Faculty Senate Meeting
Agenda for December 11, 2014
400 ABC TUC

3:30 – Meeting of the Faculty Senate – Call to Order

3:32 – Approval of Minutes of the November Meeting (pp. 2-9)

3:34 – Report of the Faculty Chair (T. Herrmann)

3:50 – Old Business
   0 A RESOLUTION REGARDING THE PROPOSED OHIO HOUSE LEGISLATION ON
      PROPRIETARY TEXTBOOKS—Vote of Adoption (p. 10)
   0 Other

4:00 – New Business
   0 TRAC Appeals--Academic Affairs Committee (S. Moomaw) (p. 11)
   0 A RESOLUTION REGARDING THE COMMENCEMENT OF FALL AND SPRING
      SEMESTERS IN ACADEMIC YEARS 2016-2017 AND 2017-2018--Planning Committee
      (J. Tilman)—Introduction of Resolution (p. 12)
   0 Faculty Senate Committee Reports from November
      0 Academic Affairs
         http://www.uc.edu/facultysenate/standing_committees/academic_affairs.html
      0 Budget & Priorities: FCC, Athletics
         http://www.uc.edu/facultysenate/standing_committees/budget.html
      0 Other

4:30 – Dialogue with the Provost (B. Davenport)

4:45 – Report from the Undergraduate Student Government Association (C. Beer)

4:50 – Report from Graduate Student Government Association (A. Mazman) (p. 13)

4:55 – Report from the AAUP President (G. Loving)

5:00 – Adjourn
Minutes of the Faculty Senate Meeting held Thursday, November 13th, 2014 in TUC 400 ABC.

Where indicated with the following symbol ☰, further information can be found online posted on the UC Faculty Senate Webpage http://www.uc.edu/facultysenate.html.

1. The meeting was called to order at 3.32pm by TH (Chair).

2. Approval of the minutes of the October meeting.
   Proposed: Dana Griffith; Seconded: Amber Peplow.
   Approved unanimously, no abstentions.

3. Report of the faculty Chair (TH) ☰
   o Ohio Faculty Council: Institutional Financial Ratios were discussed. Reports for each state college or university go to https://www.ohiohighered.org/campus-accountability. An op-ed titled “Higher Education’s Higher Returns” (See pp. 6-7) was written by two members of the Ohio Faculty Council and I have personally endorsed it.
   o IT Council & eLearning: Catalyst (PeopleSoft) is being implemented as the new student information system. For details go to http://www.uc.edu/catalyst.html. Accessibility related to electronic course resources must be fully remediated by June, 2017 with a plan in place by March, 2015. For additional information on accessibility attend the presentation by Blackboard representative Andy Jacobson on instructor-made, accessible online content from 9-10:30 a.m. Nov. 20 in Tangeman University Center, Room 417. Information is available at http://www.uc.edu/News/NR.aspx?id=20777
   o President’s Cabinet: Legislative Reports are available on pp. 17-48. Title IX (sexual harassment, violence) policies and procedures are now available at http://www.uc.edu/titleix.html.
   o Life of Mind: The next Life of the Mind lecture will be held Thursday, March 26, 4-5:30 pm in the Russell C. Myers Alumni Center. Please email nominations to melissa.norris@uc.edu by 5pm, Thursday, November 20. Self nominations are welcome.
   o Academic Committee: Program academic assessment plans are under review.
   o FS meetings (3:30 – 5:00 p.m.) and Event dates: Please mark your calendar and share with your faculty.
     o December 11, 2014
     o January 15, 2015—Re-affirm Chair and members (first year senators) of nominating committee
     o February 12, 2015—Joint Meeting with Student Governments
     o March 12, 2015—possibly at College of Medicine
     o April 9, 2015
     o Tuesday, April 21, 2015—Faculty Award Ceremony
     o Thursday, April 23, 2015—All U Faculty Meeting and State of the University Address
   o Student Code of Conduct Committee: This committee will review and propose revisions to the Student Code of Conduct. Two faculty representatives will be included on the committee.
   o Adjunct Faculty Senator Election: Election is in progress.
   o UC Course Evaluation Committee: This committee is considering electronic student
evaluations for all courses. Marla Hall and Lisa Beckelheimer are faculty representatives to the committee.

o College By-Laws: Updated versions needed for the web at http://www.uc.edu/facultysenate/governance.html. Please check to see if your college’s most recent version is posted. If out of date, please send updated version to T. Herrmann.

