



# LEED - NC

Version 2

## How to Interpret this Report

**Purpose** The Leadership in Energy and Environmental Design (LEED) Rating System was designed by the US Green Building Council to encourage and facilitate the development of more sustainable buildings. The MainStreet Recreation Center project was evaluated according to this system and the Final Rating is totaled below.

**Environmental Categories** The report is organized into five environmental categories as defined by LEED including: Sustainable Sites, Water Efficiency, Energy and Atmosphere, Materials and Resources and Indoor Environmental Quality. The category of Innovation and Design Process is also included.

**LEED Prerequisites** Prerequisites must be achieved. Non-compliant prerequisites must be resolved before a certification can be awarded.

**LEED Credits** The environmental categories are subdivided into the established LEED credits, which are based on desired performance goals within each category. An assessment of whether the credit is earned, pending, or rejected is made and a narrative describes the basis for the assessment.

**Achieved** The applicant has provided the mandatory documentation which supports the achievement of the credit requirements, achieving the associated points. Currently the project has scored the adjacent points in this category.

29

**Denied** The applicant has applied for a point in a particular credit, but has misinterpreted the credit intent or cannot substantiate meeting the requirements. Currently the project has the adjacent points in this category.

1

**Rating Final Rating is Certified**

Official LEED v2 Scores: Certified: 26-32 Silver Rating: 33-38 Gold Rating: 39-51 Platinum Rating: 52 +

A - Achieved  
D - Denied

A	D		
8	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>Possible Points 14</b>
<b>Sustainable Sites</b>			
0	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Prerequisite 1-Version 2.1
<b>Erosion &amp; Sedimentation Control</b>			
Preliminary Review: The signed LEED Letter Template states that the local Best Management Practices meet or exceed the EPA BMPs. Measures include Temporary Seeding, Mulching, Geotextiles, Permanent Seeding and Planting, Preservation of Natural Vegetation, Sod Stabilization, Soil Retaining, Measures, Silt Fence, Storm Drain Inlet Protection, Temporary Sediment Basin.			
1	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Credit 1-Version 2.1
<b>Site Selection</b>			
Preliminary Review: The signed LEED Letter Template declares that the site does not meet any of the prohibited criteria.			
1	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Credit 2-Version 2.1
<b>Urban Redevelopment</b>			
Preliminary Review: The signed LEED Letter Template and supporting documentation indicates that the 68,031 sf/acre project is located in an area with a development density of 61,968 sf/acre.			
1	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Credit 3-Version 2.1
<b>Brownfield Redevelopment</b>			
Preliminary Review: The signed LEED Letter Template declares that real or perceived site contamination has been remediate prior to development. The supporting documentation demonstrates that asbestos was abated and disposed of pursuant to local regulations.			
1	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Credit 4.1-Version 2.1
<b>Alternative Transportation, Public Transportation Access</b>			
Preliminary Review: The signed LEED Letter Template states that there are 5 bus lines within 1/4 mile of the project site. A scaled site map has been provided.			
1	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Credit 4.2-Version 2.1
<b>Alternative Transportation, Bicycle Storage &amp; Changing Rooms</b>			
Preliminary Review: The signed LEED Letter Template declares that 64 bicycle stalls and 50 showers are provided within 200 yards of the project for 1,184 occupants.			
Not Attempting	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Credit 4.3-Version 2.1
<b>Alternative Transportation, Alternative Fuel Refueling Stations</b>			
Preliminary Review: No Comments.			
Not Attempting	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Credit 4.4-Version 2.1
<b>Alternative Transportation, Parking Capacity</b>			
Preliminary Review: No Comments.			
Not Attempting	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Credit 5.1-Version 2.1
<b>Reduced Site Disturbance, Protect or Restore Open Space</b>			
Preliminary Review: No Comments.			
1	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Credit 5.2-Version 2.1
<b>Reduced Site Disturbance, Development Footprint</b>			
Preliminary Review: A signed LEED Letter Template declares that there are no local zoning requirements for open space, so an area of open space has been allocated adjacent to the building which is equal in size to the building footprint. A letter from the building owner as well as calculations substantiates this claim.			

A - Achieved  
D - Denied

A      D

1   **Stormwater Management, Rate and Quantity** Credit 6.1-Version 2.1

Preliminary Review: The signed LEED Letter Template indicates that existing imperviousness exceeds 50% and that the implemented stormwater management plan results in at least a 25% reduction in the rate and quantity of stormwater runoff. However, it is unclear how the runoff co-efficient of zero for the 'roof – drain to irrigation tank' was calculated.

