (42)	44.0 (40)
Third	19.8 (24)	18.7 (17)
Fourth	23.1 (28)	17.6 (16)
Fifth	8.3 (10)	11.0 (10)
History of Alcohol Abuse (Yes/No)*	62.8 (86)	75.8 (69)
History of Drug Abuse (Yes/No)*	71.5 (98)	85.7 (78)
Mental Health Problems Identified (Yes/No)	25.5 (35)	25.3 (23)
	Mean (N)	Mean (N)
Risk Level	58.80 (137)	62.30 (91)
Risk Category	% (N)	% (N)
Low	10.2 (14)	4.4 (4)
Low/Moderate	27.7 (38)	19.8 (18)
Moderate	48.2 (66)	56.0 (51)
High	13.9 (19)	19.8 (18)

*Difference Significant at $p < .05$

Table 251. Programming Information for Toledo VOA

Variable	Experimental Group
	% (N)
Academic Training Needed	33.6 (46)
Percent Participated In	21.9 (30)
Vocational Training Needed	27.0 (37)
Percent Participated In	5.1 (7)
Employment Assistance Needed	80.3 (110)
Percent Participated In	83.2 (114)
Assistance with Accommodations Needed	50.4 (69)
Percent Participated In	52.6 (72)
Substance Abuse Counseling Needed	75.2 (103)
Percent Participated In	86.9 (119)
Alcohol Abuse Counseling Needed	66.4 (91)
Percent Participated In	86.1 (118)
Mental Health Counseling Needed	24.8 (34)
Percent Participated In	19.0 (26)
Anger Management Counseling Needed	12.4 (17)
Percent Participated In	13.1 (18)
Sexual Behavior Counseling Needed	3.6 (5)
Percent Participated In	1.5 (2)
Termination Status	% (N)
Successful	66.4 (91)
Unsuccessful	33.6 (46)

*Difference Significant at $p < .05$

Table 252. Descriptive Statistics By Termination Status for Toledo VOA

Variable	Successful Terminations	Unsuccessful Terminations
	Mean (N)	Mean (N)
Age (Average Age in Years)	35.68 (91)	32.80 (46)
Race*	% (N)	% (N)
Black	47.8 (43)	66.7 (30)
White	52.2 (47)	33.3 (15)
Sex	% (N)	% (N)
Male	93.4 (85)	93.5 (43)
Female	6.6 (6)	6.5 (3)
Marital Status	% (N)	% (N)
Married	7.7 (7)	4.3 (2)
Never Married	67.0 (61)	78.3 (36)
Divorced/Separated/Widowed	25.3 (23)	17.4 (8)

*Difference Significant at $p < .05$

Table 253. Descriptive Statistics for Risk/Need Factors by Termination Status for Toledo VOA

Variable	Successful Terminations	Unsuccessful Terminations
	Mean (N)	Mean (N)
Prior Arrests	5.03 (89)	5.98 (44)
	% (N)	% (N)
Prior Arrest (Yes/No)	98.9 (88)	100.0 (44)
	Mean (N)	Mean (N)
Prior Incarcerations in State of Ohio	0.76 (91)	1.00 (46)
	% (N)	% (N)
Prior Incarcerations (Yes/No)	47.3 (43)	43.5 (20)
Employment Status at Arrest	% (N)	% (N)
Employed	48.4 (44)	54.3 (25)
Unemployed	51.6 (47)	45.7 (21)
	Mean (N)	Mean (N)
Education Level (Highest Grade Completed)	10.22 (91)	10.44 (46)
	% (N)	% (N)
H.S. Graduate (Yes/No)	28.6 (28)	26.1 (12)
Offense Type	% (N)	% (N)
Person	32.2 (29)	26.1 (12)
Sex	1.1 (1)	4.3 (2)
Drug	21.1 (19)	19.6 (9)
Property	41.1 (37)	41.3 (19)
Other	4.4 (4)	8.7 (4)
Degree of Current Offense*	% (N)	% (N)
First	18.3 (15)	5.1 (2)
Second	30.5 (25)	43.6 (17)
Third	20.7 (17)	17.9 (7)
Fourth	26.8 (22)	15.4 (6)
Fifth	3.7 (3)	17.9 (7)
History of Alcohol Abuse (Yes/No)	60.4 (55)	67.4 (31)
History of Drug Abuse (Yes/No)	68.1 (62)	78.3 (36)
Mental Health Problems Identified (Yes/No)	25.3 (23)	26.1 (12)
	Mean (N)	Mean (N)
Risk Level*	56.54 (91)	63.28 (46)
Risk Category	% (N)	% (N)
Low	12.1 (11)	6.5 (3)
Low/Moderate	29.7 (27)	23.9 (11)
Moderate	47.3 (43)	50.0 (23)
High	11.0 (10)	19.6 (9)

*Difference Significant at $p < .05$

Table 254. Any Re-Arrest By Group Membership and Risk Level for Toledo VOA

	All	Risk Level			
		Low	Low/Moderate	Moderate	High
	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)
Experimental	37.1 (33)	30.0 (3)	26.9 (7)	41.9 (18)	50.0 (5)
Comparison	39.3 (33)	0.0 (0)	29.4 (5)	39.1 (18)	55.6 (10)

*Difference Significant at $p < .05$

Table 255. Incarceration for a Technical Violation By Group Membership and Risk Level for Toledo VOA

	All*	Risk Level			
		Low	Low/Moderate	Moderate	High
	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)
Experimental	18.7 (17)	0.0 (0)	14.8 (4)	27.9 (12)	10.0 (1)
Comparison	33.0 (30)	0.0 (0)	5.6 (1)	41.2 (21)	44.4 (8)

*Difference Significant at $p < .05$

Table 256. Incarceration for a New Offense By Group Membership and Risk Level for Toledo VOA

	All	Risk Level			
		Low	Low/Moderate	Moderate	High
	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)
Experimental	11.0 (10)	18.2 (2)	3.7 (1)	11.6 (5)	20.0 (2)
Comparison	8.8 (8)	0.0 (0)	5.6 (1)	5.9 (3)	22.2 (4)

*Difference Significant at $p < .05$

Table 257. Any New Incarceration By Group Membership and Risk Level for Toledo VOA

	All	Risk Level			
		Low	Low/Moderate	Moderate	High
	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)
Experimental	29.7 (27)	18.2 (2)	18.5 (5)	39.5 (17)	30.0 (3)
Comparison	41.8 (38)	0.0 (0)	11.1 (2)	47.1 (24)	66.7 (12)

*Difference Significant at $p < .05$

Alternatives Agency

The Alternatives Agency Halfway House is located in Cleveland and has been in operation for six and a half years (since 1995). The facility has 30 full-time staff and serves both male and female offenders. Alternatives Agency has a capacity of 140 offenders and was serving 130 offenders at the time of the site visit. The average time in the program is 3 to 4 months. The program does not provide aftercare services. The following major services were offered by the facility in FY99:

Substance abuse

In addition, staff members reported groups focusing on spirituality, feelings, support, discipline, and relapse prevention are also offered.

Changes since FY99:

The program has added several treatment groups since 1999. Specifically, the program now offers employment, cognitive groups, education, financial, and anger management services in addition to substance abuse. According to the program director, other changes since FY 99 have been the expansion of the building, housing females, becoming joint partners with APA, and the Community Justice Program.

The program uses the CIAIC assessment to measure risk and needs and to provide a DSM-IV diagnosis.

Bivariate Analyses for Alternatives Agency

Table 258. Descriptive Statistics for Alternatives Agency by Group Membership

Variable	Experimental Group	Comparison Group
	Mean (N)	Mean (N)
Age (Average Age in Years)*	33.56 (318)	39.01 (292)
Race*	% (N)	% (N)
Black	74.6 (235)	66.8 (195)
White	25.4 (80)	33.2 (97)
Sex	% (N)	% (N)
Male	100.0 (318)	100.0 (292)
Female	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)
Marital Status*	% (N)	% (N)
Married	10.4 (33)	21.2 (62)
Never Married	81.8 (260)	61.6 (180)
Divorced/Separated/Widowed	7.9 (25)	17.1 (50)

*Difference Significant at $p < .05$

Table 259. Descriptive Statistics for Risk/Need Factors by Group Membership for Alternatives Agency

Variable	Experimental Group	Comparison Group
	Mean (N)	Mean (N)
Prior Arrests	8.65 (309)	9.55 (274)
	% (N)	% (N)
Prior Arrest (Yes/No)*	99.7 (308)	96.0 (263)
	Mean (N)	Mean (N)
Prior Incarcerations in State of Ohio*	1.20 (318)	1.00 (292)
	% (N)	% (N)
Prior Incarcerations (Yes/No)	58.2 (185)	51.4 (150)
Employment Status at Arrest	% (N)	% (N)
Employed	32.4 (103)	26.7 (78)
Unemployed	67.6 (215)	73.3 (214)
	Mean (N)	Mean (N)
Education Level (Highest Grade Completed)	10.87 (318)	10.82 (292)
	% (N)	% (N)
H.S. Graduate (Yes/No)	33.6 (107)	36.6 (107)
Offense Type*	% (N)	% (N)
Person	26.4 (84)	26.0 (76)
Sex	0.0 (0)	5.8 (17)
Drug	33.6 (107)	33.2 (97)
Property	35.8 (114)	29.5 (86)
Other	4.1 (13)	5.5 (16)
Degree of Current Offense	% (N)	% (N)
First	8.6 (26)	10.3 (30)
Second	16.8 (51)	24.3 (71)
Third	28.6 (87)	22.9 (67)
Fourth	26.3 (80)	21.9 (64)
Fifth	19.7 (60)	20.5 (60)
History of Alcohol Abuse (Yes/No)*	38.1 (121)	76.0 (222)
History of Drug Abuse (Yes/No)*	54.7 (174)	82.9 (242)
Mental Health Problems Identified (Yes/No)*	3.5 (11)	23.3 (68)
	Mean (N)	Mean (N)
Risk Level*	62.81 (318)	67.74 (292)
Risk Category*	% (N)	% (N)
Low	5.0 (16)	4.5 (13)
Low/Moderate	24.2 (77)	16.1 (47)
Moderate	49.7 (158)	47.6 (139)
High	21.1 (67)	31.8 (93)

*Difference Significant at $p < .05$

Table 260. Programming Information for Alternatives Agency

Variable	Experimental Group
	% (N)
Academic Training Needed	20.8 (66)
Percent Participated In	17.0 (54)
Vocational Training Needed	6.3 (20)
Percent Participated In	3.8 (12)
Employment Assistance Needed	83.3 (265)
Percent Participated In	82.1 (261)
Assistance with Accommodations Needed	36.2 (115)
Percent Participated In	33.6 (107)
Substance Abuse Counseling Needed	82.4 (262)
Percent Participated In	81.8 (260)
Alcohol Abuse Counseling Needed	65.1 (207)
Percent Participated In	67.9 (216)
Mental Health Counseling Needed	3.5 (11)
Percent Participated In	1.6 (5)
Anger Management Counseling Needed	4.1 (13)
Percent Participated In	1.9 (6)
Sexual Behavior Counseling Needed	0.6 (2)
Percent Participated In	1.6 (5)
Termination Status	% (N)
Successful	44.7 (142)
Unsuccessful	55.3 (176)

*Difference Significant at $p < .05$

Table 261. Descriptive Statistics By Termination Status for Alternatives Agency

Variable	Successful Terminations	Unsuccessful Terminations
	Mean (N)	Mean (N)
Age (Average Age in Years)	34.26 (142)	7.75 (176)
Race	% (N)	% (N)
Black	79.4 (112)	70.7 (123)
White	20.6 (29)	29.3 (51)
Sex	% (N)	% (N)
Male	100.0 (142)	100.0 (176)
Female	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)
Marital Status	% (N)	% (N)
Married	12.7 (18)	8.5 (15)
Never Married	78.2 (111)	84.7 (149)
Divorced/Separated/Widowed	9.2 (13)	6.8 (12)

*Difference Significant at $p < .05$

Table 262. Descriptive Statistics for Risk/Need Factors by Termination Status for Alternatives Agency

Variable	Successful Terminations	Unsuccessful Terminations
	Mean (N)	Mean (N)
Prior Arrests	8.34 (137)	8.89 (172)
	% (N)	% (N)
Prior Arrest (Yes/No)	99.3 (136)	100.0 (172)
	Mean (N)	Mean (N)
Prior Incarcerations in State of Ohio	1.23 (142)	1.18 (176)
	% (N)	% (N)
Prior Incarcerations (Yes/No)	61.3 (87)	55.7 (98)
Employment Status at Arrest	% (N)	% (N)
Employed	27.5 (39)	36.4 (64)
Unemployed	72.5 (103)	63.6 (112)
	Mean (N)	Mean (N)
Education Level (Highest Grade Completed)	10.86 (142)	10.87 (176)
	% (N)	% (N)
H.S. Graduate (Yes/No)	33.1 (47)	34.1 (60)
Offense Type	% (N)	% (N)
Person	26.1 (37)	26.7 (47)
Sex	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)
Drug	38.0 (54)	30.1 (53)
Property	31.0 (44)	39.8 (70)
Other	4.9 (7)	3.4 (6)
Degree of Current Offense*	% (N)	% (N)
First	9.5 (13)	7.8 (13)
Second	21.9 (30)	12.6 (21)
Third	32.1 (44)	25.7 (43)
Fourth	22.6 (31)	29.3 (49)
Fifth	13.9 (19)	24.6 (41)
History of Alcohol Abuse (Yes/No)*	31.0 (44)	43.8 (77)
History of Drug Abuse (Yes/No)*	46.5 (66)	61.4 (108)
Mental Health Problems Identified (Yes/No)	2.1 (3)	4.5 (8)
	Mean (N)	Mean (N)
Risk Level*	60.78 (142)	64.44 (176)
Risk Category	% (N)	% (N)
Low	7.0 (10)	3.4 (6)
Low/Moderate	26.8 (38)	22.2 (39)
Moderate	47.9 (68)	51.1 (90)
High	18.3 (26)	23.3 (41)

*Difference Significant at $p < .05$

Table 263. Any Re-Arrest By Group Membership and Risk Level for Alternatives Agency

	All	Risk Level			
		Low	Low/Moderate	Moderate	High
	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)
Experimental	65.7 (90)	57.1 (4)	59.5 (22)	67.2 (45)	73.1 (19)
Comparison	57.0 (158)	14.3 (1)	44.2 (19)	55.2 (74)	68.8 (64)

*Difference Significant at $p < .05$

Table 264. Incarceration for a Technical Violation By Group Membership and Risk Level for Alternatives Agency

	All	Risk Level			
		Low	Low/Moderate	Moderate	High
	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)
Experimental	22.5 (32)	30.0 (3)	23.7 (9)	19.1 (13)	26.9 (7)
Comparison	21.2 (62)	7.7 (1)	10.6 (5)	22.3 (31)	26.9 (25)

*Difference Significant at $p < .05$

Table 265. Incarceration for a New Offense By Group Membership and Risk Level for Alternatives Agency

	All*	Risk Level			
		Low	Low/Moderate*	Moderate*	High*
	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)
Experimental	30.3 (43)	10.0 (1)	23.7 (9)	29.4 (20)	50.0 (13)
Comparison	15.4 (45)	0.0 (0)	8.5 (4)	13.7 (19)	23.7 (22)

*Difference Significant at $p < .05$

Table 266. Any New Incarceration By Group Membership and Risk Level for Alternatives Agency

	All*	Risk Level			
		Low	Low/Moderate*	Moderate	High*
	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)
Experimental	52.8 (75)	40.0 (4)	47.4 (18)	48.5 (33)	76.9 (20)
Comparison	36.6 (107)	7.7 (1)	19.1 (9)	36.0 (50)	50.5 (47)

*Difference Significant at $p < .05$

Community Transition Center

The Community Transition Center is located in Lancaster and has been in operation since 1997. The facility has 15 full-time staff and serves male offenders. The Community Transition Center has a capacity of 60 and there were 44 offenders in the facility at the time of the site visit. The average time in the program is 45 days. The following major services were offered by the facility in FY99:

- Substance abuse
- Employment
- Financial
- Anger management

In addition to the core areas, the Community Transition Center also offers groups in AA, budgeting, and feelings.

