1. **The minutes** from the 04/06/11 were approved as distributed via email. A. Lane will have posted to FS website.

2. **Information and Media Literacy** - The following charge was crafted. After editing by FSAAC members via email, the charge will go forth to the Faculty Senate Cabinet no later than the June meeting.

   The FSAAC recommends that the Faculty Senate charge a task force to address the issue of Academic Integrity.

   The **charge** of the task force would be two-fold:
   1) investigate the prevalence of academic misconduct including cheating, ethical and legal use of information, plagiarism, honor code, etc. at UC (this may be achieved via focus groups and/or academic forums ---- Dialogue is critical to this assessment)
   2) recommend a detailed action plan for strengthening Academic Integrity at UC (this plan should include a focus on faculty and student development)
   3) core to this charge is that should not be a top-down initiative but instead driven by faculty and student desire for a strong culture of Academic Integrity at UC --- the desired focus is to be on the Positive Aspects of Integrity in contrast to the Punitive Aspects of Violations.

   **Membership** of the task force would include, but not be limited to, representatives from Faculty Government, UG Student Government, Graduate Student Government, Associate Dean/Faculty Administrator, and Faculty Member with strong IT knowledge. Chair of Faculty Senate could appoint this task force or the chair of this task force.

   **Timeline:** June 2011 to May 2012.

   **Task Force submits** final report to Faculty Senate via FSAAC. FSAAC will conduct an unbiased review of the investigation and the recommendations...this is consistent with the governance charge of the FSAAC.

3. **NG and Other Grading Issues**--At our FSAAC meeting today, we crafted the following resolution related to the sharing of an alert for Faculty Senate specific to --"No Grade" Marks, Ohio Board of Regents Reporting and Subsidy-- from Kristi Nelson. Related to the alert, Kristi requested Faculty Senate’s support in reducing the number of NG marks recorded at UC. The proposal is to modify the online class grading system so that the NG mark is not a submission option. The NG mark would be applied by the Registrar’s Office.
only, in those cases where final grades have not been submitted for an entire class section.

After much deliberation, the FSAAC offers the following----FSAAC members will provide editing via email and the final edited resolution to the Faculty Senate Cabinet at the May meeting.

Faculty Senate Resolution concerning the Assignment of Student Grades

Whereas grade assignment is the prerogative of faculty,
Whereas the assignment of grades reflect achievement, or lack thereof, of student attainment of learning outcomes,
Whereas grade assignment is solely based on student performance not legislative, economic or other external forces,
Whereas data specific to NG grades for academic years 2006 to 2010 at UC does not support that use of NG grade has substantially increased over the years,
Whereas the current OBR legislation is related to subsidy for Completer grades and a focus on the NG grade does not address the core issue of loss of subsidy related to assignment of Non-Completers,

Be it resolved that
Faculty Senate affirms the use of the current grading policy as approved in 2003.
Faculty Senate reaffirms a prior Senate resolution which acknowledges that faculty have a responsibility to grade their classes.
Faculty Senate acknowledges that faculty have a responsibility not only to assign valid final grades to all students but to submit final grades to the University Registrar by the established deadline each term.
Faculty Senate is not supportive of removing NG or other Non-Completers from the online grading system.
Faculty Senate asserts that any effort to award state subsidy based on grades designated as 'Completers' is a direct assault on the academic freedom of university faculty.

Another Action of the FSAAC is the request for information related to how OSU, Kent State, and other Ohio University FS Academic Affairs Committees are addressing this issue. Adrianne will follow up.

4. Follow Up Request from Professor McSwiggen—A Lane distributed email request from Professor McSwiggen concerning reconsideration of the FSAAC decision to not support the awarding of a P/NP grade for Math 139. After deliberation FSAAC agreed to grant Professor McSwiggen’s request to present at the FSAAC June 1st meeting. FSAAC requests that written supporting documentation be sent to chair, Adrianne Lane, in advance of the meeting for prior distribution to the FSAAC.
Action Items:
List item and attach supporting document if action requires such background
Describe action needed on items above (discussion and input, vote, etc):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>By Whom:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Resolution specific to Assignment of Student Grades</td>
<td>___ x By Faculty Senate ___ x By Cabinet ___ Others (List-)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Next Meeting Date? June 1 at 2 to 3:30 PM FS Conference Room

When complete, save your report with the committee name and report date as the file name. Please send the file to Faculty Senate (Faculty.Senate@uc.edu). Thank you!