TECHNICAL ADVICE: Please provide calculations that clearly justify the assigned runoff co-efficient for the 'roof-drain to irrigation tank'.

**Final Review** The applicant provided a narrative describing the assigned runoff co-efficient for the 'roof-drain to irrigation tank'. The documentation demonstrates credit compliance.

Not Attempting  **Stormwater Management, Treatment** Credit 6.2-Version 2.1

Preliminary Review: No Comments.

1   **Landscape & Exterior Design to Reduce Heat Islands, Non-Roof Surfaces** Credit 7.1-Version 2.1

Preliminary Review: The signed LEED Letter Template states that a minimum of 30% of non-roof impervious surface areas will be shaded within five years, and/or constructed with light-colored/high albedo materials (reflectance at 0.3). A site plan has also been submitted to demonstrate compliance.

Not Attempting  **Landscape & Exterior Design to Reduce Heat Islands, Roof Surfaces** Credit 7.2-Version 2.1

Preliminary Review: No Comments.

Not Attempting  **Light Pollution Reduction** Credit 8-Version 2.1

Preliminary Review: No Comments.

A - Achieved  
D - Denied

A		D			Possible Points 5
3	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		<b>Water Efficiency</b>	
1	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		<b>Water Efficient Landscaping, Reduce by 50%</b> Preliminary Review: The signed LEED Letter Template states that high efficiency irrigation technology reduces potable water consumption for irrigation by 50%. The applicant provided a narrative and calculations demonstrating that the rain water cistern has the capacity to offset 100% of the irrigation demands for the month of July.	Credit 1.1-Version 2.1
1	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		<b>Water Efficient Landscaping, No Potable Use or No Irrigation</b> Preliminary Review: Please see comments for WEc1.1.	Credit 1.2-Version 2.1
Not Attempting	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>Innovative Wastewater Technologies</b> Preliminary Review: No Comments.	Credit 2-Version 2.1
1	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		<b>Water Use Reduction, 20% Reduction</b> Preliminary Review: The signed LEED Letter Template and calculations have been provided demonstrating that water use has been reduced by 22.31% through the use of low-flow lavatory and kitchen faucets.	Credit 3.1-Version 2.1
Not Attempting	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>Water Use Reduction, 30% Reduction</b> Preliminary Review: No Comments.	Credit 3.2-Version 2.1

A - Achieved  
D - Denied

A	D		Possible Points 17
2	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>Energy &amp; Atmosphere</b>
0	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>Fundamental Building Systems Commissioning</b> <span style="float: right;">Prerequisite 1-Version 2.1</span> Preliminary Review: The signed LEED Letter Template declares that the required commissioning activities have been completed or are under contract.
0	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>Minimum Energy Performance</b> <span style="float: right;">Prerequisite 2-Version 2.1</span> Preliminary Review: The signed LEED Letter Template declares that the project complies with ASHRAE 90.1-1999.
0	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>CFC Reduction in HVAC&amp;R Equipment</b> <span style="float: right;">Prerequisite 3-Version 2.1</span> Preliminary Review: The signed LEED Letter Template declares that the project's HVAC&R systems do not contain CFC-based refrigerants.
Not Attempting	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>Optimize Energy Performance, 20% New /10% Existing</b> <span style="float: right;">Credit 1.1-Version 2.1</span> Preliminary Review: No Comments.
Not Attempting	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>Optimize Energy Performance, 30% New /20% Existing</b> <span style="float: right;">Credit 1.2-Version 2.1</span> Preliminary Review: No Comments.
Not Attempting	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>Optimize Energy Performance, 40% New /30% Existing</b> <span style="float: right;">Credit 1.3-Version 2.1</span> Preliminary Review: No Comments.
Not Attempting	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>Optimize Energy Performance, 50% New /40% Existing</b> <span style="float: right;">Credit 1.4-Version 2.1</span> Preliminary Review: No Comments.
Not Attempting	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>Optimize Energy Performance, 60% New /50% Existing</b> <span style="float: right;">Credit 1.5-Version 2.1</span> Preliminary Review: No Comments.
Not Attempting	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>Renewable Energy, 5% Contribution</b> <span style="float: right;">Credit 2.1-Version 2.1</span> Preliminary Review: No Comments.
Not Attempting	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>Renewable Energy, 10% Contribution</b> <span style="float: right;">Credit 2.2-Version 2.1</span> Preliminary Review: No Comments.
Not Attempting	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>Renewable Energy, 20% Contribution</b> <span style="float: right;">Credit 2.3-Version 2.1</span> Preliminary Review: No Comments.