Changes since FY99:

The major programmatic change has been the addition of a more intensive AOD program. According to the program director other changes to the program since FY99 have been ODADAS certification, additional staff, more comprehensive assessments, and a part-time physician.

Bivariate Analyses for Community Transitions

Table 267. Descriptive Statistics for Community Transitions by Group Membership

Variable	Experimental Group	Comparison Group
	Mean (N)	Mean (N)
Age (Average Age in Years)	35.48 (108)	34.55 (108)
Race	% (N)	% (N)
Black	46.3 (50)	50.0 (53)
White	53.7 (58)	50.0 (53)
Sex	% (N)	% (N)
Male	100.0 (108)	100.0 (108)
Female	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)
Marital Status	% (N)	% (N)
Married	8.3 (9)	19.4 (21)
Never Married	73.1 (79)	63.0 (68)
Divorced/Separated/Widowed	18.5 (20)	17.6 (19)

*Difference Significant at $p < .05$

Table 268. Descriptive Statistics for Risk/Need Factors by Group Membership for Community Transitions

Variable	Experimental Group	Comparison Group
	Mean (N)	Mean (N)
Prior Arrests*	9.49 (103)	4.75 (106)
	% (N)	% (N)
Prior Arrest (Yes/No)*	100.0 (103)	81.1 (86)
	Mean (N)	Mean (N)
Prior Incarcerations in State of Ohio*	1.21 (108)	0.25 (108)
	% (N)	% (N)
Prior Incarcerations (Yes/No)*	56.5 (61)	13.9 (15)
Employment Status at Arrest*	% (N)	% (N)
Employed	51.9 (56)	27.8 (30)
Unemployed	48.1 (52)	72.2 (78)
	Mean (N)	Mean (N)
Education Level (Highest Grade Completed)	10.67 (108)	10.74 (108)
	% (N)	% (N)
H.S. Graduate (Yes/No)	35.2 (38)	42.6 (46)
Offense Type*	% (N)	% (N)
Person	34.3 (37)	28.7 (31)
Sex	0.0 (0)	6.5 (7)
Drug	18.5 (20)	32.4 (35)
Property	42.6 (46)	25.9 (28)
Other	4.6 (5)	6.5 (7)
Degree of Current Offense*	% (N)	% (N)
First	13.1 (14)	9.3 (10)
Second	39.3 (42)	22.2 (24)
Third	19.6 (21)	16.7 (18)
Fourth	19.6 (21)	27.8 (30)
Fifth	8.4 (9)	24.1 (26)
History of Alcohol Abuse (Yes/No)*	53.7 (58)	67.6 (73)
History of Drug Abuse (Yes/No)	78.7 (85)	71.3 (77)
Mental Health Problems Identified (Yes/No)*	7.4 (8)	19.4 (21)
	Mean (N)	Mean (N)
Risk Level*	65.81 (108)	60.52 (108)
Risk Category	% (N)	% (N)
Low	3.7 (4)	9.3 (10)
Low/Moderate	26.9 (29)	28.7 (31)
Moderate	37.0 (40)	39.8 (43)
High	32.4 (35)	22.2 (24)

*Difference Significant at $p < .05$

Table 269. Programming Information for Community Transitions

Variable	Experimental Group
	% (N)
Academic Training Needed	17.6 (19)
Percent Participated In	0.9 (1)
Vocational Training Needed	6.5 (7)
Percent Participated In	0.9 (1)
Employment Assistance Needed	95.4 (103)
Percent Participated In	96.3 (104)
Assistance with Accommodations Needed	66.7 (72)
Percent Participated In	63.9 (69)
Substance Abuse Counseling Needed	78.7 (85)
Percent Participated In	92.6 (100)
Alcohol Abuse Counseling Needed	54.6 (59)
Percent Participated In	91.7 (99)
Mental Health Counseling Needed	7.4 (8)
Percent Participated In	5.6 (6)
Anger Management Counseling Needed	22.2 (24)
Percent Participated In	82.4 (89)
Sexual Behavior Counseling Needed	0.0 (0)
Percent Participated In	0.0 (0)
Termination Status	% (N)
Successful	54.6 (59)
Unsuccessful	45.4 (49)

*Difference Significant at $p < .05$

Table 270. Descriptive Statistics By Termination Status for Community Transitions

Variable	Successful Terminations	Unsuccessful Terminations
	Mean (N)	Mean (N)
Age (Average Age in Years)*	37.25 (59)	8.74 (49)
Race	% (N)	% (N)
Black	44.1 (26)	49.0 (24)
White	55.9 (33)	51.0 (25)
Sex	% (N)	% (N)
Male	100.0 (59)	100.0 (49)
Female	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)
Marital Status	% (N)	% (N)
Married	11.9 (7)	4.1 (2)
Never Married	67.8 (40)	79.6 (39)
Divorced/Separated/Widowed	20.3 (12)	16.3 (8)

*Difference Significant at $p < .05$

Table 271. Descriptive Statistics for Risk/Need Factors by Termination Status for Community Transitions

Variable	Successful Terminations	Unsuccessful Terminations
	Mean (N)	Mean (N)
Prior Arrests*	8.09 (58)	11.29 (45)
	% (N)	% (N)
Prior Arrest (Yes/No)	100.0 (58)	100.0 (45)
	Mean (N)	Mean (N)
Prior Incarcerations in State of Ohio	1.12 (59)	1.33 (49)
	% (N)	% (N)
Prior Incarcerations (Yes/No)	55.9 (33)	57.1 (28)
Employment Status at Arrest	% (N)	% (N)
Employed	57.6 (34)	44.9 (22)
Unemployed	42.4 (25)	55.1 (27)
	Mean (N)	Mean (N)
Education Level (Highest Grade Completed)	10.71 (59)	10.63 (49)
	% (N)	% (N)
H.S. Graduate (Yes/No)	37.3 (22)	32.7 (16)
Offense Type	% (N)	% (N)
Person	33.9 (20)	34.7 (17)
Sex	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)
Drug	25.4 (15)	10.2 (5)
Property	37.3 (22)	49.0 (24)
Other	3.4 (2)	6.1 (3)
Degree of Current Offense	% (N)	% (N)
First	13.6 (8)	12.5 (6)
Second	45.8 (27)	31.3 (15)
Third	20.3 (12)	18.8 (9)
Fourth	11.9 (7)	29.2 (14)
Fifth	8.5 (5)	8.3 (4)
History of Alcohol Abuse (Yes/No)	57.6 (34)	49.0 (24)
History of Drug Abuse (Yes/No)	79.7 (47)	77.6 (38)
Mental Health Problems Identified (Yes/No)	6.8 (4)	8.2 (4)
	Mean (N)	Mean (N)
Risk Level	63.56 (59)	17.76 (49)
Risk Category	% (N)	% (N)
Low	6.8 (4)	0.0 (0)
Low/Moderate	27.1 (16)	26.5 (13)
Moderate	37.3 (22)	36.7 (18)
High	28.8 (17)	36.7 (18)

*Difference Significant at $p < .05$

Table 272. Any Re-Arrest By Group Membership and Risk Level for Community Transitions

	All	Risk Level			
		Low	Low/Moderate	Moderate	High
	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)
Experimental	36.2 (21)	25.0 (1)	26.7 (4)	40.9 (9)	41.2 (7)
Comparison	33.0 (35)	0.0 (0)	16.1 (5)	37.2 (16)	58.3 (14)

*Difference Significant at $p < .05$

Table 273. Incarceration for a Technical Violation By Group Membership and Risk Level for Community Transitions

	All	Risk Level			
		Low	Low/Moderate	Moderate	High
	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)
Experimental	25.4 (15)	25.0 (1)	25.0 (4)	27.3 (6)	23.5 (4)
Comparison	20.4 (22)	0.0 (0)	19.4 (6)	25.6 (11)	20.8 (5)

*Difference Significant at $p < .05$

Table 274. Incarceration for a New Offense By Group Membership and Risk Level for Community Transitions

	All	Risk Level			
		Low	Low/Moderate	Moderate	High
	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)
Experimental	13.6 (8)	0.0 (0)	12.5 (2)	13.6 (3)	17.6 (3)
Comparison	13.0 (14)	0.0 (0)	6.5 (2)	11.6 (5)	29.2 (7)

*Difference Significant at $p < .05$

Table 275. Any New Incarceration By Group Membership and Risk Level for Community Transitions

	All	Risk Level			
		Low	Low/Moderate	Moderate	High
	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)
Experimental	39.0 (23)	0.0 (0)	12.5 (2)	13.6 (3)	17.6 (3)
Comparison	33.3 (36)	0.0 (0)	6.5 (2)	11.6 (5)	29.2 (7)

*Difference Significant at $p < .05$

Oriana House TMRC

Oriana House, Inc., TMRC is a halfway house located in Akron and has been in operation since 1995. The facility serves male offenders in need of rehabilitative services. TMRC has a capacity of 126. The average length of stay in the facility is 3 months with a maximum stay of 6 months. For some, there is an additional period of supervision that is provided by probation/parole lasting from 6 to 12 months. TMRC serves offenders at various legal statuses including parolees, probationers, work release clients, and prisoners at both the state and federal levels. The following major services were offered by the facility in FY99:

Substance abuse
Employment
Cognitive groups
Education

In addition to the core areas, TMRC also offers groups in AA/NA/self-help, AIDS awareness, anger management, community service, crisis intervention counseling, finances, life skills, recreation, and spirituality/religion.

Changes since FY99:

The major programmatic changes have been the reduction in number and type of treatment programs offered in-house and the subsequent increase in community treatment referrals.

TMRC clients participate in different treatment groups based on individualized program plans, and staff indicated that the treatments are primarily based on the cognitive and disease models. The facility uses the Level of Service Inventory-Revised to assess overall offender needs and the Substance Abuse Subtle Screening Inventory to assess substance abuse related needs. Individualized program plans are based on this information.

Bivariate Analyses for Oriana House TMRC

Table 276. Descriptive Statistics for Oriana House TMRC by Group Membership

Variable	Experimental Group	Comparison Group
	Mean (N)	Mean (N)
Age (Average Age in Years)	32.73 (121)	34.20 (98)
Race	% (N)	% (N)
Black	59.5 (72)	60.2 (59)
White	40.5 (49)	39.8 (39)
Sex	% (N)	% (N)
Male	100.0 (121)	100.0 (98)
Female	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)
Marital Status	% (N)	% (N)
Married	11.6 (14)	14.3 (14)
Never Married	71.1 (86)	70.4 (69)
Divorced/Separated/Widowed	17.4 (21)	15.3 (15)

*Difference Significant at $p < .05$

Table 277. Descriptive Statistics for Risk/Need Factors by Group Membership for Oriana House TMRC

Variable	Experimental Group	Comparison Group
	Mean (N)	Mean (N)
Prior Arrests*	7.65 (113)	6.04 (97)
	% (N)	% (N)
Prior Arrest (Yes/No)*	100.0 (113)	87.6 (85)
	Mean (N)	Mean (N)
Prior Incarcerations in State of Ohio*	0.70 (121)	0.43 (98)
	% (N)	% (N)
Prior Incarcerations (Yes/No)*	44.6 (54)	24.5 (24)
Employment Status at Arrest*	% (N)	% (N)
Employed	48.8 (59)	30.6 (30)
Unemployed	51.2 (62)	69.4 (68)
	Mean (N)	Mean (N)
Education Level (Highest Grade Completed)	11.10 (121)	11.06 (98)
	% (N)	% (N)
H.S. Graduate (Yes/No)	46.3 (56)	38.8 (38)
Offense Type	% (N)	% (N)
Person	23.1 (28)	20.4 (20)
Sex	0.0 (0)	1.0 (1)
Drug	33.9 (41)	39.8 (39)
Property	35.5 (43)	31.6 (31)
Other	7.4 (9)	7.1 (7)
Degree of Current Offense	% (N)	% (N)
First	6.7 (8)	6.1 (6)
Second	38.3 (46)	30.6 (30)
Third	29.2 (35)	23.5 (23)
Fourth	15.0 (18)	19.4 (19)
Fifth	10.8 (13)	20.4 (20)
History of Alcohol Abuse (Yes/No)*	39.7 (48)	65.3 (64)
History of Drug Abuse (Yes/No)*	60.3 (73)	79.6 (78)
Mental Health Problems Identified (Yes/No)	15.7 (19)	24.5 (24)
	Mean (N)	Mean (N)
Risk Level*	54.17 (121)	64.58 (98)
	% (N)	% (N)
Risk Category*	% (N)	% (N)
Low	8.3 (10)	5.1 (5)
Low/Moderate	33.9 (41)	17.3 (17)
Moderate	43.8 (53)	59.2 (58)
High	14.0 (17)	18.4 (18)