Respectfully Submitted,
Tracy Herrmann, Chair of the University Faculty
Professor of Allied Health, UC Blue Ash College

We were also reminded to try and attend the Presidential Lecture Series on Monday 17th November, featuring John Casteen III, President Emeritus of University of Virginia. See President’s website for details of this and future lectures. http://www.uc.edu/president.html

The Faculty Senate Task Force Regarding Best Practices for Distance-Delivered and Hybrid Courses will be sending out a survey soon; please take some time to respond.

4. Old business
TH asked if there were any questions or discussion pertaining to the TEACH Act or Fair Use policy (R. Rokey not present). TH requested faculty senate input and it will be discussed at a future meeting.

*Edith Starbuck was able to give a brief update.* At this point we are finalizing the wording of the document, but we do not have the resources to complete the requirements of the act. Libraries are in the process of recruiting and scholarly communications officer, this would be their job.

TH: The E-Learning committee wants us to have this reviewed and feedback given by December.

SM: is the intention to create one policy that would apply equally to online classes and face-to-face classes?

TH: Yes, both. Actually, if we have such a policy in place, it would allow us more protection.

AJ: Our understanding is that UC adopts the policy wholesale as it provides a sound background and latitude to use materials in the classroom that you would not be able to under “Fair Use”.

Q: What about e-books? Many of us make our own, and it would be good to have guidance and clarity.

AJ: It is essential to have the scholarly communications officer in place.

SM: I am concerned that this process is very compressed. Is this issue going to come back to us again?

TH: We’ve had it in our hands for a couple of months now.

AP: It sounds doable, but only with institutional support.

TH: UC will be providing resources and guides to help us implement the requirements.

JT (DAAP): I think I understand the scope of the problem. We have thousands of images in our presentations, and while we make efforts to provide ownership information, it’s just not available for many of them.

Copyrights weren’t renewed; photos are a problem-orphaned works. What is the scope regarding photographs where the origin is unclear?

TH: please clarify.

JT: Do we need to fill out the form for every picture (particularly in our distance learning courses)? So, my question is, if we do need to fill out a spate form for every picture, then it is a huge disincentive for visual arts classes.
TH: We did ask about that terminology on the form and who is receiving/reviewing the information. I’ll give the committee feedback in this regard.

No other old business was discussed.

5. New Business

(i) Academic affairs committee (AAC: S. Moomaw). SM presented a draft resolution concerning the Proposed House Legislation on Proprietary textbooks. The draft resolution can be found in the meeting packet and is reproduced here.

SM solicited input today and over the next couple of weeks so that the resolution can be brought to a vote at the next FS meeting. AAC consulted UC legal, and they agreed that the bill would limit faculty’s ability to use their own materials if they receive royalties on them. There were enough red flags to propose a resolution to UC Faculty Senate, and we are seeking your input.

Q. Isn’t this also an issue of academic freedom?

SM: Definitely.

R. Harknett: From a legal standpoint, they are concerned with conflict of interest and not with academic freedom. We should probably frame the resolution in alignment with their approach to the bill, rather than in opposition. That is, we should use the resolution to address their motivation (conflict of interest) and frame it as an academic issue. Attack it from a COI point-of-view and explain why their assumptions are invalid.

JH: As I recollect, the student has the right to the most appropriate and recent material?

SM: We think this might dissuade some prominent people in their fields from teaching in Ohio.

JH:...and the royalties from textbook use are negligible anyway.

AP: Often, the locally produced proprietary materials are cheaper than the alternatives too.