A - Achieved  
D - Denied

**A**      **D**  
 1       **Additional Commissioning** Credit 3-Version 2.1

Preliminary Review: This prerequisite has been selected for audit.

TECHNICAL ADVICE: Please provide a description of the relationship of the Commissioning Agent to the design team, demonstrating that the commissioning agent is in fact an independent third party. Provide documentation indicating that DD and CD reviews (with substantive comments) occurred. Provide an executive summary or excerpts from the re-commissioning manual and evidence of resolution of outstanding commissioning issues. If under contract, please provide an excerpt from the contract demonstrating credit achievement.

**Final Review** The applicant submitted documentation supporting the required commissioning activities have been completed or are under contract.

Not Attempting    **Ozone Protection** Credit 4-Version 2.1  
Preliminary Review: No Comments.

1       **Measurement & Verification** Credit 5-Version 2.1  
Preliminary Review: The signed LEED Letter Template declares that metering equipment has been installed for all appropriate systems. An M&V Plan, following Option C, has been provided.

Not Attempting    **Green Power** Credit 6-Version 2.1  
Preliminary Review: No Comments.

A - Achieved  
D - Denied

A		D		Possible Points
6			Materials & Resources	13
0			<p><b>Storage &amp; Collection of Recyclables</b></p> <p>Preliminary Review: The applicant has submitted a signed LEED Letter Template declaring that appropriate facilities for recycling have been provided. The applicant also provided drawings highlighting the recycling area. However, based on the drawings, it appears the recycling measures are taken for the dormitory building only. No information was provided describing how recyclable materials are collected and stored in other parts of the building (i.e. Classrooms, Recreation center, dining facilities etc.) or how they are handled within the campus recycling program.</p> <p>TECHNICAL ADVICE: Please provide documentation demonstrating the recycling strategies taking into account the whole building. Please provide plans highlighting the dedicated recycling areas and their respective paths of travel. Please provide a brief narrative verifying that the recycling areas are accessible and convenient for the building occupants.</p> <p><b>Final Review</b> The applicant submitted updated drawings and a narrative describing the recycling process in the project. The documentation demonstrates compliance with the prerequisite requirements.</p>	Prerequisite 1-Version 2.1
Not Attempting			<p><b>Building Reuse, Maintain 75% of Existing Shell</b></p> <p>Preliminary Review: No Comments.</p>	Credit 1.1-Version 2.1
Not Attempting			<p><b>Building Reuse, Maintain 100% of Shell</b></p> <p>Preliminary Review: No Comments.</p>	Credit 1.2-Version 2.1
Not Attempting			<p><b>Building Reuse, Maintain 100% Shell and 50% Non-Shell</b></p> <p>Preliminary Review: No Comments.</p>	Credit 1.3-Version 2.1
1			<p><b>Construction Waste Management, Divert 50%</b></p> <p>Preliminary Review: A signed LEED Letter Template declares that 93.63% of project construction waste was diverted from the landfill. A list of materials and where they were diverted to has been included.</p>	Credit 2.1-Version 2.1
1			<p><b>Construction Waste Management, Divert 75%</b></p> <p>Preliminary Review: Please see review comments for MRc2.1.</p>	Credit 2.2-Version 2.1
Not Attempting			<p><b>Resource Reuse, Specify 5%</b></p> <p>Preliminary Review: No Comments.</p>	Credit 3.1-Version 2.1
Not Attempting			<p><b>Resource Reuse, Specify 10%</b></p> <p>Preliminary Review: No Comments.</p>	Credit 3.2-Version 2.1

A - Achieved  
D - Denied

A      D

1   **Recycled Content, Specify 5%** Credit 4.1-Version 2.1

Preliminary Review: This credit has been selected for Audit.

TECHNICAL ADVICE: To support the calculations in the LEED Letter Template, please provide a product cut sheet, product literature, or letter from the manufacturer that clearly indicates whether each material contains post-consumer or post-industrial recycled material or both, and what percentages by weight.

**Final Review** The applicant provided revised calculations; product cut sheets, letters from the manufacturers indicating that the project has achieved a combined recycled content value of 29.45% based on the cost of all Division 2-10 materials.

1   **Recycled Content, Specify 10%** Credit 4.2-Version 2.1

Preliminary Review: Please see comments for MRc4.1.