*Difference Significant at $p < .05$

Table 278. Programming Information for Oriana House TMRC

Variable	Experimental Group
	% (N)
Academic Training Needed	27.3 (33)
Percent Participated In	19.0 (23)
Vocational Training Needed	5.8 (7)
Percent Participated In	4.1 (5)
Employment Assistance Needed	84.3 (102)
Percent Participated In	81.8 (99)
Assistance with Accommodations Needed	34.7 (42)
Percent Participated In	39.7 (48)
Substance Abuse Counseling Needed	77.7 (94)
Percent Participated In	71.1 (86)
Alcohol Abuse Counseling Needed	74.4 (90)
Percent Participated In	66.1 (80)
Mental Health Counseling Needed	15.7 (19)
Percent Participated In	10.7 (13)
Anger Management Counseling Needed	79.3 (96)
Percent Participated In	86.8 (105)
Sexual Behavior Counseling Needed	2.5 (3)
Percent Participated In	0.8 (1)
Termination Status	% (N)
Successful	66.9 (81)
Unsuccessful	33.1 (40)

*Difference Significant at $p < .05$

Table 279. Descriptive Statistics By Termination Status for Oriana House TMRC

Variable	Successful Terminations	Unsuccessful Terminations
	Mean (N)	Mean (N)
Age (Average Age in Years)	33.52 (81)	31.13 (40)
Race	% (N)	% (N)
Black	60.5 (49)	57.5 (23)
White	39.5 (32)	42.5 (17)
Sex	% (N)	% (N)
Male	100.0 (81)	100.0 (40)
Female	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)
Marital Status	% (N)	% (N)
Married	14.8 (12)	5.0 (2)
Never Married	64.2 (52)	85.0 (34)
Divorced/Separated/Widowed	21.0 (17)	10.0 (4)

*Difference Significant at $p < .05$

Table 280. Descriptive Statistics for Risk/Need Factors by Termination Status for Oriana House TMRC

Variable	Successful Terminations	Unsuccessful Terminations
	Mean (N)	Mean (N)
Prior Arrests	7.43 (76)	8.11 (37)
	% (N)	% (N)
Prior Arrest (Yes/No)	100.0 (76)	100.0 (37)
	Mean (N)	Mean (N)
Prior Incarcerations in State of Ohio	0.70 (81)	0.70 (40)
	% (N)	% (N)
Prior Incarcerations (Yes/No)	45.7 (37)	42.5 (17)
Employment Status at Arrest	% (N)	% (N)
Employed	46.9 (38)	52.5 (21)
Unemployed	53.1 (43)	47.5 (19)
	Mean (N)	Mean (N)
Education Level (Highest Grade Completed)	11.16 (81)	1.46 (40)
	% (N)	% (N)
H.S. Graduate (Yes/No)	45.7 (37)	47.5 (19)
Offense Type	% (N)	% (N)
Person	25.9 (21)	17.5 (7)
Sex	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)
Drug	37.0 (30)	27.5 (11)
Property	30.9 (25)	45.0 (18)
Other	6.2 (5)	10.0 (4)
Degree of Current Offense*	% (N)	% (N)
First	8.8 (7)	2.5 (1)
Second	45.0 (36)	25.0 (10)
Third	33.8 (27)	20.0 (8)
Fourth	8.8 (7)	27.5 (11)
Fifth	3.8 (3)	25.0 (10)
History of Alcohol Abuse (Yes/No)	39.5 (32)	40.0 (16)
History of Drug Abuse (Yes/No)	60.5 (49)	60.0 (24)
Mental Health Problems Identified (Yes/No)	12.3 (10)	22.5 (9)
	Mean (N)	Mean (N)
Risk Level	56.37 (81)	61.80 (40)
Risk Category	% (N)	% (N)
Low	11.1 (9)	2.5 (1)
Low/Moderate	35.8 (29)	30.0 (12)
Moderate	39.5 (32)	52.5 (21)
High	13.6 (11)	15.0 (6)

*Difference Significant at $p < .05$

Table 281. Any Re-Arrest By Group Membership and Risk Level for Oriana House TMRC

	All	Risk Level			
		Low	Low/Moderate	Moderate	High
	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)
Experimental	57.9 (44)	25.0 (2)	61.5 (16)	59.4 (19)	70.0 (7)
Comparison	58.3 (56)	40.0 (2)	47.1 (8)	57.9 (33)	76.5 (13)

*Difference Significant at $p < .05$

Table 282. Incarceration for a Technical Violation By Group Membership and Risk Level for Oriana House TMRC

	All	Risk Level			
		Low	Low/Moderate	Moderate	High
	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)
Experimental	18.5 (15)	0.0 (0)	24.1 (7)	15.6 (5)	27.3 (3)
Comparison	23.5 (23)	0.0 (0)	17.6 (3)	24.1 (14)	33.3 (6)

*Difference Significant at $p < .05$

Table 283. Incarceration for a New Offense By Group Membership and Risk Level for Oriana House TMRC

	All	Risk Level			
		Low	Low/Moderate	Moderate	High
	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)
Experimental	12.3 (10)	0.0 (0)	10.3 (3)	15.6 (5)	18.2 (2)
Comparison	23.5 (23)	20.0 (1)	23.5 (4)	22.4 (13)	27.8 (5)

*Difference Significant at $p < .05$

Table 284. Any New Incarceration By Group Membership and Risk Level for Oriana House TMRC

	All*	Risk Level			
		Low	Low/Moderate	Moderate	High
	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)
Experimental	30.9 (25)	0.0 (0)	34.5 (10)	31.3 (10)	45.5 (5)
Comparison	46.9 (46)	20.0 (1)	41.2 (7)	46.6 (27)	61.1 (11)

*Difference Significant at $p < .05$

Oriana House RIP

Oriana House RIP is a halfway house located in Akron and has been in operation since 1985. The facility has 31 full-time staff and 3 part-time staff who serve male offenders in need of rehabilitative services. RIP has a capacity of 160. The average length of stay in the facility is 3 months with a maximum stay of 6 months. For some, their residential placement is followed by an additional supervision period provided by probation/parole lasting from 6 to 12 months. RIP serves offenders at various legal statuses including state parolees, county probationers, municipal work release clients, and prisoners at both the state and federal levels. The following major services were offered by the facility in FY99:

Substance abuse
Employment
Cognitive groups
Education

In addition to the core areas, RIP also offers groups in AA/NA/self-help, AIDS awareness, anger management, community service, crisis intervention counseling, financial, life skills, recreation, and spirituality/religion.

Changes since FY99:

The major programmatic changes have been the reduction in number and type of treatment programs offered in-house and the subsequent increase in community treatment referrals.

RIP clients participate in different treatment groups based on individualized program plans negotiated with each client. Treatments utilized are primarily based on cognitive and disease models. RIP uses the Level of Service Inventory-Revised to assess overall offender risk and needs and the Substance Abuse Subtle Screening Inventory to assess substance abuse related needs. Individualized program plans are based on this information along with collateral information received from the referral source.

Bivariate Analyses for Oriana House RIP

Table 285. Descriptive Statistics for Oriana House RIP by Group Membership

Variable	Experimental Group	Comparison Group
	Mean (N)	Mean (N)
Age (Average Age in Years)*	34.79 (205)	40.00 (178)
Race	% (N)	% (N)
Black	56.9 (116)	59.7 (105)
White	43.1 (88)	40.3 (71)
Sex	% (N)	% (N)
Male	100.0 (205)	100.0 (178)
Female	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)
Marital Status*	% (N)	% (N)
Married	7.8 (16)	18.0 (32)
Never Married	68.8 (141)	59.6 (106)
Divorced/Separated/Widowed	23.4 (48)	22.5 (40)

*Difference Significant at $p < .05$

Table 286. Descriptive Statistics for Risk/Need Factors by Group Membership for Oriana House RIP

Variable	Experimental Group	Comparison Group
	Mean (N)	Mean (N)
Prior Arrests*	8.14 (199)	6.64 (171)
	% (N)	% (N)
Prior Arrest (Yes/No)*	100.0 (199)	93.6 (160)
	Mean (N)	Mean (N)
Prior Incarcerations in State of Ohio*	1.06 (205)	0.53 (178)
	% (N)	% (N)
Prior Incarcerations (Yes/No)*	57.1 (117)	24.2 (43)
Employment Status at Arrest*	% (N)	% (N)
Employed	47.8 (98)	28.7 (51)
Unemployed	52.2 (107)	71.3 (127)
	Mean (N)	Mean (N)
Education Level (Highest Grade Completed)	10.75 (205)	10.83 (178)
	% (N)	% (N)
H.S. Graduate (Yes/No)	37.1 (76)	36.0 (64)
Offense Type*	% (N)	% (N)
Person	33.2 (68)	29.2 (52)
Sex	2.4 (5)	6.7 (12)
Drug	16.6 (34)	34.8 (62)
Property	43.4 (89)	21.9 (39)
Other	4.4 (9)	7.3 (13)
Degree of Current Offense	% (N)	% (N)
First	14.6 (29)	11.8 (21)
Second	28.8 (57)	26.4 (47)
Third	16.2 (32)	15.7 (28)
Fourth	21.2 (42)	25.8 (46)
Fifth	19.2 (38)	20.2 (36)
History of Alcohol Abuse (Yes/No)*	54.6 (112)	79.2 (141)
History of Drug Abuse (Yes/No)*	67.3 (138)	80.3 (143)
Mental Health Problems Identified (Yes/No)	27.3 (56)	30.3 (54)
	Mean (N)	Mean (N)
Risk Level	64.00 (205)	63.56 (178)
Risk Category	% (N)	% (N)
Low	5.4 (11)	6.7 (12)
Low/Moderate	24.9 (51)	22.5 (40)
Moderate	45.4 (93)	50.0 (89)
High	24.4 (50)	20.8 (37)

*Difference Significant at $p < .05$

Table 287. Programming Information for Oriana House RIP

Variable	Experimental Group
	% (N)
Academic Training Needed	29.3 (60)
Percent Participated In	10.7 (22)
Vocational Training Needed	8.8 (18)
Percent Participated In	7.8 (16)
Employment Assistance Needed	83.9 (172)
Percent Participated In	79.0 (162)
Assistance with Accommodations Needed	61.0 (125)
Percent Participated In	56.1 (115)
Substance Abuse Counseling Needed	67.8 (139)
Percent Participated In	66.3 (136)
Alcohol Abuse Counseling Needed	53.2 (109)
Percent Participated In	68.3 (140)
Mental Health Counseling Needed	26.8 (55)
Percent Participated In	19.5 (40)
Anger Management Counseling Needed	42.0 (86)
Percent Participated In	49.3 (101)
Sexual Behavior Counseling Needed	7.8 (16)
Percent Participated In	2.0 (4)
Termination Status	% (N)
Successful	58.0 (119)
Unsuccessful	42.0 (86)

*Difference Significant at $p < .05$

Table 288. Descriptive Statistics By Termination Status for Oriana House RIP

Variable	Successful Terminations	Unsuccessful Terminations
	Mean (N)	Mean (N)
Age (Average Age in Years)*	36.14 (119)	32.92 (86)
Race	% (N)	% (N)
Black	58.8 (70)	54.1 (46)
White	41.2 (49)	45.9 (39)
Sex	% (N)	% (N)
Male	100.0 (119)	100.0 (86)
Female	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)
Marital Status	% (N)	% (N)
Married	8.4 (10)	7.0 (6)
Never Married	66.4 (79)	72.1 (62)
Divorced/Separated/Widowed	25.2 (30)	20.9 (18)

*Difference Significant at $p < .05$

Table 289. Descriptive Statistics for Risk/Need Factors by Termination Status for Oriana House RIP

Variable	Successful Terminations	Unsuccessful Terminations
	Mean (N)	Mean (N)
Prior Arrests	7.72 (116)	8.72 (83)
	% (N)	% (N)
Prior Arrest (Yes/No)	100.0 (116)	100.0 (83)
	Mean (N)	Mean (N)
Prior Incarcerations in State of Ohio	1.14 (119)	0.95 (86)
	% (N)	% (N)
Prior Incarcerations (Yes/No)	58.8 (70)	54.7 (47)
Employment Status at Arrest*	% (N)	% (N)
Employed	54.6 (65)	38.4 (33)
Unemployed	45.4 (54)	61.6 (53)
	Mean (N)	Mean (N)
Education Level (Highest Grade Completed)*	10.97 (119)	10.44 (86)
	% (N)	% (N)
H.S. Graduate (Yes/No)	42.0 (50)	30.2 (26)
Offense Type	% (N)	% (N)
Person	33.6 (40)	32.6 (28)
Sex	1.7 (2)	3.5 (3)
Drug	16.8 (20)	16.3 (14)
Property	44.5 (53)	41.9 (36)
Other	3.4 (4)	5.8 (5)
Degree of Current Offense	% (N)	% (N)
First	17.9 (20)	10.5 (9)
Second	29.5 (33)	27.9 (24)
Third	16.1 (18)	16.3 (14)
Fourth	16.1 (18)	27.9 (24)
Fifth	20.5 (23)	17.4 (15)
History of Alcohol Abuse (Yes/No)	57.1 (68)	51.2 (44)
History of Drug Abuse (Yes/No)*	60.5 (72)	76.7 (66)
Mental Health Problems Identified (Yes/No)	27.7 (33)	26.7 (23)
	Mean (N)	Mean (N)
Risk Level*	61.56 (119)	67.37 (86)
Risk Category*	% (N)	% (N)
Low	6.7 (8)	3.5 (3)
Low/Moderate	22.7 (33)	20.9 (18)
Moderate	48.7 (58)	40.7 (35)
High	16.8 (20)	34.9 (30)

*Difference Significant at $p < .05$

Table 290. Any Re-Arrest By Group Membership and Risk Level for Oriana House RIP

	All	Risk Level			
		Low	Low/Moderate	Moderate	High
	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)
Experimental	60.3 (70)	50.0 (4)	45.5 (15)	61.8 (34)	85.0 (17)
Comparison	58.2 (99)	22.2 (2)	36.8 (14)	63.2 (55)	77.8 (28)

*Difference Significant at $p < .05$

Table 291. Incarceration for a Technical Violation By Group Membership and Risk Level for Oriana House RIP

	All	Risk Level			
		Low	Low/Moderate	Moderate	High
	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)
Experimental	25.2 (30)	25.0 (2)	9.1 (3)	32.8 (19)	30.0 (6)
Comparison	25.8 (46)	16.7 (2)	12.5 (5)	28.1 (25)	37.8 (14)

*Difference Significant at $p < .05$

Table 292. Incarceration for a New Offense By Group Membership and Risk Level for Oriana House RIP

	All	Risk Level			
		Low	Low/Moderate	Moderate	High
	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)
Experimental	20.2 (24)	25.0 (2)	15.2 (5)	17.2 (10)	35.0 (7)
Comparison	21.3 (38)	8.3 (1)	20.0 (8)	21.3 (19)	27.0 (10)

*Difference Significant at $p < .05$

Table 293. Any New Incarceration By Group Membership and Risk Level for Oriana House RIP

	All	Risk Level			
		Low	Low/Moderate	Moderate	High
	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)
Experimental	45.4 (54)	50.0 (4)	24.2 (8)	50.0 (29)	65.0 (13)
Comparison	47.2 (84)	25.0 (3)	32.5 (13)	49.4 (44)	64.9 (24)

*Difference Significant at $p < .05$

Talbert House Beekman

Talbert House Beekman is located in Cincinnati and has been in operation since 1987. The facility has 10 full-time staff and serves male offenders. Talbert House Beekman has a capacity of 60 offenders and there were 60 offenders in the facility at the time of the site visit. The average time in the program is 3 months. The following major services were offered by the facility in FY99:

Substance abuse
Employment
Cognitive groups
Financial

In addition to the core areas, Talbert House Beekman also offers groups in anger management, life skills, family education, parenting, STD, and housing.