GPG: I thought I read that it was OK to use your material so long as it was available in more than one place, i.e. UC bookstore, and Amazon for instance?

SM: The senators seem to think it is only OK if the material is absolutely unique and there are no alternatives at all.

JH: How does this interact with the Federal bill that stated we had to give early notification to students about textbook requirements so they could shop around for the best deal?

SM: We will take all these comments into consideration, send out a revision and vote at the next FSM.
(ii) Academy of Fellows for Teaching and Learning. (R. Leugers).

available at http://www.uc.edu/cetl/about/aftl.html

Thank you for the opportunity to speak to the FS today. AFTL began in 2007 and has around 70 members. Some 10 new members are nominated each year. Nominees must be full-time faculty of 5 years or more, and can be self-nominated or by others. We request letters of support, a CV including awards and publication record. Members are expected to contribute their time and expertise in support of the mission. This includes CETL workshops and other organizations. We have grown to a critical mass; we have an active group without enough activity, so we need work! Every college of UC is represented. You can reach out to them about intercollegiate collaboration any time, and at the CETL teaching showcase (annually). AFTL presenters but anyone can present and attend. There will be Preparing Future Faculty sessions, GCCCU (Greater Cincinnati Consortium of Colleges and Universities) and IGNITE sessions, where you have a 5 minute fast-paced presentation, and a keynote speaker. Poster and platform presentations will also be held. Please attend and spread the word. Wednesday January 23rd, 8am-4pm in the great Hall at TUC and in breakout rooms.

(iii) Emeriti Association (R. Faaborg).

available at http://www.uc.edu/provost/about-us/profile/academic-personnel/emeriti.html

We are pleased to announce that the Emeriti association is thriving thanks to the leadership and organizational skills of Bert Huether. Emeritus status has been awarded to some 870 former faculty and librarians. The former representative to the FS, Nancy, is doing well after her hip surgery. Dr. Faaborg then read the mission of the Emeriti Association, which can be found at the link above. We have been criticized for doing too much for UC, and not enough for Emeriti. This is good! We have been contributing to UC by giving seminars on financial literacy, giving a dinner for recently added emeriti, and directing a bus tour of campus for new faculty and their families (led by Jim Tarbell!). We also strive to make and maintain standards for selection of emeriti and benefits such as parking and a UC email address (currently HR drops the account as soon as you retire) for ease of emeriti consultation. According to the UC foundation, emeriti provide some 30% of donations.

(iv) College bylaws: updated version needed (TH).

Current versions can be found at the following link http://www.uc.edu/facultysenate/governance.html

Please check this link and make sure your college’s bylaws are the most up-to-date version, and send them to me if not.

(V) Faculty senate committee reports for October

Reports can be found under the following link: http://www.uc.edu/facultysenate/standing_committees
Faculty Senate/All University Committee Report (2014-2015)

Committee: Human Relations
Prepared By: Dolina Ivan
Date: October 3, 2014

Topics Discussed:
- Meeting begins at 3:30 pm
- Overview of the Committee
- New members introduced
- Updates on current projects

Committee Members at the Meeting:
Carrie Atzinger, Donna Shambaugh Gom, Jenia Rus, Jennifer Ebel, Dolina Ivan (Chair)

Faculty Senate/All University Committee Report (2014-2015)

Committee: Research & Scholarship
Prepared By: Gail Pyne-Guthinger
Date: 09/18/2014

Topics Discussed:
1. Introduction of members
2. Clarification of the role of the R&S committee
3. Discussion of barriers and problems to interdisciplinary research and scholarship
4. Brainstorming to identify list of important barriers and some solutions
5. Planning for R&S discussion at the AUF meeting Oct 29th
6. Identification of topics for discussion at future R&S meetings

Action Items:
- Interdisciplinary vs. multidisciplinary
- Resistance at the Dean level to faculty teaching in other colleges
- We can’t teach all the classes within a college that half the students need; students need to take classes in other colleges, but this is discouraged by Deans.
- Team teaching
- Main campus vs. Branch campuses
- IP barriers
- Credit for planning of classes as well as actual teaching
- How does interdisciplinary teaching and research fit into the 3rd Century?

