Improving the Formal Performance Review Process
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i. Introduction

About This Research

Most organizations require that managers provide their direct reports with formal performance reviews on either a semiannual or annual basis. For many managers, these reviews are viewed with a certain amount of anxiety, particularly if they include feedback on performance weaknesses. It is important to view reviews not as mandatory hour-long exercises, but as unique opportunities to improve the performance of your direct reports, and thus your team. In short, your employees should leave their reviews with a clear idea of their strengths, a plan for mitigating or improving their weaknesses, and above all, a desire to implement the changes you both discussed. To reach this goal, you need to think of formal reviews as a process that begins well before "review season" begins. Remember, the message that you impart is only as effective as the messenger; to improve performance, you must invest time in building healthy employee relationships well before the formal review process begins.

This guide is intended to provide you with tips to plan for and conduct formal performance reviews that will improve employee performance.

Copies and Copyright

As always, members are welcome to an unlimited number of copies of the materials contained within this handout. Furthermore, members may copy any graphic herein for their own internal purpose. The Corporate executive Board Company requests only those members retain the copyright mark on all pages produced. Please contact your Member Support Center at +1-866-913-8102 for any help we may provide. The pages herein are the property of the corporate executive Board Company. Beyond the membership, no copyrighted materials of the Corporate executive Board Company may be reproduced without prior approval.

Legal Caveat

The HR Leadership Council has worked to ensure the accuracy of the information it provides to its members. This report relies upon data obtained from many sources, however, and the HR Leadership Council cannot guarantee the accuracy of the information or its analysis in all cases. Furthermore, the HR Leadership Council is not engaged in rendering legal, accounting, or other professional services. Its reports should not be construed as professional advice on any particular set of facts or circumstances. Members requiring such services are advised to consult an appropriate professional. Neither the Corporate executive Board Company nor its programs are responsible for any claims or losses that may arise from a) any errors or omissions in their reports, whether caused by the HR Leadership Council or its sources, or b) reliance upon any recommendation made by the HR Leadership Council.
ii. Before The Review

Point 1: Establish Healthy Employee Relationships

The aspect of the review process that has the greatest impact on employee performance is the employee’s perception of your relationship. If you are viewed as inconsistent or unfair, or if an employee is dissatisfied with you in general, your feedback, regardless of quality or intent, will likely have little impact. It is therefore imperative that you establish healthy relationships with your direct reports. For more tips on how to accomplish this, please see the guide entitled *Establishing Healthy Employee Relationships*.

Point 2: Clearly Explain Performance Standards

One of the themes repeated throughout this document starts here: nothing in the formal review should truly be surprising to your employees. At the very least; they should know exactly the criteria you will be using to gauge their performance. Clearly communicating employee performance goals, either during the previous formal review or during an informal pull-up meeting, reduces the “surprise” factor and increases the likelihood that the review will be perceived as fair. Employees won’t feel the hard work they’re doing is wasted and will have a better idea of the topics you’ll be addressing.

Point 3: Provide Immediate Informal Feedback along the Way

The very nature of the review process timeline—once or twice a year—makes providing informal feedback a necessity. No manager can afford to wait 5 to 10 months to reinforce performance strengths or to correct performance lapses. In fact, immediate feedback on its own also has a positive impact on employee performance.

Furthermore, providing informal feedback along the way means that your employees won’t be surprised during their formal reviews. That goes a long way to solidifying the impression that your assessments are consistent and fair.
iii. The Review itself

Point 1: Planning

Good reviews are rarely thrown together at the last minute. Ideally, they include not only manager input on employee performance but employee self-ratings. As well. Having these completed ahead of time and reviewing them gives you a good idea of which parts of the conversation will be “easy” (e.g., where you agree) and where there may be some difficulty. Rehearsing the conversation is also important, particularly for the section where you are providing feedback on performance weaknesses. Preparation time is time well spent; how an employee reacts to feedback will be dependent on the relationship you share and also on how well you deliver the feedback.

Point 2: Providing Feedback on Personality Strengths

While it’s nice to provide your direct reports with feedback on their personality strengths, they should be referred to in terms of performance. For example, instead of telling someone he or she is friendly, tell him or her that friendliness is an asset because it helps him or her excel at customer service or work well within the team.

Important note: Although it can positively affect employee performance to discuss personality strengths either alone or in conjunction with performance strengths, it is very difficult to discuss personality weaknesses, and managers should proceed with caution.

Discussing personality weaknesses by themselves can be viewed as insulting and hamper your chances of the employee taking corrective action. If you must discuss personality weaknesses, it is imperative that you provide specific, concrete examples of how those weaknesses negatively affect performance.

Point 3: Providing Feedback on Performance Strengths

For many managers, this is the easiest part of any formal review. People like to hear they are doing well. Still, it is important to be specific; in other words, “good job” isn’t particularly good feedback. By providing tangible evidence of how their strengths have positively affected performance, you can reinforce these important employee behaviors. By making this activity the centerpiece of the review process, you can help preempt negative reactions that may emerge when the time comes to discuss performance weaknesses.
To Remember

All managers walk a fine line when delivering feedback on performance weaknesses. Done effectively, it can improve performance; overemphasized, it can damage it.10

When providing this type of feedback, avoid judgmental words like “poor performance” or “weakness”; instead, present it in the context of a developmental opportunity.11

Do not assume you understand the reason for a performance weakness; allow the employee to discuss why he or she is performing in a particular way.12

Set realistic performance goals: by creating goals that are out of reach, you can negatively impact employee performance.13

Offer a plan for correcting these weaknesses and stick to it.14

Prepare for negative reactions.15

iii. The Review itself (Continued)

Point 4: Providing Feedback on Performance Weaknesses

For many, the most anxiety-provoking part of any performance review is delivering feedback on performance weaknesses. Yet when done effectively, providing feedback on performance weaknesses can have a positive impact on employee performance. Except for extreme cases, weaknesses should not be the emphasis of the review. When presenting feedback on performance weaknesses, be selective and ensure that you’re prepared to deliver your messages well.

Present this type of feedback as an opportunity for your employees to come closer to their career goals. To that end, frame these weaknesses as obstacles that you need to overcome together. This isn't just rhetoric—your employees’ improvement in these areas will positively affect your team. Discuss and Set with your employees realistic performance objectives in these areas.

It is important to set realistic, attainable targets for improvement; setting unrealistic goals and expectations can negatively affect your employee when he or she doesn’t meet them.

Obviously, each employee is going to react differently to feedback on performance weaknesses. You can greatly increase the chances that your employees will emerge from their reviews better performers than when they entered. By having established a healthy relationship with the employee in question, by preventing this type of feedback from serving as the centerpiece of the review, by framing these behaviors not as weaknesses but as obstacles to overcome, by setting realistic performance objectives, and by concluding your evaluation not on a negative note, but on a positive one, you can greatly increase the chances that your employees will emerge from their reviews better performers than when they entered.
Research Methodology

The information presented in this guide is based on a thorough review of professional and academic literature. Given the diversity of perspectives on these topics, this guide is designed to summarize the existing research available on this topic, rather than to impart a particular point of view accepted by the roundtable.

14. Ibid.
15. Ibid.