Changes since FY99:

The major programmatic change has been clients moving through phases.

Bivariate Analyses for Talbert House Beekman

Table 294. Descriptive Statistics for Talbert House Beekman by Group Membership

Variable	Experimental Group	Comparison Group
	Mean (N)	Mean (N)
Age (Average Age in Years)	33.28 (144)	34.93 (86)
Race	% (N)	% (N)
Black	57.0 (81)	65.1 (56)
White	43.0 (61)	34.9 (30)
Sex	% (N)	% (N)
Male	100.0 (144)	100.0 (86)
Female	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)
Marital Status	% (N)	% (N)
Married	17.4 (25)	15.1 (13)
Never Married	68.1 (98)	73.3 (63)
Divorced/Separated/Widowed	14.6 (21)	11.6 (10)

*Difference Significant at $p < .05$

Table 295. Descriptive Statistics for Risk/Need Factors by Group Membership for Talbert House Beekman

Variable	Experimental Group	Comparison Group
	Mean (N)	Mean (N)
Prior Arrests*	4.66 (135)	5.84 (86)
	% (N)	% (N)
Prior Arrest (Yes/No)*	100.0 (135)	87.2 (75)
	Mean (N)	Mean (N)
Prior Incarcerations in State of Ohio	0.56 (144)	0.52 (86)
	% (N)	% (N)
Prior Incarcerations (Yes/No)*	34.7 (50)	27.9 (24)
Employment Status at Arrest	% (N)	% (N)
Employed	54.2 (78)	46.5 (40)
Unemployed	45.8 (66)	53.5 (46)
	Mean (N)	Mean (N)
Education Level (Highest Grade Completed)	10.65 (144)	10.57 (86)
	% (N)	% (N)
H.S. Graduate (Yes/No)	33.3 (48)	31.4 (27)
Offense Type	% (N)	% (N)
Person	29.9 (43)	22.1 (19)
Sex	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)
Drug	34.7 (50)	37.2 (32)
Property	33.3 (48)	39.5 (34)
Other	2.1 (3)	1.2 (1)
Degree of Current Offense*	% (N)	% (N)
First	17.4 (24)	11.6 (10)
Second	31.2 (43)	32.6 (28)
Third	27.5 (38)	15.1 (13)
Fourth	18.1 (25)	15.1 (13)
Fifth	5.8 (8)	25.6 (22)
History of Alcohol Abuse (Yes/No)	50.0 (72)	59.3 (51)
History of Drug Abuse (Yes/No)*	66.0 (95)	80.2 (69)
Mental Health Problems Identified (Yes/No)	14.6 (21)	15.1 (13)
	Mean (N)	Mean (N)
Risk Level*	56.92 (144)	62.22 (86)
Risk Category	% (N)	% (N)
Low	11.1 (16)	5.8 (5)
Low/Moderate	36.1 (52)	25.6 (22)
Moderate	40.3 (58)	45.3 (39)
High	12.5 (18)	23.3 (20)

*Difference Significant at $p < .05$

Table 296. Programming Information for Talbert House Beekman

Variable	Experimental Group
	% (N)
Academic Training Needed	21.5 (31)
Percent Participated In	1.4 (2)
Vocational Training Needed	11.8 (17)
Percent Participated In	1.4 (2)
Employment Assistance Needed	86.8 (125)
Percent Participated In	87.5 (126)
Assistance with Accommodations Needed	60.4 (87)
Percent Participated In	77.8 (112)
Substance Abuse Counseling Needed	77.8 (112)
Percent Participated In	89.6 (129)
Alcohol Abuse Counseling Needed	61.1 (88)
Percent Participated In	85.4 (123)
Mental Health Counseling Needed	13.2 (19)
Percent Participated In	11.8 (17)
Anger Management Counseling Needed	8.3 (12)
Percent Participated In	25.0 (36)
Sexual Behavior Counseling Needed	0.7 (1)
Percent Participated In	0.0 (0)
Termination Status	% (N)
Successful	69.4 (100)
Unsuccessful	30.6 (44)

*Difference Significant at $p < .05$

Table 297. Descriptive Statistics By Termination Status for Talbert House Beekman

Variable	Successful Terminations	Unsuccessful Terminations
	Mean (N)	Mean (N)
Age (Average Age in Years)*	34.35 (100)	30.84 (44)
Race	% (N)	% (N)
Black	55.1 (54)	61.4 (27)
White	44.9 (44)	38.6 (17)
Sex	% (N)	% (N)
Male	100.0 (100)	100.0 (44)
Female	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)
Marital Status	% (N)	% (N)
Married	16.0 (16)	20.5 (9)
Never Married	69.0 (69)	65.9 (29)
Divorced/Separated/Widowed	15.0 (15)	13.6 (6)

*Difference Significant at $p < .05$

Table 298. Descriptive Statistics for Risk/Need Factors by Termination Status for Talbert House Beekman

Variable	Successful Terminations	Unsuccessful Terminations
	Mean (N)	Mean (N)
Prior Arrests	4.47 (93)	5.07 (42)
	% (N)	% (N)
Prior Arrest (Yes/No)	100.0 (93)	100.0 (42)
	Mean (N)	Mean (N)
Prior Incarcerations in State of Ohio	0.51 (100)	0.66 (44)
	% (N)	% (N)
Prior Incarcerations (Yes/No)	34.0 (34)	36.4 (16)
Employment Status at Arrest	% (N)	% (N)
Employed	59.0 (59)	43.2 (19)
Unemployed	41.0 (41)	56.8 (25)
	Mean (N)	Mean (N)
Education Level (Highest Grade Completed)	10.72 (100)	10.50 (44)
	% (N)	% (N)
H.S. Graduate (Yes/No)	33.0 (33)	34.1 (15)
Offense Type	% (N)	% (N)
Person	36.0 (36)	15.9 (7)
Sex	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)
Drug	31.0 (31)	43.2 (19)
Property	31.0 (31)	38.6 (17)
Other	2.0 (2)	2.3 (1)
Degree of Current Offense*	% (N)	% (N)
First	21.1 (20)	9.3 (4)
Second	35.8 (34)	20.9 (9)
Third	25.3 (24)	32.6 (14)
Fourth	11.6 (11)	32.6 (14)
Fifth	6.3 (6)	4.7 (2)
History of Alcohol Abuse (Yes/No)	51.0 (51)	47.7 (21)
History of Drug Abuse (Yes/No)	65.0 (65)	68.2 (30)
Mental Health Problems Identified (Yes/No)	15.0 (15)	13.6 (6)
	Mean (N)	Mean (N)
Risk Level*	53.55 (100)	64.59 (44)
Risk Category*	% (N)	% (N)
Low	15.0 (15)	2.3 (1)
Low/Moderate	39.0 (39)	29.5 (13)
Moderate	39.0 (39)	43.2 (19)
High	7.0 (7)	25.0 (11)

*Difference Significant at $p < .05$

Table 299. Any Re-Arrest By Group Membership and Risk Level for Talbert House Beekman

	All*	Risk Level			
		Low	Low/Moderate	Moderate	High
	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)
Experimental	34.7 (34)	7.1 (1)	42.1 (16)	35.9 (14)	42.9 (3)
Comparison	51.2 (44)	20.0 (1)	40.9 (9)	56.4 (22)	60.0 (12)

*Difference Significant at $p < .05$

Table 300. Incarceration for a Technical Violation By Group Membership and Risk Level for Talbert House Beekman

	All*	Risk Level			
		Low	Low/Moderate	Moderate	High
	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)
Experimental	7.0 (7)	0.0 (0)	5.1 (2)	12.8 (5)	0.0 (0)
Comparison	17.4 (15)	0.0 (0)	13.6 (3)	20.5 (8)	20.0 (4)

*Difference Significant at $p < .05$

Table 301. Incarceration for a New Offense By Group Membership and Risk Level for Talbert House Beekman

	All	Risk Level			
		Low	Low/Moderate	Moderate	High
	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)
Experimental	8.0 (8)	0.0 (0)	2.6 (1)	17.9 (7)	0.0 (0)
Comparison	14.0 (12)	0.0 (0)	9.1 (2)	12.8 (5)	25.0 (5)

*Difference Significant at $p < .05$

Table 302. Any New Incarceration By Group Membership and Risk Level for Talbert House Beekman

	All*	Risk Level			
		Low	Low/Moderate	Moderate	High*
	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)
Experimental	15.0 (15)	0.0 (0)	7.7 (3)	30.8 (12)	0.0 (0)
Comparison	31.4 (27)	0.0 (0)	22.7 (5)	33.3 (13)	45.0 (9)

*Difference Significant at $p < .05$

Talbert House Spring Grove

Talbert House Spring Grove is located in Cincinnati. Talbert House Spring Grove has a capacity of 100 male offenders and there were 60 offenders in the facility at the time of the site visit. The average time in the program is 3 months. The following major services were offered by the facility in FY99:

Substance abuse
Employment
Financial

In addition to the core areas, Talbert House Spring Grove also offers groups in AA, life skills, anger management, and relapse prevention.

Changes since FY99:

Major programmatic changes include the addition of cognitive programming and the addition of a SAMI unit.

Bivariate Analyses for Talbert House Spring Grove

Table 303. Descriptive Statistics for Talbert House Spring Grove by Group Membership

Variable	Experimental Group	Comparison Group
	Mean (N)	Mean (N)
Age (Average Age in Years)	34.23 (212)	35.14 (201)
Race	% (N)	% (N)
Black	65.6 (139)	61.5 (123)
White	34.4 (73)	38.5 (77)
Sex	% (N)	% (N)
Male	100.0 (212)	100.0 (201)
Female	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)
Marital Status	% (N)	% (N)
Married	12.7 (27)	17.9 (36)
Never Married	68.9 (146)	66.2 (133)
Divorced/Separated/Widowed	18.4 (39)	15.9 (32)

*Difference Significant at $p < .05$

Table 304. Descriptive Statistics for Risk/Need Factors by Group Membership for Talbert House Spring Grove

Variable	Experimental Group	Comparison Group
	Mean (N)	Mean (N)
Prior Arrests	7.15 (200)	6.05 (190)
	% (N)	% (N)
Prior Arrest (Yes/No)*	99.0 (198)	91.6 (174)
	Mean (N)	Mean (N)
Prior Incarcerations in State of Ohio*	0.93 (212)	0.66 (201)
	% (N)	% (N)
Prior Incarcerations (Yes/No)*	50.0 (106)	34.8 (70)
	% (N)	% (N)
Employment Status at Arrest*		
Employed	54.7 (116)	32.3 (65)
Unemployed	45.3 (96)	67.7 (136)
	Mean (N)	Mean (N)
Education Level (Highest Grade Completed)	10.80 (212)	10.54 (201)
	% (N)	% (N)
H.S. Graduate (Yes/No)	34.0 (72)	28.4 (57)
	% (N)	% (N)
Offense Type		
Person	29.2 (62)	26.4 (53)
Sex	0.0 (0)	3.0 (6)
Drug	26.9 (57)	31.8 (64)
Property	38.2 (81)	34.3 (69)
Other	5.7 (12)	4.5 (9)
	% (N)	% (N)
Degree of Current Offense		
First	12.6 (23)	9.0 (18)
Second	25.7 (47)	35.8 (72)
Third	21.9 (40)	15.4 (31)
Fourth	21.9 (40)	19.9 (40)
Fifth	18.0 (33)	19.9 (40)
	% (N)	% (N)
History of Alcohol Abuse (Yes/No)*	53.3 (113)	78.1 (157)
	% (N)	% (N)
History of Drug Abuse (Yes/No)*	70.8 (150)	80.6 (162)
	% (N)	% (N)
Mental Health Problems Identified (Yes/No)	18.4 (39)	25.9 (52)
	% (N)	% (N)
	Mean (N)	Mean (N)
Risk Level*	63.11 (212)	66.48 (201)
	% (N)	% (N)
Risk Category		
Low	5.2 (11)	4.5 (9)
Low/Moderate	24.5 (52)	19.4 (39)
Moderate	45.8 (97)	45.8 (92)
High	24.5 (52)	30.3 (61)

*Difference Significant at $p < .05$

Table 305. Programming Information for Talbert House Spring Grove

Variable	Experimental Group
	% (N)
Academic Training Needed	32.5 (69)
Percent Participated In	13.2 (28)
Vocational Training Needed	19.3 (41)
Percent Participated In	1.9 (4)
Employment Assistance Needed	66.0 (140)
Percent Participated In	40.6 (86)
Assistance with Accommodations Needed	52.8 (112)
Percent Participated In	58.5 (124)
Substance Abuse Counseling Needed	75.5 (160)
Percent Participated In	94.8 (201)
Alcohol Abuse Counseling Needed	67.0 (142)
Percent Participated In	93.9 (199)
Mental Health Counseling Needed	17.9 (38)
Percent Participated In	9.9 (21)
Anger Management Counseling Needed	26.4 (56)
Percent Participated In	29.2 (62)
Sexual Behavior Counseling Needed	1.9 (4)
Percent Participated In	0.0 (0)
Termination Status	% (N)
Successful	53.3 (113)
Unsuccessful	46.7 (99)

*Difference Significant at $p < .05$

Table 306. Descriptive Statistics By Termination Status for Talbert House Spring Grove