Next Meeting:
Thursday, October 30th, 2014

Faculty Senate/All University Committee Report (2014-2015)

Committee:
Prepared By:
Date:

Faculty Members at the Meeting:
Dan Carl, Janice Hamrick, Claudia Mitchell, Laura Nabors, Thomas Osborne, Jeff Titman

The committee meeting began at 3:15 pm.

The committee had some issues regarding the 2016-2017 and 2017-2018 calendars. The scheduled meeting for October 2017 needs to be addressed immediately. The Regent has proposed October 15-16 for next year, one week later than this year. The committee then looked at the calendar for the next two years and decided to create a resolution for the whole faculty that would allow for any changes beyond “back” one week for each of the 2016-2017 and 2017-2018 academic years, so that the Spring Semester doesn’t start after May Day. Jeff Titman will write up a draft resolution that will be considered by the whole committee at the next meeting.

The first item on the agenda was the ad hoc committee (faculty committees). The committee discussed the agenda for the next meeting and decided to reorganize the schedule.

The committee briefly discussed the University’s response to the issue of sexual assault and gender equity on campus. A “Take Five” Committee chaired by Dean Kate Short on campus has been convoked to coordinate efforts across the University entities that currently serve students facing sexual assault. A new “Take Five Coordinator” has subsequently been hired to lead this effort.

The committee briefly discussed the University’s response to the issue of sexual assault and gender equity on campus. A “Take Five” Committee chaired by Dean Kate Short on campus has been convoked to coordinate efforts across the University entities that currently serve students facing sexual assault. A new “Take Five Coordinator” has subsequently been hired to lead this effort.

Safety on Campus: The committee briefly discussed the University’s response to the issue of sexual assault and gender equity on campus. A “Take Five” Committee chaired by Dean Kate Short on campus has been convoked to coordinate efforts across the University entities that currently serve students facing sexual assault. A new “Take Five Coordinator” has subsequently been hired to lead this effort.

Defintion of Interdisciplinary:
Discussion will ensue at the AUF, and we will collect input for future actions.

SO: I want to offer the support of the UC administration on the textbook bill resolution. You should talk to Greg Vehr (representative of UC at State level).

SM: We have communicated with Greg, and thank you for your offer of support.

SO: The next biennial budget is being discussed, and UC and OSU are big winners this time. There was a shift from funding based on enrolment to funding based on course completion on a rolling 3-year basis. Gov. Kasich agreed that the Ohio University Presidents would revisit the budget model after 2 years. A new formula was proposed and some different models were brought up at the State level. OU also received a large increase; OH and TN are the only states that have these complex formulas. There are about 5 models under consideration, labeled A-E. In all models, UC ends up being in good shape. There are lots of weightings put on the formula to protect goals. The meeting did not end in a decision for the next 2 years because OU and some other smaller institutions stood to lose millions of dollars. The presidents could not reach a consensus. We agreed to common ground aspects and to convene another meeting. UC will be in good shape regardless.

RH: Is there a plan to stabilize a chosen model going forward, or is the whole formula going to change every 2 years?

SO: Gov. Kasich put this model in place and he is very confident in his views. He is also pretty confident that he will get the presidential vote, so he may push things through based on his presidential campaign and its supporters. There is a public perception that higher education is too expensive, which would be a convenient platform to run upon. If tuition is cut 5%, we stand to lose $11M.

RH: So if the formula is based on graduation rates, we would focus our attention on retention, however if the weighting of the formula keeps changing, it would be very difficult to plan ahead.