**Final Review** Please see comments for MRc4.1.

1   **Local/Regional Materials, 20% Manufactured Regionally** Credit 5.1-Version 2.1

Preliminary Review: The signed LEED Letter Template and supporting calculations have been provided declaring that 80.67% of the total project's materials, based on cost, were manufactured within 500 miles of the project site.

1   **Local/Regional Materials, 50% Extracted Regionally** Credit 5.2-Version 2.1

Preliminary Review: The signed LEED Letter Template and supporting calculations have been provided declaring that 51.74% of the total project's materials, based on cost, were manufactured using raw materials harvested within 500 miles of the project site.

Not  Attempting  **Rapidly Renewable Materials** Credit 6-Version 2.1

Preliminary Review: No Comments.

Not  Attempting  **Certified Wood** Credit 7-Version 2.1

Preliminary Review: No Comments.



A - Achieved  
D - Denied

A	D		Possible Points 15
5	<input type="checkbox"/>	1	<b>Indoor Environmental Quality</b>
0	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<p><b>Minimum IAQ Performance</b> <span style="float: right;">Prerequisite 1-Version 2.1</span></p> <p>Preliminary Review: The signed LEED Letter Template has been provided stating that the requirements of ASHRAE 62-1999 have been met. Documentation describing the ventilation rate procedure has been provided.</p>
0	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<p><b>Environmental Tobacco Smoke (ETS) Control</b> <span style="float: right;">Prerequisite 2-Version 2.1</span></p> <p>Preliminary Review: The signed LEED Letter Template has been provided stating that no smoking is allowed in the building and outdoor smoking areas are located away from operable windows and entryways.</p>
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	1	<p><b>Carbon Dioxide (CO2) Monitoring</b> <span style="float: right;">Credit 1-Version 2.1</span></p> <p>Preliminary Review: The signed LEED Letter Template declares that a CO2 monitoring system has been installed. A narrative and calculations are provided indicating that the sensors are placed in each zone and in the outside air intake. The system is set with a differential of 530 ppm above ambient. However it is not clear from the narrative the actual setup of the system.</p> <p><b>TECHNICAL ADVICE:</b> Please provide drawings, specifications and cut sheets highlighting the installed carbon dioxide monitoring system, including both the exterior and interior monitors. Please ensure to highlight the location of the sensor with reference to the space monitored.</p> <p><b>Requirements</b> Install a permanent carbon dioxide (CO2) monitoring system that provides feedback on space ventilation performance in a form that affords operational adjustments. Refer to the CO2 differential for all types of occupancy in accordance with ASHRAE 62-2001, Appendix C.</p> <p><b>Submittals</b> Provide the LEED Letter Template, signed by the mechanical engineer or responsible party, declaring and summarizing the installation, operational design and controls/zones for the carbon dioxide monitoring system. For mixed-use buildings, calculate CO2 levels for each separate activity level and use.</p> <p><b>Final Review</b> The applicant resubmitted this credit and provided a detailed narrative of the CO2 monitoring setup, sensor cut-sheets and HVAC layouts. However, the documentation provided does not address the issue of duct mounted sensors in areas with private offices or the divisible multi-occupant spaces. In the purview of this credit, CO2 is used as an indicator of poor indoor air quality or insufficient ventilation air. As described, the monitoring system is in a position to monitor CO2 levels in the system however without zonal sensors the potential for a diluted reading exists and therefore does not meet the credit requirements.</p>
Not Attempting	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<p><b>Increase Ventilation Effectiveness</b> <span style="float: right;">Credit 2-Version 2.1</span></p> <p>Preliminary Review: No Comments.</p>
1	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<p><b>Construction IAQ Management Plan, During Construction</b> <span style="float: right;">Credit 3.1-Version 2.1</span></p> <p>Preliminary Review: The signed LEED Letter Template has been provided stating that a construction IAQ plan was followed and implemented. Filters with a MERV 13 rating were installed after construction. The applicant also provided a description of the SMACNA design approaches followed.</p>
1	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<p><b>Construction IAQ Management Plan, Before Occupancy</b> <span style="float: right;">Credit 3.2-Version 2.1</span></p> <p>Preliminary Review: A signed LEED Letter Template declares that a two week building flush out was conducted with 100% outside air from 02/12/2006-02/26/2006.</p>