Variable	Successful Terminations	Unsuccessful Terminations
	Mean (N)	Mean (N)
Age (Average Age in Years)*	35.83 (113)	32.40 (99)
Race	% (N)	% (N)
Black	66.4 (75)	64.6 (64)
White	33.6 (38)	35.4 (35)
Sex	% (N)	% (N)
Male	100.0 (113)	100.0 (99)
Female	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)
Marital Status	% (N)	% (N)
Married	13.3 (15)	12.1 (12)
Never Married	66.4 (75)	71.7 (71)
Divorced/Separated/Widowed	20.4 (23)	16.2 (16)

*Difference Significant at $p < .05$

Table 307. Descriptive Statistics for Risk/Need Factors by Termination Status for Talbert House Spring Grove

Variable	Successful Terminations	Unsuccessful Terminations
	Mean (N)	Mean (N)
Prior Arrests	6.83 (105)	7.49 (95)
	% (N)	% (N)
Prior Arrest (Yes/No)	100.0 (105)	97.9 (93)
	Mean (N)	Mean (N)
Prior Incarcerations in State of Ohio	0.98 (113)	0.88 (99)
	% (N)	% (N)
Prior Incarcerations (Yes/No)	53.1 (60)	46.5 (46)
Employment Status at Arrest*	% (N)	% (N)
Employed	61.9 (70)	46.5 (46)
Unemployed	38.1 (43)	53.5 (53)
	Mean (N)	Mean (N)
Education Level (Highest Grade Completed)*	11.30 (113)	10.22 (99)
	% (N)	% (N)
H.S. Graduate (Yes/No)*	56.9 (53)	19.2 (19)
Offense Type	% (N)	% (N)
Person	31.9 (36)	26.3 (26)
Sex	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)
Drug	27.4 (31)	26.3 (26)
Property	33.6 (38)	43.4 (43)
Other	7.1 (8)	4.0 (4)
Degree of Current Offense	% (N)	% (N)
First	14.0 (14)	10.8 (9)
Second	26.0 (26)	25.3 (21)
Third	18.0 (18)	26.5 (22)
Fourth	26.0 (26)	16.9 (14)
Fifth	16.0 (16)	20.5 (17)
History of Alcohol Abuse (Yes/No)	51.3 (58)	55.6 (55)
History of Drug Abuse (Yes/No)*	77.0 (87)	63.6 (63)
Mental Health Problems Identified (Yes/No)	15.0 (17)	22.2 (22)
	Mean (N)	Mean (N)
Risk Level*	60.19 (113)	66.45 (99)
Risk Category*	% (N)	% (N)
Low	8.8 (10)	1.0 (1)
Low/Moderate	27.4 (31)	21.2 (21)
Moderate	45.1 (51)	46.5 (46)
High	18.6 (21)	31.3 (31)

*Difference Significant at $p < .05$

Table 308. Any Re-Arrest By Group Membership and Risk Level for Talbert House Spring Grove

	All	Risk Level			
		Low	Low/Moderate	Moderate	High
	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)
Experimental	52.8 (57)	30.0 (3)	42.9 (12)	55.1 (27)	71.4 (15)
Comparison	50.3 (97)	37.5 (3)	38.9 (14)	44.3 (39)	67.2 (41)

*Difference Significant at $p < .05$

Table 309. Incarceration for a Technical Violation By Group Membership and Risk Level for Talbert House Spring Grove

	All	Risk Level			
		Low	Low/Moderate	Moderate*	High
	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)
Experimental	14.2 (16)	0.0 (0)	12.9 (4)	11.8 (6)	28.6 (6)
Comparison	20.9 (42)	22.2 (2)	7.7 (3)	27.2 (25)	19.7 (12)

*Difference Significant at $p < .05$

Table 310. Incarceration for a New Offense By Group Membership and Risk Level for Talbert House Spring Grove

	All	Risk Level			
		Low	Low/Moderate	Moderate	High
	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)
Experimental	17.7 (20)	20.0 (2)	12.9 (4)	15.7 (8)	28.6 (6)
Comparison	17.9 (36)	0.0 (0)	20.5 (8)	8.7 (8)	32.8 (20)

*Difference Significant at $p < .05$

Table 311. Any New Incarceration By Group Membership and Risk Level for Talbert House Spring Grove

	All	Risk Level			
		Low	Low/Moderate	Moderate	High
	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)
Experimental	31.9 (36)	20.0 (2)	25.8 (8)	27.5 (14)	57.1 (12)
Comparison	38.8 (78)	22.2 (2)	28.2 (11)	35.9 (33)	52.5 (32)

*Difference Significant at $p < .05$

Talbert House Cornerstone

Talbert House Cornerstone is located in Cincinnati and has been in operation since 1982. The facility has 10 full-time staff and serves male offenders. Talbert House Cornerstone has a capacity of 52 offenders and there were 39 offenders in the facility at the time of the site visit. The average time in the program is 3 months. The following major services were offered by the facility in FY99:

Substance abuse
Employment
Financial

Changes since FY99:

No major programmatic changes were indicated.

Bivariate Analyses for Tablet House Cornerstone

Table 312. Descriptive Statistics for Talbert House Cornerstone by Group Membership

Variable	Experimental Group	Comparison Group
	Mean (N)	Mean (N)
Age (Average Age in Years)	34.24 (105)	34.91 (101)
Race	% (N)	% (N)
Black	64.4 (67)	66.3 (67)
White	35.6 (37)	33.7 (34)
Sex	% (N)	% (N)
Male	100.0 (105)	100.0 (101)
Female	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)
Marital Status	% (N)	% (N)
Married	5.7 (6)	13.9 (14)
Never Married	78.1 (82)	76.2 (77)
Divorced/Separated/Widowed	16.2 (17)	9.9 (10)

*Difference Significant at $p < .05$

Table 313. Descriptive Statistics for Risk/Need Factors by Group Membership for Talbert House Cornerstone

Variable	Experimental Group	Comparison Group
	Mean (N)	Mean (N)
Prior Arrests	5.97 (96)	5.57 (91)
	% (N)	% (N)
Prior Arrest (Yes/No)*	100.0 (96)	90.1 (82)
	Mean (N)	Mean (N)
Prior Incarcerations in State of Ohio*	0.95 (105)	0.47 (101)
	% (N)	% (N)
Prior Incarcerations (Yes/No)*	54.3 (57)	25.7 (26)
Employment Status at Arrest*	% (N)	% (N)
Employed	42.9 (45)	29.7 (30)
Unemployed	57.1 (60)	70.3 (71)
	Mean (N)	Mean (N)
Education Level (Highest Grade Completed)	10.92 (105)	10.62 (101)
	% (N)	% (N)
H.S. Graduate (Yes/No)	25.7 (27)	29.7 (30)
Offense Type*	% (N)	% (N)
Person	34.3 (36)	24.8 (25)
Sex	0.0 (0)	5.0 (5)
Drug	19.0 (20)	31.7 (32)
Property	41.9 (44)	35.6 (36)
Other	4.8 (5)	3.0 (3)
Degree of Current Offense*	% (N)	% (N)
First	31.3 (31)	11.9 (12)
Second	39.4 (39)	38.6 (39)
Third	17.2 (17)	11.9 (12)
Fourth	9.1 (9)	16.8 (17)
Fifth	3.0 (3)	20.8 (21)
History of Alcohol Abuse (Yes/No)*	54.3 (57)	72.3 (73)
History of Drug Abuse (Yes/No)*	59.0 (62)	76.2 (77)
Mental Health Problems Identified (Yes/No)	9.5 (10)	18.8 (19)
	Mean (N)	Mean (N)
Risk Level*	58.19 (105)	63.95 (101)
Risk Category*	% (N)	% (N)
Low	8.6 (9)	2.0 (2)
Low/Moderate	29.5 (31)	27.7 (28)
Moderate	48.6 (51)	43.6 (44)
High	13.3 (14)	26.7 (27)

*Difference Significant at $p < .05$

Table 314. Programming Information for Talbert House Cornerstone

Variable	Experimental Group
	% (N)
Academic Training Needed	25.7 (27)
Percent Participated In	1.0 (1)
Vocational Training Needed	21.9 (23)
Percent Participated In	1.0 (1)
Employment Assistance Needed	75.2 (79)
Percent Participated In	81.0 (85)
Assistance with Accommodations Needed	65.7 (69)
Percent Participated In	45.7 (48)
Substance Abuse Counseling Needed	64.8 (68)
Percent Participated In	88.6 (93)
Alcohol Abuse Counseling Needed	61.0 (64)
Percent Participated In	89.5 (94)
Mental Health Counseling Needed	8.6 (9)
Percent Participated In	1.9 (2)
Anger Management Counseling Needed	13.3 (14)
Percent Participated In	10.5 (11)
Sexual Behavior Counseling Needed	1.9 (2)
Percent Participated In	96.2 (101)
Termination Status	% (N)
Successful	46.7 (49)
Unsuccessful	53.3 (56)

*Difference Significant at $p < .05$

Table 315. Descriptive Statistics By Termination Status for Talbert House Cornerstone

Variable	Successful Terminations	Unsuccessful Terminations
	Mean (N)	Mean (N)
Age (Average Age in Years)	35.14 (49)	33.45 (56)
Race	% (N)	% (N)
Black	63.3 (31)	65.5 (36)
White	36.7 (18)	34.5 (19)
Sex	% (N)	% (N)
Male	100.0 (49)	100.0 (56)
Female	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)
Marital Status	% (N)	% (N)
Married	6.1 (3)	5.4 (3)
Never Married	75.5 (37)	80.4 (45)
Divorced/Separated/Widowed	18.4 (9)	14.3 (8)

*Difference Significant at $p < .05$

Table 316. Descriptive Statistics for Risk/Need Factors by Termination Status for Talbert House Cornerstone

Variable	Successful Terminations	Unsuccessful Terminations
	Mean (N)	Mean (N)
Prior Arrests	5.96 (46)	5.98 (50)
	% (N)	% (N)
Prior Arrest (Yes/No)	100.0 (46)	100.0 (50)
	Mean (N)	Mean (N)
Prior Incarcerations in State of Ohio	1.02 (49)	0.89 (56)
	% (N)	% (N)
Prior Incarcerations (Yes/No)	53.1 (26)	55.4 (31)
Employment Status at Arrest	% (N)	% (N)
Employed	49.0 (24)	37.5 (21)
Unemployed	51.0 (25)	62.5 (35)
	Mean (N)	Mean (N)
Education Level (Highest Grade Completed)	11.06 (49)	10.80 (56)
	% (N)	% (N)
H.S. Graduate (Yes/No)	28.6 (14)	23.2 (13)
Offense Type	% (N)	% (N)
Person	30.6 (15)	37.5 (21)
Sex	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)
Drug	16.3 (8)	21.4 (12)
Property	44.9 (22)	39.3 (22)
Other	8.2 (4)	1.8 (1)
Degree of Current Offense	% (N)	% (N)
First	30.6 (15)	32.0 (16)
Second	40.8 (20)	38.0 (19)
Third	20.4 (10)	14.0 (7)
Fourth	8.2 (4)	10.0 (5)
Fifth	0.0 (0)	6.0 (3)
History of Alcohol Abuse (Yes/No)	51.0 (25)	57.1 (32)
History of Drug Abuse (Yes/No)	65.3 (32)	53.6 (30)
Mental Health Problems Identified (Yes/No)	6.1 (3)	12.5 (7)
	Mean (N)	Mean (N)
Risk Level	56.80 (49)	59.41 (56)
Risk Category	% (N)	% (N)
Low	14.3 (7)	3.6 (2)
Low/Moderate	30.6 (15)	28.6 (16)
Moderate	40.8 (20)	55.4 (31)
High	14.3 (7)	12.5 (7)

*Difference Significant at $p < .05$

Table 317. Any Re-Arrest By Group Membership and Risk Level for Talbert House Cornerstone

	All	Risk Level			
		Low	Low/Moderate	Moderate	High
	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)
Experimental	60.9 (28)	20.0 (1)	57.1 (8)	65.0 (13)	85.7 (6)
Comparison	48.9 (46)	0.0 (0)	38.5 (10)	55.0 (22)	51.9 (14)

*Difference Significant at $p < .05$

Table 318. Incarceration for a Technical Violation By Group Membership and Risk Level for Talbert House Cornerstone

	All	Risk Level			
		Low	Low/Moderate	Moderate	High
	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)
Experimental	18.4 (9)	0.0 (0)	33.3 (5)	10.0 (2)	28.6 (2)
Comparison	16.8 (17)	0.0 (0)	14.3 (4)	20.5 (9)	14.8 (4)

*Difference Significant at $p < .05$

Table 319. Incarceration for a New Offense By Group Membership and Risk Level for Talbert House Cornerstone

	All	Risk Level			
		Low	Low/Moderate	Moderate	High
	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)
Experimental	26.5 (13)	14.3 (1)	33.3 (5)	20.0 (4)	42.9 (3)
Comparison	17.8 (18)	0.0 (0)	17.9 (5)	13.6 (6)	25.9 (7)

*Difference Significant at $p < .05$

Table 320. Any New Incarceration By Group Membership and Risk Level for Talbert House Cornerstone

	All	Risk Level			
		Low	Low/Moderate*	Moderate	High
	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)
Experimental	44.9 (22)	14.3 (1)	66.7 (10)	30.0 (6)	71.4 (5)
Comparison	34.7 (35)	0.0 (0)	32.1 (9)	34.1 (15)	40.7 (11)

*Difference Significant at $p < .05$

Community Assessment Men's Programs

The Community Assessment Men's programs include the 30/90-day program, the Men's Therapeutic Community, and the Men's Mental Health program and have been in existence since 1994. The programs serve adult males and have a capacity of 45 offenders. At the time of the assessment, the program was serving 57 men and had 5 full-time staff. Aftercare services are provided in-house and last for 12 weeks. Participants in aftercare meet one and a half hours a week. The major services offered by the program during FY 99 were:

- Substance abuse
- Mental health
- Behavior modification

Changes since FY 99:

The program did not add any new groups since FY 99. However, it was reported that changes to the program included the hiring of a psychiatrist.

Offenders are assessed using a Chemical Dependency Assessment and a Substance Abuse Screening Assessment.