SO: Kasich may not change the formula, but if he leaves to run for president, the next governor may change it. Some good news regarding the Lindner College Of Business (LCOB); 5 years ago, it was pretty much unranked among US Business schools. Now their MBA program ranks 5th in Ohio, and #2 business school after OSU (the gap is narrowing fast) and #47 in USA. The BOT is discussing investments in LCOB as it is a revenue generator. We are trying to get an “Excellence Fund” like FL has. Currently, LCOB has 4500 students in a space designed for 2000. The landscape for Law is very different; top law schools are growing and attracting more top students. UC Law school has dropped in all rankings and enrolment is falling in numbers and quality. The law school building is on life support, the HVAC is about to fail. We need to invest in the infrastructure to renovate/rebuild the Law school. SO we have to make a decision; do we invest in the LCOB which has a high ROI, or in the Law school which may implode at any moment?

Q: what was Law school enrolment?

SO: Down 50%

Q: isn’t that true of all Law schools?

SO: The top 15 Law schools are doing OK, but all others falling. We could have accepted more Law students, but we would have had to have dropped our standards.
Q: What is the cost of external HVAC trailers for Law School?
SO: Not too much, but it gives a poor and embarrassing impression. We can renovate for $45M or rebuild a new Law school on the Banks for $90M.

SO: In the Academic Health Center (AHC) the Gardner foundation gift will allow hiring of 16 new faculty and a new building (corner of Eden and Piedmont) for Neuroscience. We have raised $60M so far, the goal is $160M. Also $9M from Ohio 3rd Frontier.

We have brought in an advisory panel of former Academic Health Center Deans (U. Penn, Stanford and Emory) to examine all aspects of the AHC. They will be holding discussions with all faculty (including CCHMC), staff, students and residents and fellows, clinical, basic and administrative. They are going to look at the 1 vs 2 provost model. The top 30 AHC in USA all have 2 provost model. We need to have someone dedicated to the alignment of the AHC and UC Health.

UC was awarded the “Most significant Academic Partner” award from Procter and Gamble. They are going to focus down from hundreds of academic collaborators to just a couple, and UC is one of them.

7. Report from the Undergraduate Student Government Association (C. Beer).

\[ \text{Report included in the meeting packet, and reproduced below.} \]

\[ \text{Report of the Student Body President - Undergraduate Student Government, Christina Beer} \]

November 13, 2014

- I hope you are enjoying your week and took some time to relax on Tuesday. I know I did!
- Look out for the It’s On Us campaign over the next several weeks. It’s On Us is a national campaign to end sexual assault. Throughout next week (times are still TBD), we will be stationed on campus with information and the opportunity to take a pledge. There will also be a video released. For more information about It’s On Us, please visit: [http://itsonus.org/](http://itsonus.org/)
- On November 8th, Student Government partnered with the Women’s Center to host the 5th Annual Elek Her. This was an event to encourage women to run for leadership positions either within Student Government or other student organizations. With that in mind, our elections will be taking place the last two weeks of February, so if you know any students who you think would be great for running for an at-large senator seat, please have them reach out to me!
- On November 19th, the 3rd Annual International Taste will be from 6-8pm in Great Hall. All are welcome to come, and there will be TONS of free food! Yes, free!
- Last Wednesday, we took our Senate meeting to City Hall. Mayor Cranley and Councilwoman Amy Murray came by to speak to us. It was a great opportunity to take our meeting on the road.
- Keep in mind, this year we will be selecting a new undergraduate student trustee. This process won’t take place until January, but, if you have a student that would be a great representative, please have them reach out to me.
- Hope to see you at the football game tonight! Tickets are still on sale!

If you have any questions or new ideas, please feel free to reach out to me! Here is my contact info:

- Christina Beer
- Email: beerc@mail.uc.edu
- Cell: 513-599-1941
- Office: 655 Steger Student Life Center

Go Bearcats!

8. Report from the Graduate Student Government Association (A. Mazman).

\[ \text{Ayça is at a conference, her report is reproduced here and in the meeting packet.} \]
9. Report from the AAUP President (G. Loving).

Dr. Loving gave a history of the AAUP at UC, which celebrates a 40th Anniversary (November 12th, 2014). Old images and a detailed history can be found at http://aaupuc.org/

We are trying to get Robert Reich (former secretary of labor and a professor of public policy at Berkeley) to speak at TUC in April. We are still in negotiations.
Q: How much money?
GL: $25,000

We are just days away from agreeing on the parental leave policy (with thanks to Amber Peplow). If you are due to have a baby before July 1st 2015, discuss this with your chair and we will provide the policy.