Bivariate Analyses for Community Assessment Men's Program

Table 321. Descriptive Statistics for Community Assessment Program - Men's Program by Group Membership

Variable	Experimental Group	Comparison Group
	Mean (N)	Mean (N)
Age (Average Age in Years)*	36.68 (80)	42.36 (80)
Race	% (N)	% (N)
Black	67.9 (53)	66.3 (53)
White	32.1 (25)	33.8 (27)
Sex	% (N)	% (N)
Male	100.0 (80)	100.0 (80)
Female	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)
Marital Status*	% (N)	% (N)
Married	8.8 (7)	18.8 (15)
Never Married	78.8 (63)	53.8 (43)
Divorced/Separated/Widowed	12.5 (10)	27.5 (22)

*Difference Significant at $p < .05$

Table 322. Descriptive Statistics for Risk/Need Factors by Group Membership for Community Assessment Program - Men's Program

Variable	Experimental Group	Comparison Group
	Mean (N)	Mean (N)
Prior Arrests	9.48 (75)	9.14 (74)
	% (N)	% (N)
Prior Arrest (Yes/No)*	100.0 (75)	94.6 (70)
	Mean (N)	Mean (N)
Prior Incarcerations in State of Ohio	1.24 (80)	1.10 (80)
	% (N)	% (N)
Prior Incarcerations (Yes/No)	60.0 (48)	47.5 (38)
Employment Status at Arrest	% (N)	% (N)
Employed	35.0 (28)	32.5 (26)
Unemployed	65.0 (52)	67.5 (54)
	Mean (N)	Mean (N)
Education Level (Highest Grade Completed)*	9.46 (80)	10.94 (80)
	% (N)	% (N)
H.S. Graduate (Yes/No)	25.0 (20)	38.8 (31)
Offense Type	% (N)	% (N)
Person	22.5 (18)	25.0 (20)
Sex	0.0 (0)	2.5 (2)
Drug	30.0 (24)	36.3 (29)
Property	38.8 (31)	26.3 (21)
Other	8.8 (7)	10.0 (8)
Degree of Current Offense*	% (N)	% (N)
First	8.9 (5)	2.5 (2)
Second	32.1 (18)	27.5 (22)
Third	33.9 (19)	20.0 (16)
Fourth	17.9 (10)	20.0 (16)
Fifth	7.1 (4)	30.0 (24)
History of Alcohol Abuse (Yes/No)	83.8 (67)	72.5 (58)
History of Drug Abuse (Yes/No)	88.8 (71)	80.0 (64)
Mental Health Problems Identified (Yes/No)*	12.5 (10)	27.5 (22)
	Mean (N)	Mean (N)
Risk Level	68.60 (80)	66.35 (80)
Risk Category	% (N)	% (N)
Low	2.5 (2)	3.8 (3)
Low/Moderate	12.5 (10)	16.3 (13)
Moderate	55.0 (44)	52.5 (42)
High	30.0 (24)	27.5 (22)

*Difference Significant at $p < .05$

Table 323. Programming Information for Community Assessment Program - Men's Program

Variable	Experimental Group
	% (N)
Academic Training Needed	30.0 (24)
Percent Participated In	2.5 (2)
Vocational Training Needed	35.0 (28)
Percent Participated In	15.0 (12)
Employment Assistance Needed	72.5 (58)
Percent Participated In	43.8 (35)
Assistance with Accommodations Needed	62.5 (50)
Percent Participated In	70.0 (56)
Substance Abuse Counseling Needed	95.0 (76)
Percent Participated In	98.8 (79)
Alcohol Abuse Counseling Needed	93.8 (75)
Percent Participated In	98.8 (79)
Mental Health Counseling Needed	12.5 (10)
Percent Participated In	11.3 (9)
Anger Management Counseling Needed	11.3 (9)
Percent Participated In	7.5 (6)
Sexual Behavior Counseling Needed	1.3 (1)
Percent Participated In	1.3 (1)
Termination Status	% (N)
Successful	72.5 (58)
Unsuccessful	27.5 (22)

*Difference Significant at $p < .05$

Table 324. Descriptive Statistics By Termination Status for Community Assessment Program - Men's Program

Variable	Successful Terminations	Unsuccessful Terminations
	Mean (N)	Mean (N)
Age (Average Age in Years)	37.12 (58)	8.42 (22)
Race	% (N)	% (N)
Black	70.7 (41)	60.0 (12)
White	29.3 (17)	40.0 (8)
Sex	% (N)	% (N)
Male	100.0 (58)	100.0 (22)
Female	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)
Marital Status	% (N)	% (N)
Married	10.3 (6)	4.5 (1)
Never Married	79.3 (46)	77.3 (17)
Divorced/Separated/Widowed	10.3 (6)	18.2 (4)

*Difference Significant at $p < .05$

Table 325. Descriptive Statistics for Risk/Need Factors by Termination Status for Community Assessment Program - Men's Program

Variable	Successful Terminations	Unsuccessful Terminations
	Mean (N)	Mean (N)
Prior Arrests	9.29 (56)	10.05 (19)
	% (N)	% (N)
Prior Arrest (Yes/No)	100.0 (56)	100.0 (19)
	Mean (N)	Mean (N)
Prior Incarcerations in State of Ohio	1.24 (58)	1.23 (22)
	% (N)	% (N)
Prior Incarcerations (Yes/No)	62.1 (36)	54.5 (12)
Employment Status at Arrest	% (N)	% (N)
Employed	31.0 (18)	45.5 (10)
Unemployed	69.0 (40)	54.5 (12)
	Mean (N)	Mean (N)
Education Level (Highest Grade Completed)	9.47 (58)	9.41 (22)
	% (N)	% (N)
H.S. Graduate (Yes/No)	20.7 (12)	36.4 (8)
Offense Type	% (N)	% (N)
Person	20.7 (12)	27.3 (6)
Sex	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)
Drug	32.8 (19)	22.7 (5)
Property	37.9 (22)	40.9 (9)
Other	8.6 (5)	9.1 (2)
Degree of Current Offense	% (N)	% (N)
First	9.3 (4)	7.7 (1)
Second	32.6 (14)	30.8 (4)
Third	37.2 (16)	23.1 (3)
Fourth	16.3 (7)	23.1 (3)
Fifth	4.7 (2)	15.4 (2)
History of Alcohol Abuse (Yes/No)	82.8 (48)	86.4 (19)
History of Drug Abuse (Yes/No)	86.2 (50)	95.5 (21)
Mental Health Problems Identified (Yes/No)	10.3 (6)	18.2 (4)
	Mean (N)	Mean (N)
Risk Level	69.14 (58)	67.18 (22)
Risk Category	% (N)	% (N)
Low	0.0 (0)	9.1 (2)
Low/Moderate	15.5 (9)	4.5 (1)
Moderate	55.2 (32)	54.5 (12)
High	29.3 (17)	31.8 (7)

*Difference Significant at $p < .05$

Table 326. Any Re-Arrest By Group Membership and Risk Level for Community Assessment Program - Men's Program

	All	Risk Level			
		Low	Low/Moderate	Moderate	High
	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)
Experimental	60.7 (34)	0.0 (0)	42.9 (3)	56.3 (18)	76.5 (13)
Comparison	49.3 (37)	0.0 (0)	60.0 (6)	45.2 (19)	60.0 (12)

*Difference Significant at $p < .05$

Table 327. Incarceration for a Technical Violation By Group Membership and Risk Level for Community Assessment Program - Men's Program

	All	Risk Level			
		Low	Low/Moderate	Moderate	High
	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)
Experimental	27.6 (16)	0.0 (0)	11.1 (1)	25.0 (8)	41.2 (7)
Comparison	21.3 (17)	0.0 (0)	15.4 (2)	26.2 (11)	18.2 (4)

*Difference Significant at $p < .05$

Table 328. Incarceration for a New Offense By Group Membership and Risk Level for Community Assessment Program - Men's Program

	All	Risk Level			
		Low	Low/Moderate	Moderate	High
	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)
Experimental	12.1 (7)	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)	9.4 (3)	23.5 (4)
Comparison	21.3 (17)	0.0 (0)	15.4 (2)	14.3 (6)	40.9 (9)

*Difference Significant at $p < .05$

Table 329. Any New Incarceration By Group Membership and Risk Level for Community Assessment Program Men's Program

	All	Risk Level			
		Low	Low/Moderate	Moderate	High
	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)
Experimental	39.7 (23)	0.0 (0)	11.1 (1)	34.4 (11)	64.7 (11)
Comparison	42.5 (34)	0.0 (0)	30.8 (4)	40.5 (17)	59.1 (13)

*Difference Significant at $p < .05$

Fresh Start

The Fresh Start program consists of two programs: Fresh Start 2 (opened in 1990) and Fresh Start 3 (opened in 1996). The Fresh Start Programs are both located in Cleveland, Ohio. The programs operate in a similar fashion; the substantive difference is that Fresh Start 3 is a residential alcohol and drug treatment program in which clients receive thirty hours of programming per week whereas at Fresh Start 2, clients receive ten hours of programming per week. Fresh Start 2 has a capacity of 26 males and was serving 25 at the time of the assessment. Fresh Start 3 has a capacity of 34 males and was serving 15 at the time of the assessment. The program is not designed to serve women. There are a total of 10 full-time staff and 10 part-time staff; the staff are split evenly between the two sites. Fresh Start 2 has been in existence for 10 years and it was reported that Fresh Start 3 has been in operation for close to 3 years. Aftercare is provided in-house for 12 weeks. Offenders meet between one and two times a week. Major services offered by the program during FY99 include:

Substance abuse

Changes since FY99:

Fresh Start has added several other programs since 1999. These include: employment, anger management, nutrition, and HIV/AIDS education. Other changes to the program were largely logistical and include hiring more staff and moving Fresh Start III to a larger site so that it may serve more individuals.

Participants are assessed using a bio-psycho-social assessment and the CIAI-C and the MAST. A health assessment is also done.

Bivariate Analyses for Fresh Start

Table 330. Descriptive Statistics for Fresh Start by Group Membership

Variable	Experimental Group	Comparison Group
	Mean (N)	Mean (N)
Age (Average Age in Years)*	38.12 (75)	42.43 (75)
Race	% (N)	% (N)
Black	77.0 (57)	74.7 (56)
White	23.0 (17)	25.3 (19)
Sex	% (N)	% (N)
Male	100.0 (75)	100.0 (75)
Female	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)
Marital Status	% (N)	% (N)
Married	14.7 (11)	21.3 (16)
Never Married	58.7 (44)	61.3 (46)
Divorced/Separated/Widowed	26.7 (20)	17.3 (13)

*Difference Significant at $p < .05$

Table 331. Descriptive Statistics for Risk/Need Factors by Group Membership for Fresh Start

Variable	Experimental Group	Comparison Group
	Mean (N)	Mean (N)
Prior Arrests	8.39 (62)	10.04 (72)
	% (N)	% (N)
Prior Arrest (Yes/No)	100.0 (62)	98.671 ()
	Mean (N)	Mean (N)
Prior Incarcerations in State of Ohio	1.13 (75)	1.36 (75)
	% (N)	% (N)
Prior Incarcerations (Yes/No)	54.7 (41)	56.0 (42)
Employment Status at Arrest*	% (N)	% (N)
Employed	18.7 (14)	34.7 (26)
Unemployed	81.3 (61)	65.3 (49)
	Mean (N)	Mean (N)
Education Level (Highest Grade Completed)	11.29 (75)	10.69 (75)
	% (N)	% (N)
H.S. Graduate (Yes/No)	46.7 (35)	40.0 (30)
Offense Type	% (N)	% (N)
Person	40.0 (30)	28.0 (21)
Sex	0.0 (0)	13 (1)
Drug	28.0 (21)	30.7 (23)
Property	28.0 (21)	29.3 (22)
Other	4.0 (3)	10.7 (8)
Degree of Current Offense*	% (N)	% (N)
First	14.1 (9)	5.3 (4)
Second	25.0 (16)	21.3 (16)
Third	32.8 (21)	21.3 (16)
Fourth	18.8 (12)	22.7 (17)
Fifth	9.4 (6)	29.3 (22)
History of Alcohol Abuse (Yes/No)*	92.0 (69)	76.0 (57)
History of Drug Abuse (Yes/No)*	94.7 (71)	81.3 (61)
Mental Health Problems Identified (Yes/No)	32.0 (24)	25.3 (19)
	Mean (N)	Mean (N)
Risk Level	64.75 (75)	68.41 (75)
Risk Category	%(N)	%(N)
Low	4.0 (3)	5.3 (4)
Low/Moderate	24.0 (18)	20.0 (15)
Moderate	46.7 (35)	38.7 (29)
High	25.3 (19)	36.0 (27)

*Difference Significant at $p < .05$

Table 332. Programming Information for Fresh Start

Variable	Experimental Group
	% (N)
Academic Training Needed	41.3 (31)
Percent Participated In	0.0 (0)
Vocational Training Needed	46.7 (35)
Percent Participated In	2.7 (2)
Employment Assistance Needed	78.7 (59)
Percent Participated In	41.3 (31)
Assistance with Accommodations Needed	69.3 (52)
Percent Participated In	68.0 (51)
Substance Abuse Counseling Needed	92.0 (69)
Percent Participated In	98.7 (74)
Alcohol Abuse Counseling Needed	93.3 (70)
Percent Participated In	98.7 (74)
Mental Health Counseling Needed	28.0 (21)
Percent Participated In	25.3 (19)
Anger Management Counseling Needed	46.7 (35)
Percent Participated In	54.7 (41)
Sexual Behavior Counseling Needed	9.3 (7)
Percent Participated In	0.0 (0)
Termination Status	% (N)
Successful	62.7 (47)
Unsuccessful	37.3 (28)

*Difference Significant at $p < .05$

Table 333. Descriptive Statistics By Termination Status for Fresh Start

Variable	Successful Terminations	Unsuccessful Terminations
	Mean (N)	Mean (N)
Age (Average Age in Years)	38.21 (47)	37.96 (28)
Race	% (N)	% (N)
Black	78.3 (36)	75.0 (21)
White	21.7 (10)	25.0 (7)
Sex	% (N)	% (N)
Male	100.0 (47)	100.0 (28)
Female	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)
Marital Status	% (N)	% (N)
Married	14.9 (7)	14.3 (4)
Never Married	63.8 (30)	50.0 (14)
Divorced/Separated/Widowed	21.3 (10)	35.7 (10)

*Difference Significant at $p < .05$

Table 334. Descriptive Statistics for Risk/Need Factors by Termination Status for Fresh Start

Variable	Successful Terminations	Unsuccessful Terminations
	Mean (N)	Mean (N)
Prior Arrests	7.75 (40)	9.55 (22)
	% (N)	% (N)
Prior Arrest (Yes/No)	100.0 (40)	100.0 (22)
	Mean (N)	Mean (N)
Prior Incarcerations in State of Ohio	0.96 (47)	1.43 (28)
	% (N)	% (N)
Prior Incarcerations (Yes/No)	53.2 (25)	57.1 (16)
Employment Status at Arrest	% (N)	% (N)
Employed	14.9 (7)	25.0 (7)
Unemployed	85.1 (40)	75.0 (21)
	Mean (N)	Mean (N)
Education Level (Highest Grade Completed)	11.24 (47)	11.36 (28)
	% (N)	% (N)
H.S. Graduate (Yes/No)	42.6 (20)	53.6 (15)
Offense Type	% (N)	% (N)
Person	36.2 (17)	46.4 (13)
Sex	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)
Drug	34.0 (16)	17.9 (5)
Property	23.4 (11)	35.7 (10)
Other	6.4 (3)	0.0 (0)
Degree of Current Offense	% (N)	% (N)
First	22.0 (9)	0.0 (0)
Second	19.5 (8)	34.8 (8)
Third	34.1 (14)	30.4 (7)
Fourth	19.5 (8)	17.4 (4)
Fifth	4.9 (2)	17.4 (4)
History of Alcohol Abuse (Yes/No)	93.6 (44)	89.3 (25)
History of Drug Abuse (Yes/No)	97.9 (46)	89.3 (25)
Mental Health Problems Identified (Yes/No)	25.5 (12)	42.9 (12)
	Mean (N)	Mean (N)
Risk Level	64.70 (47)	64.82 (28)
Risk Category	% (N)	% (N)
Low	4.3 (2)	3.6 (1)
Low/Moderate	23.4 (11)	25.0 (7)
Moderate	48.9 (23)	42.9 (12)
High	23.4 (11)	28.6 (8)

*Difference Significant at $p < .05$

Table 335. Any Re-Arrest By Group Membership and Risk Level for Fresh Start

	All	Risk Level			
		Low	Low/Moderate*	Moderate	High
	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)
Experimental	70.0 (28)	33.3 (1)	100.0 (8)	52.4 (11)	81.8 (9)
Comparison	52.8 (38)	33.3 (1)	33.3 (5)	46.4 (13)	73.1 (19)

*Difference Significant at $p < .05$

Table 336. Incarceration for a Technical Violation By Group Membership and Risk Level for Fresh Start

	All	Risk Level			
		Low	Low/Moderate	Moderate	High
	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)
Experimental	27.7 (13)	0.0 (0)	36.4 (4)	26.1 (6)	27.3 (3)
Comparison	16.0 (12)	0.0 (0)	6.7 (1)	13.8 (4)	25.9 (7)

*Difference Significant at $p < .05$

Table 337. Incarceration for a New Offense By Group Membership and Risk Level for Fresh Start

	All	Risk Level			
		Low	Low/Moderate	Moderate	High*
	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)
Experimental	25.5 (12)	50.0 (1)	27.3 (3)	13.0 (3)	45.5 (5)
Comparison	13.3 (10)	0.0 (0)	13.3 (2)	13.8 (4)	14.8 (4)

*Difference Significant at $p < .05$

Table 338. Any New Incarceration By Group Membership and Risk Level for Fresh Start

	All*	Risk Level			
		Low	Low/Moderate*	Moderate	High
	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)
Experimental	53.2 (25)	50.0 (1)	63.6 (7)	39.1 (9)	72.7 (8)
Comparison	29.3 (22)	0.0 (0)	20.0 (3)	27.6 (8)	40.7 (11)

*Difference Significant at $p < .05$

Alvis House Cope Center

Alvis House Cope Center is located in Dayton and has been in operation since 1991. The facility has 7 full-time staff and serves male and female offenders. Alvis House Cope Center has a capacity of 28 and there were 24 offenders (19 male and 5 female) in the facility at the time of the site visit. The average time in the program is 6 months. The following major services were offered by the facility in FY99:

Substance abuse

Employment

In addition to the core areas, Alvis House Cope Center also offers groups in budgeting and HIV/AIDS awareness.

Changes since FY99:

The major programmatic change has been the addition of a volunteer to do the HIV/AIDS awareness component every other month.

Alvis House Price Hall

The Price Hall Alvis House is located in Columbus and the agency has been in operation since 1967. The facility currently has 8 full-time staff and 1 part-time staff and serves 24 male offenders. At the time of the site visit, the facility was at capacity with 24 offenders. Offenders spend between 3 and 6 months in the program with the average length of time in the program being 4 months. Aftercare is provided by Alvis House and Netcare. The following major services were offered by the facility in FY99:

Substance abuse
Employment
Cognitive groups
Education
Financial
Substance abuse education

In addition to the core areas, Price Hall also offers groups in HIV awareness, life skills, and parenting.

Changes since FY99:

The major programmatic change has been the loss of substance abuse treatment groups and the loss of the GED program.

Staff indicated that treatment was based on the self-help model. In FY99, the facility used a bio-psycho-social intake instrument to assess offenders. However, the facility has implemented the Level of Service Inventory to assess offenders.

Alvis House Veterans

Alvis House Veterans is located in Chillicothe and has been in operation since 1997. The facility has 6 full-time staff and serves male offenders. Alvis House Veterans has a capacity of 24 and there were 22 offenders in the facility at the time of the site visit. The average time in the program is 6 months. The following major services were offered by the facility in FY99:

Substance abuse
Employment
Cognitive groups
Education
Mental health
Financial
Anger management

In addition to the core areas, Alvis House Veterans also offers groups in AA and spirituality.

Changes since FY99:

The major programmatic change has been cuts in program staff.

Cincinnati VOA Pogue Center SAMI Program

Cincinnati VOA Pogue Center SAMI Program is located in Cincinnati and has been in operation since 1996. The facility has 3 full-time staff and serves male offenders. Cincinnati VOA Pogue Center SAMI Program has a capacity of 12 and there were 10 offenders in the facility at the time of the site visit. The average time in the program is 4 months. The following major services were offered by the facility in FY99:

Substance abuse
Cognitive groups
Mental health

In addition to the core areas, Cincinnati VOA Pogue Center SAMI Program also offers groups in AA, communication, life skills, relapse prevention, and spirituality.

Changes since FY99:

The program director indicated that no major changes have occurred in the program since the study period.

Cincinnati VOA Pogue Center Sex Offender

Cincinnati VOA Pogue Center Sex Offender Program is located in Cincinnati and has been in operation since 1996. The facility has 3 full-time staff and serves male offenders. Cincinnati VOA Pogue Center Sex Offender Program has a capacity of 25 and there were 25 offenders in the facility at the time of the site visit. The average time in the program is 8 months. The following major services were offered by the facility in FY99:

Substance abuse
Cognitive groups
Sex offender
Anger management

In addition to the core areas, Cincinnati VOA Pogue Center Sex Offender Program also offers groups in AA, communication, family, health, life skills, relapse prevention, and restorative justice.

Changes since FY99:

The program director indicated that no major changes have occurred in the program since the study period.

Community Assessment – Women’s Program

The Community Assessment Women’s Program has been in existence since 1995 and is located in Cleveland, Ohio. It is designed to serve 24 women and was serving 23 women at the time of the assessment. Aftercare services are provided in-house. Residents in aftercare meet once a week for 12 weeks. On average, participants spend 1 to 2 months in the program. The major services offered during FY99 were:

Substance abuse
Cognitive groups
Mental health

Changes since FY99:

It was reported that funding was lost for the Substance Abuse and Mental Health (SAMI) clients. As a result, the only service offered currently targets substance abuse.

Offenders are assessed using a Chemical Dependency Assessment and a Substance Abuse Screening Assessment.

Community Corrections Association (CCA) - Women

Community Corrections Association (CCA) Women's Program is a halfway house located in Youngstown and has been in operation since 1996. The facility has 9 full-time staff that serve female offenders in need of rehabilitative services. CCA has a capacity of 24 and there were 24 offenders in the facility at the time of the site visit. The average length of stay in the facility is 4 months with a maximum stay of 6 months. For some, there is an additional period of aftercare that is provided by both CCA treatment staff and outside agencies to which offenders are referred. CCA serves offenders at various legal statuses including federal and state parolees and probationers, work release clients, and those under transitional control. The following major services were offered by the facility in FY99:

- Substance abuse
- Employment
- Cognitive Groups
- Education
- Mental Health
- Anger Management

In addition to the core areas, CCA also offers groups in domestic violence, parenting, stress management, women's issues, life skills, and community service.

Changes since FY99:

According to the program director, there have been no major programmatic changes since 1999.

CCA residents participate in a different treatment groups based on individualized program plans, and staff indicated that the treatments are primarily based on the cognitive model. The facility uses its own assessment to evaluate overall offender risk and the Substance Abuse Subtle Screening Inventory to assess substance abuse related needs. Individualized program plans are based on this information as well as the clients' self-identified needs.

Crossroads Center for Change

Crossroads Center for Change is located in Mansfield, Ohio and has been in operation since 1965. The facility has 23 full-time staff, 6 part-time staff, and serves male offenders. Crossroads has a capacity of 50 and there were 46 offenders in the facility at the time of the site visit. The average time in the program is 6 months, with additional aftercare. The following major services were offered by the facility in FY99:

- Substance abuse
- Employment
- Education
- Financial
- Domestic violence

In addition to the core areas, Crossroads also offers groups in living skills.

Changes since FY99:

The major programmatic change has been the addition of cognitive groups. According to the program director other changes to the program since FY99 have been a focus on addressing criminal thinking errors, grief and bereavement groups, anger management, and the provision of aftercare and relapse prevention.

The facility uses the Parole Inventory to assess offender risk and needs and the Substance Abuse Subtle Screening Inventory to assess offender substance use/abuse. Crossroads also utilizes the Western Personality Inventory to assess client personality issues.

Dayton Salvation Army Booth House

Dayton Salvation Army Booth House is located in Dayton and has been in operation since 1989. The facility has 12 full-time staff and serves male offenders. Dayton Salvation Army Booth House has a capacity of 15 and there were 15 offenders in the facility at the time of the site visit. The average time in the program is 100 days. The following major services were offered by the facility in FY99:

- Substance abuse
- Employment
- Financial
- Anger management
- Behavior modification programs
- Self-help

In addition to the core areas, Dayton Salvation Army Booth House also offers groups in AA, life skills, stress management, and relapse prevention.

Changes since FY99:

The major programmatic change has been the remodeling of the first floor which improved the client's recreation area, group room, and laundry facilities.

Diversified Community Services

Diversified Community Services men's program is located in Columbus and has been in operation since 1994. The facility has 13 full-time staff and 3 part-time staff and it serves both male parolees and probationers. Diversified Community Services men's program has a capacity of 25 male offenders and at the time of the site visit there were 28 offenders in the program. The average length of time in the program is 6 months and aftercare is provided by outside service providers upon termination from the program. The following services were offered by the facility in FY99:

Substance abuse
Employment
Cognitive groups
Education
Mental health

In addition to the core areas: Diversified Community Services also offers groups in parenting, domestic violence, meditation, family counseling, crisis intervention and groups related to the therapeutic community environment such as didactics (i.e., feelings and thoughts, life skills, self esteem, men's issues, spirituality, building honesty and trust, and stress management), morning and evening house meetings, and encounter groups.

Changes since FY99:

According to the program director the only major change that has taken place since FY99 has been the addition of a family program. Staff reported that the only changes since FY99 has been staff turnover and changes in the program rules.

Diversified Community Services men's program is a modified therapeutic community and staff indicated that the treatment models included self-help, cognitive behavioral, and disease. The program uses a bio-psycho-social instrument to assess the offenders.

Goodwill Residential Services for Women

The Goodwill Residential Halfway House for Women is located in Cleveland, Ohio and has been in operation for 6 years. The facility has 15 full-time staff and serves a capacity of 30 women. At the time of the assessment, the program was operating at full capacity. On average, women spend 3 to 4 months in the program. Aftercare services are provided by the program staff but are reported to be voluntary. The following major services were offered by the facility during FY99:

- Substance abuse
- Employment
- Education
- Anger management

The staff also reported offering groups focused on parenting, relapse prevention, domestic violence, and AA. Furthermore, the staff reported a “dress for success” group also offered to the participants.

Changes since FY99:

The program has undergone several changes since 1999. Specifically, it was reported that the program components have undergone several changes. Currently, the program offers cognitive groups, financial service, sex offender treatment, family therapy, and alumni support groups in addition to the groups offered during FY 99. Moreover, it was reported that the needs of residents are now properly assessed and that staff members are in the process of receiving additional training in theory. Finally, it was noted that the treatment/program components/therapy are now driven by theory.

Participants are assessed through the use of a needs assessment and an interview. Neither assessment tools are standardized, however, standardized tests are currently being reviewed with the goal of selecting and using a standardized assessment compatible with the program.

The Volunteers of America Northeast and North Central Ohio

The Volunteers of America (VOA) Northeast and North Central Ohio is located in Mansfield and has been in operation since 1990. The facility has 25 full-time staff and 16 part-time staff. Mansfield VOA has a capacity of 62 (52 male and 10 female), and there were 54 offenders in the facility at the time of the site visit. The program serves both probationers and parolees with a 6-month average length of stay. There is a provision for aftercare that meets weekly over a one-year period. The following major services were offered by the facility in FY99:

Substance abuse
Sex offender treatment

In addition to the core areas, Mansfield VOA offers groups in parenting, GED, and financial management. Mansfield VOA also focuses on the reduction of offender antisocial attitudes, values, and orientations favorable toward law violations and attempts to increase client self-control, self-management, and problem-solving skills.

Changes since FY99:

There have not been any major changes to the programming offered by Mansfield VOA since FY99. According to the program director, changes to the program since FY99 have been increased staff training and more emphasis on behavior modification through the utilization of cognitive-behavioral techniques in programming.

The facility includes a therapeutic community that has a substance use track and a sex-offender track. The facility uses the Substance Abuse Subtle Screening Inventory and the Michigan Alcohol Screening Test to assess offender substance use/abuse and the Static-99 to assess sex offender issues. Mansfield VOA also utilizes the MMPI to assess clients.