TH: Please remember to read and provide feedback on Teach Act/Fair Use document and the Textbook Bill before the next meeting.

MH: Congratulations to Tracy for being confirmed as the faculty Chair at the AUM.
All applaud
TH: Motion to adjourn?

MH first
ST Second.
Unanimous approval.

Submitted by Gail Pyne-Geithman
A RESOLUTION REGARDING THE PROPOSED OHIO HOUSE LEGISLATION ON PROPRIETARY TEXTBOOKS

WHEREAS, college students need textbooks carefully selected to meet their needs, and college faculty, as experts in their subject areas, are in the best position to judge the differences among texts; and

WHEREAS, textbooks written to address the same topic often differ greatly from one another, including differences in how a topic is explained, what material is included or left out, difficulty of the text, illustrations or figures, problem sets, and supporting materials; and

WHEREAS, student bodies in Ohio differ greatly across colleges; and

WHEREAS, programs or departments sometimes produce their own in-house texts because they are tailored to the needs of their students and are more effective than “canned” assignments or examples from generic texts that do not relate specifically to the needs of their students; and

WHEREAS, the prices for faculty- or program-generated texts are often substantially lower than the prices of competing texts; and

WHEREAS, Ohio students want to work with top-tier faculty, and attracting these faculty to Ohio universities would be negatively impacted if faculty lacked the academic freedom to select texts; and

WHEREAS, recruitment of students to Ohio universities would also be impacted, because students may wish to study with faculty who have written particular texts, and faculty publications are listed on university websites to attract students; and

WHEREAS, students already have multiple sources for acquiring textbooks, including rental, libraries, and online vendors;

THEREFORE, the University of Cincinnati Faculty Senate does not support legislation restricting proprietary textbooks in Ohio colleges and universities.
TRAC Appeals

Each term the university Tuition Refund Appeals Committee (TRAC) considers numerous student requests for tuition refunds. The reasons for a successful appeal are many but may include military deployment, employment transfers, death or significant illness in the family, trouble dealing with a traumatic event (such as sexual assault), mental health problems, etc. The university requires thorough and appropriate documentation in these situations. When an appeal for a tuition refund is granted, the registration and grades for the term(s) in question are removed for the transcript. It has been past process that a member of the registrar’s staff has had to track down faculty and get their permission for the grade removal. This is very time consuming and results in highly confidential information being shared more widely than may be necessary. My request is, would faculty senate be willing to endorse a policy change that indicates that when a TRAC appeal is successful, the registration and grades may be removed from the transcript without involving the faculty in the process of approving the grade change. The Registrar’s Office would notify faculty of the decision and grade change based on TRAC approval.
A RESOLUTION REGARDING THE COMMENCEMENT OF FALL AND SPRING SEMESTERS
IN ACADEMIC YEARS 2016-2017 AND 2017-2018

WHEREAS the University of Cincinnati began operating on a semester calendar in the 2012-2013 academic year; and

WHEREAS changes to the calendar were initiated that moved the start of Spring Semester one week further into the month of January, beginning with the 2013-2014 academic year; and

WHEREAS an unintended consequence of this change is that the start of the Spring Semester in the three academic years beginning in 2015-2016 would fall on the Tuesday after the commemoration of Martin Luther King, Jr. Day; and

WHEREAS the Fall Semester of these academic years is also scheduled to start late, which will require that final examinations in the Fall Term be held in in mid-December, and

WHEREAS the University Community has found that a four-week long interval between the Fall and Spring terms is useful for research travel, study-abroad opportunities, and service-learning experiences, and that many wish to keep this interval between terms; and

WHEREAS the Faculty Senate recommended in a resolution dated May 12, 2014, that the University Calendar and Examination Committee affirm the Registrar and Provost’s proposal to move the start date of the Fall semester of the 2015-2016 academic year to Monday, August 24th, 2015, and to set the start date of the Spring semester of the same academic year to Monday, January 11, 2016.

BE IT RESOLVED that the Faculty Senate encourages the University Calendar and Examination Committee to move the start date of the Summer semester of the 2016-2017 academic year to Monday, May 9, 2016, the start date of the Fall semester of the same academic year to Monday, August 22, 2016, and to set the start date of the Spring semester of the same academic year to Monday, January 9, 2017.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the Faculty Senate encourages the University Calendar and Examination Committee to move the start date of the Summer semester of the 2017-2018 academic year to Monday, May 8, 2017, the start date of the Fall semester of the same academic year to Monday, August 21, 2017, and to set the start date of the Spring semester of the same academic year to Monday, January 8, 2017.
December Faculty Senate Meeting – GSGA’s Report presented by Ayca Mazman

- Graduate and Family Housing Update

The Graduate School is about to close on a deal to rent out 73 units to be reserved exclusively for graduate students and families in 222 Senator Place. This building is at the end of a dead end street, is in walking distance from east and west campus and the Ludlow business district, with green spaces, a balcony in every apartment, coin operated laundry and off-street parking. [http://www.mynewplace.com/apartment/222-senator-place-cincinnati-oh-4ks411125026](http://www.mynewplace.com/apartment/222-senator-place-cincinnati-oh-4ks411125026) for some photos.

Projected pricing (still under negotiation):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>UNIT TYPE</th>
<th># of Units</th>
<th>2015 base Rent</th>
<th>Utilities</th>
<th>Electricity</th>
<th>TOTAL MONTHLY RENT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 BR LL</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>$650</td>
<td>$65</td>
<td>$60.00</td>
<td>$775.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 BR UL</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>$685</td>
<td>$65</td>
<td>$60.00</td>
<td>$810.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 BR (#1 &amp; #12) LL</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>$699</td>
<td>$65</td>
<td>$60.00</td>
<td>$824.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 BR LL</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>$899</td>
<td>$95</td>
<td>$65.00</td>
<td>$1,059.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 BR UL</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>$925</td>
<td>$95</td>
<td>$65.00</td>
<td>$1,085.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 BR / 1.5 Ba</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>$1,250</td>
<td>$135</td>
<td>$90.00</td>
<td>$1,475.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 BR/ 1 Ba #73</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$1,350</td>
<td>$135</td>
<td>$90.00</td>
<td>$1,575.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- The University is currently revising the Student Code of Conduct (both academic and non-academic) and as graduate students, we are working to help the process.

- GSGA's Second Annual Thanksgiving Dinner took place on Tuesday, November 25th in TUC Great Hall. The Head Archivist, Dr. Kevin Grace, gave a small talk on the importance of Thanksgiving. Over 280 graduate students, administrators and staff attended. We would like to thank all the administrators and staff who hosted one of our tables and socialized with graduate students.

- GSGA also hosted an end-of-the year GradPub (happy hour at Catskeller) on Friday, December 5th. Over 150 students attended. And this week, we are hosting our GradCafe from 9am-2pm every day at the GSGA office. We are doing our part to help the graduate students finish strong by providing coffee and snacks all week.

- GSGA Research Fellowship application deadline was Friday, November 20. We received about 60 applications. The applications are reviewed by a committee of graduate students, following an evaluation rubric we created last year. We will start reviewing the applications as early as this week and the projected date for announcing the awardees is December 20th. Our Research Fellowship is made possible by the generous contributions of the Graduate School and Office of Research. We are grateful for their continued support.

- In GSGA's last General Assembly meeting, there was a discussion on how we can make it more attractive for graduate students to attend UC Basketball and Football games. Several great ideas came out of this discussion and as GSGA, we will put some of them to test as soon as possible. (Some of the ideas included family nights at the games, creating some sort of wristband system which will give you certain privileges at the stadium concession stands when bought, free food/drink coupons, etc.)