Oriana House Residential Correctional Center (RCC)

Oriana House, Inc., RCC is a halfway house located in Akron and has been in operation since 1987. The facility has 17 full-time and 4 part-time staff and serves female offenders in need of rehabilitative services. RCC has a capacity of 60. The average length of stay in the facility is 3.5 months. An additional 12 weeks of aftercare is provided by Oriana House, Inc., treatment staff. RCC serves offenders at various legal statuses including parolees, probationers, employment placement, halfway house, mental health placements, and work release clients. The following major services were offered by the facility in FY99:

Substance abuse
Employment
Cognitive groups
Education

In addition to the core areas, RCC also offers groups in AA/NA/self-help, AIDS awareness, anger management, art/sewing, community service, finances, human sexuality, life skills, parenting skills, recreation, relationships, relaxation, spirituality/religion, women's health, women-specific issues, and yoga.

Changes since FY99:

The major programmatic change has been the reduction in number and type of treatment programs offered in-house and the subsequent increase in community treatment referrals. According to the program director, another major change has been the removal of female CBCF clients from RCC into a new facility.

RCC residents participate in a four-phase program based on individualized program plans, and staff indicated that the treatments are primarily based on disease and self-help models. The facility uses the Level of Service Inventory-Revised to assess overall offender needs and the Substance Abuse Subtle Screening Inventory to assess substance abuse related needs. Individualized program plans are based on this information.

Pathfinder House-Male Program

The Pathfinder House-Male Program is located in Lima, Ohio and has been in operation since 1981. The facility has 13 full-time staff and serves male offenders. Pathfinder House has a capacity of 40 and there were 40 offenders in the facility at the time of the site visit. The average time in the program is between 3 and 9 months. The following major services were offered by the facility in FY99:

Employment
Cognitive groups
Education
Financial

In addition to the core areas, Pathfinder House-Male Program also offers programming in victim awareness, life skills, and AA/NA.

Changes since FY99:

The major programmatic changes have included the addition of new programs such as substance abuse treatment and anger management. According to the program director other changes to the program since FY99 have been increased training and more extensive utilization of cognitive groups.

The facility staff indicated that the treatment model is based primarily on life-skills. The facility uses the Level of Service Inventory-Revised to assess offenders and excludes arsonists and sex-offenders from admission.

Pathfinder House-Female Program

The Pathfinder House-Women's Program is located in Lima, Ohio and has been in operation since 1988. The facility has 6 full-time staff and serves female offenders. Pathfinder House has a capacity of 19 and there were 12 offenders in the facility at the time of the site visit. The average time in the program is between 4 and 6 months. Pathfinder House-Women's Program serves parolees, probationers, and DRC prisoners. The following major services were offered by the facility in FY99:

- Cognitive groups
- Employment
- Education
- Financial

In addition to the core areas, Pathfinder House-Women's Program also offers groups in domestic relations, life skills, parenting skills, female issues, AA/NA, and emotional health.

Changes since FY99:

The major programmatic change has been the addition of Cognitive Groups. According to the program director other changes to the program since FY99 have been substance abuse treatment and anger management. Pathfinder House has also adopted the Level of Service Inventory-Revised to assess client risk and needs.

The facility requires offenders work 8 hours/day in private sector community employment. In addition, clients also participate in substance abuse counseling and cognitive behavioral classes that address thinking errors. The facility uses the Level of Service Inventory to assess offenders, and excludes both arsonists and sex-offenders from admission.

Southwestern Ohio Serenity Hall

Southwestern Ohio Serenity Hall is located in Hamilton and has been in operation since 1969. The facility has 10 full-time staff and serves male offenders. Southwestern Ohio Serenity Hall has a capacity of 35 and there were 31 offenders in the facility at the time of the site visit. The average time in the program is 4 months. The following major services were offered by the facility in FY99:

- Substance abuse
- Employment
- Education
- Financial
- Anger management
- Corrective thinking

In addition to the core areas, Southwestern Ohio Serenity Hall also offers groups in AA, budgeting, health, parenting, recreation, and relapse prevention.

Changes since FY99:

The major programmatic changes since FY99 have been a reduction in staff turnover, better staff supervision, increased training for staff, more formalized corrective thinking and living sober/clean programs, and more staff involvement in program development and decision making.

Spencer House

Spencer House is located in Newark, Ohio and has been in operation since 1969. The facility serves both male and female clients and has a capacity of 16 offenders (12 male and 4 female). The average time in the program is 6 months. The following major services were offered by the facility in FY99:

Substance abuse
Employment
Education
Financial

In addition to the core areas, Spencer House also offers groups in solution focus, spirituality, and meditation.

Changes since FY99:

The major changes since FY99 have been increased training and an attempt to provide more individualized treatment.

The staff indicated that the treatment models emphasize the disease model. The facility utilizes the Beck Depression Inventory, the South Oaks Gambling Screen, The Substance Abuse Subtle Screening Inventory , and the Western Personality Inventory. The Spencer House excludes from admission sex-offenders, extremely violent offenders, and arsonists.

Talbert House Pathways

Talbert House Pathways is located in Cincinnati and has been in operation since 1984. The facility has 3 full-time staff and serves female offenders. The average time in the program is 3 months. The following major services were offered by the facility in FY99:

Substance abuse
Employment
Financial

In addition to the core areas, Talbert House Pathways also offers groups in AA, anger, health, stress, family education, and women's issues.

Changes since FY99:

Cognitive programming has been added since FY99.

Traynor House, Inc.

Traynor House Inc. is located in Columbus and has been in operation since 1974. The facility has six full-time staff and currently serves female parolees, probationers, work release offenders, and transitional control offenders. Traynor House has the capacity to hold 26 female offenders and there were 19 offenders at the time of the site visit. The average length of the program is between 4 and 6 months. The probation officers are responsible for providing aftercare to the offenders once they are terminated from the program. The following major services were offered by the facility and/or outside service providers in FY99:

- Substance abuse
- Employment
- Cognitive groups
- Education
- Mental health
- Financial
- Anger management

In addition to the core areas, Traynor House and/or outside service providers offer groups in parenting, nutrition, bible study, rape education/prevention, domestic violence, AIDS/HIV education, family reunification, women's issues and self esteem. In addition to the classes offered, the offenders are required to work full-time.

Changes since FY99:

Aside from the program becoming more client-oriented, the program director reported that Traynor House has not changed greatly since FY99.

The offenders are assessed via interview by the licensed social worker.

Bivariate Analyses for Small Programs

Table 339. Descriptive Statistics for Small Programs by Group Membership

Variable	Experimental Group	Comparison Group
	Mean (N)	Mean (N)
Age (Average Age in Years)	34.91 (722)	35.71 (569)
Race	% (N)	% (N)
Black	58.4 (419)	57.0 (324)
White	41.6 (298)	43.0 (244)
Sex*	% (N)	% (N)
Male	68.1 (492)	78.0 (444)
Female	31.9 (230)	22.0 (125)
Marital Status*	% (N)	% (N)
Married	12.9 (93)	17.0 (97)
Never Married	65.5 (473)	66.1 (376)
Divorced/Separated/Widowed	21.6 (156)	16.9 (96)

*Difference Significant at $p < .05$

Table 340. Descriptive Statistics for Risk/Need Factors by Group Membership for Small Programs

Variable	Experimental Group	Comparison Group
	Mean (N)	Mean (N)
Prior Arrests	6.33 (648)	6.12 (536)
	% (N)	% (N)
Prior Arrest (Yes/No)*	99.5 (645)	87.7 (470)
	Mean (N)	Mean (N)
Prior Incarcerations in State of Ohio*	0.83 (722)	0.56 (569)
	% (N)	% (N)
Prior Incarcerations (Yes/No)*	46.3 (334)	29.0 (165)
Employment Status at Arrest*	% (N)	% (N)
Employed	33.8 (224)	27.9 (159)
Unemployed	66.2 (478)	72.1 (410)
	Mean (N)	Mean (N)
Education Level (Highest Grade Completed)	10.51 (722)	10.70 (569)
	% (N)	% (N)
H.S. Graduate (Yes/No)	33.7 (243)	34.6 (197)
Offense Type*	% (N)	% (N)
Person	27.6 (199)	25.7 (146)
Sex	19 (14)	5.4 (31)
Drug	24.1 (174)	35.1 (200)
Property	37.1 (268)	26.9 (153)
Other	9.3 (67)	6.9 (39)
Degree of Current Offense*	% (N)	% (N)
First	14.1 (86)	10.9 (62)
Second	26.4 (161)	30.6 (174)
Third	21.0 (128)	18.5 (105)
Fourth	22.7 (138)	19.9 (113)
Fifth	15.8 (96)	20.2 (115)
History of Alcohol Abuse (Yes/No)*	69.0 (498)	74.3 (423)
History of Drug Abuse (Yes/No)	81.6 (589)	81.5 (464)
Mental Health Problems Identified (Yes/No)*	48.9 (353)	29.0 (165)
	Mean (N)	Mean (N)
Risk Level	63.03 (722)	64.42 (569)
Risk Category*	% (N)	% (N)
Low	4.7 (34)	7.4 (42)
Low/Moderate	24.4 (176)	22.1 (126)
Moderate	49.0 (354)	40.1 (228)
High	21.9 (158)	30.4 (173)

*Difference Significant at $p < .05$

Table 341. Programming Information for Small Programs

Variable	Experimental Group
	% (N)
Academic Training Needed	29.5 (213)
Percent Participated In	13.0 (94)
Vocational Training Needed	33.2 (240)
Percent Participated In	11.4 (82)
Employment Assistance Needed	72.4 (523)
Percent Participated In	62.7 (453)
Assistance with Accommodations Needed	54.6 (394)
Percent Participated In	410 (56.8)
Substance Abuse Counseling Needed	76.3 (551)
Percent Participated In	78.8 (569)
Alcohol Abuse Counseling Needed	66.2 (478)
Percent Participated In	73.7 (532)
Mental Health Counseling Needed	39.5 (285)
Percent Participated In	35.7 (258)
Anger Management Counseling Needed	36.1 (261)
Percent Participated In	40.3 (291)
Sexual Behavior Counseling Needed	9.7 (70)
Percent Participated In	4.4 (32)
Termination Status	% (N)
Successful	58.6 (423)
Unsuccessful	41.4 (299)

*Difference Significant at $p < .05$

Table 342. Descriptive Statistics By Termination Status for Small Programs

Variable	Successful Terminations	Unsuccessful Terminations
	Mean (N)	Mean (N)
Age (Average Age in Years)*	35.87 (423)	33.55 (299)
Race	% (N)	% (N)
Black	58.7 (247)	58.1 (172)
White	41.3 (174)	41.9 (124)
Sex*	% (N)	% (N)
Male	58.9 (249)	81.3 (243)
Female	41.1 (174)	18.7 (56)
Marital Status	% (N)	% (N)
Married	13.0 (55)	12.7 (38)
Never Married	62.9 (266)	69.2 (207)
Divorced/Separated/Widowed	24.1 (102)	18.1 (54)

*Difference Significant at $p < .05$

Table 343. Descriptive Statistics for Risk/Need Factors by Termination Status for Small Programs

Variable	Successful Terminations	Unsuccessful Terminations
	Mean (N)	Mean (N)
Prior Arrests	6.30 (387)	6.38 (261)
	% (N)	% (N)
Prior Arrest (Yes/No)	99.5 (385)	99.6 (260)
	Mean (N)	Mean (N)
Prior Incarcerations in State of Ohio*	0.74 (423)	0.96 (299)
	% (N)	% (N)
Prior Incarcerations (Yes/No)	43.3 (183)	50.5 (151)
Employment Status at Arrest	% (N)	% (N)
Employed	34.5 (146)	32.8 (98)
Unemployed	65.5 (277)	67.2 (201)
	Mean (N)	Mean (N)
Education Level (Highest Grade Completed)	10.42 (423)	10.64 (299)
	% (N)	% (N)
H.S. Graduate (Yes/No)	34.8 (147)	32.1 (96)
Offense Type*	% (N)	% (N)
Person	27.2 (115)	28.1 (84)
Sex	1.4 (6)	2.7 (8)
Drug	28.1 (119)	18.4 (55)
Property	35.7 (151)	39.1 (117)
Other	7.6 (32)	11.7 (35)
Degree of Current Offense	% (N)	% (N)
First	15.5 (57)	12.0 (29)
Second	28.5 (105)	23.2 (56)
Third	20.7 (76)	21.6 (52)
Fourth	19.8 (73)	27.0 (65)
Fifth	15.5 (57)	16.2 (39)
History of Alcohol Abuse (Yes/No)*	65.2 (276)	74.2 (222)
History of Drug Abuse (Yes/No)	81.6 (345)	81.6 (244)
Mental Health Problems Identified (Yes/No)	48.0 (203)	50.2 (150)
	Mean (N)	Mean (N)
Risk Level*	60.91 (423)	66.02 (299)
Risk Category*	% (N)	% (N)
Low	5.4 (23)	3.7 (11)
Low/Moderate	27.2 (115)	20.4 (61)
Moderate	51.1 (216)	46.2 (138)
High	16.3 (69)	29.8 (89)

*Difference Significant at $p < .05$

Table 344. Any Re-Arrest By Group Membership and Risk Level for Small Programs

	All	Risk Level			
		Low*	Low/Moderate	Moderate	High*
	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)
Experimental	39.9 (155)	33.3 (6)	27.4 (29)	43.6 (85)	50.7 (35)
Comparison	45.3 (244)	11.1 (4)	25.4 (29)	42.7 (94)	69.2 (117)

*Difference Significant at $p < .05$

Table 345. Incarceration for a Technical Violation By Group Membership and Risk Level for Small Programs

	All*	Risk Level			
		Low	Low/Moderate	Moderate	High
	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)
Experimental	17.5 (74)	8.7 (2)	12.2 (14)	19.0 (41)	24.6 (17)
Comparison	23.0 (131)	7.1 (3)	18.3 (23)	25.9 (59)	26.6 (46)

*Difference Significant at $p < .05$

Table 346. Incarceration for a New Offense By Group Membership and Risk Level for Small Programs

	All*	Risk Level			
		Low	Low/Moderate	Moderate	High*
	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)
Experimental	9.7 (41)	8.7 (2)	7.0 (8)	10.2 (22)	9.0 (13)
Comparison	16.0 (91)	2.4 (1)	7.9 (10)	13.2 (30)	28.9 (50)

*Difference Significant at $p < .05$

Table 347. Any New Incarceration By Group Membership and Risk Level for Small Programs

	All*	Risk Level			
		Low	Low/Moderate	Moderate*	High*
	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)
Experimental	27.2 (115)	17.4 (4)	19.1 (22)	29.2 (63)	37.7 (26)
Comparison	39.0 (222)	9.5 (4)	26.2 (33)	39.0 (89)	55.5 (96)

*Difference Significant at $p < .05$