A - Achieved  
D - Denied

A	D		
1	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<p><b>Low-Emitting Materials, Adhesives &amp; Sealants</b> <span style="float: right;">Credit 4.1-Version 2.1</span></p> <p>Preliminary Review: This credit has been selected for audit.</p> <p>TECHNICAL ADVICE: Please provide cut sheets, MSDSs, or letters from product manufacturers clearly indicating VOC levels for each product. Include a summary table comparing credit requirements and actual VOC levels for each product.</p> <p><b>Final Review</b> The applicant provided a product list, cut sheets and MSDS of the products used in the project. The actual VOC level of all the products listed are below the threshold, hence the credit is awarded.</p>
Not	Attempting	<input type="checkbox"/>	<p><b>Low-Emitting Materials, Paints</b> <span style="float: right;">Credit 4.2-Version 2.1</span></p> <p>Preliminary Review: No Comments.</p>
1	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<p><b>Low-Emitting Materials, Carpet</b> <span style="float: right;">Credit 4.3-Version 2.1</span></p> <p>Preliminary Review: The applicant submitted a signed LEED Letter Template declaring the installed carpeting complies with the CRI Green Label Program. However, further clarification is needed since the list of products indicates that only one type of carpet was installed.</p> <p>TECHNICAL ADVICE: Please confirm that the carpet listed in the LEED Letter Template is the only carpet installed in the project. If other carpet was installed, then please provide an updated list indicating all the types of carpets installed. Please provide cut sheets or letters from product manufactures clearly indicating that all carpet products meet the CRI Green Label IAQ Test Program requirements.</p> <p><b>Final Review</b> The applicant provided a narrative confirming that only one type of carpet was installed in the project and a letter indicating that the product is in compliance with the CRI Green Label IAQ Test Program. The documentation demonstrates credit compliance.</p>
Not	Attempting	<input type="checkbox"/>	<p><b>Low-Emitting Materials, Composite Wood</b> <span style="float: right;">Credit 4.4-Version 2.1</span></p> <p>Preliminary Review: No Comments.</p>
1	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<p><b>Indoor Chemical and Pollutant Source Control</b> <span style="float: right;">Credit 5-Version 2.1</span></p> <p>Preliminary Review: This credit has been selected for audit.</p> <p>TECHNICAL ADVICE: Please provide narratives, drawings and cut sheets supporting the declarations made in the LEED Letter Template. Document permanent entryway systems, construction methods (deck to deck partitions, independent ventilation system etc.) in chemical use areas, and drainage systems appropriate for disposal of liquid waste in areas where water and chemical mixing occurs (i.e. janitors' closets).</p>
Not	Attempting	<input type="checkbox"/>	<p><b>Controllability of Systems, Perimeter</b> <span style="float: right;">Credit 6.1-Version 2.1</span></p> <p>Preliminary Review: No Comments.</p>
Not	Attempting	<input type="checkbox"/>	<p><b>Controllability of Systems, Non-perimeter</b> <span style="float: right;">Credit 6.2-Version 2.1</span></p> <p>Preliminary Review: No Comments.</p>
Not	Attempting	<input type="checkbox"/>	<p><b>Thermal Comfort, Compliance with ASHRAE 55-1992</b> <span style="float: right;">Credit 7.1-Version 2.1</span></p> <p>Preliminary Review: No Comments.</p>

A - Achieved  
D - Denied

**A**      **D**

<input type="checkbox"/> Not Attempting	<b>Thermal Comfort, Permanent Monitoring System</b> Preliminary Review: No Comments.	Credit 7.2-Version 2.1
<input type="checkbox"/> Not Attempting	<b>Daylight and Views, Daylight 75% of Spaces</b> Preliminary Review: No Comments.	Credit 8.1-Version 2.1
<input type="checkbox"/> Not Attempting	<b>Daylight and Views, Views for 90% of Spaces</b> Preliminary Review: No Comments.	Credit 8.2-Version 2.1

A - Achieved  
D - Denied

A	D		Innovation & Design Process	Possible Points 5
---	---	--	-----------------------------	-------------------

1	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>Exemplary Performance of SSc5.2</b>	Credit 1.1-Version 2.1
---	--------------------------	--------------------------	--	------------------------

Preliminary Review: A signed LEED Letter Template declares that there are no local zoning requirements for open space, so an area of open space has been allocated adjacent to the building which is equal to twice the size of the building footprint. A letter from the building owner as well as calculations substantiates this claim.

1	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>Green Education Outreach Program</b>	Credit 1.2-Version 2.1
---	--------------------------	--------------------------	---	------------------------

Preliminary Review: The applicant has submitted an innovation credit based on the merits of having a green educational outreach program in place for the project. This innovation credit is based on established CIRs. The project has instituted a comprehensive program that educates building occupants as well as visitors, using educational signage and on-going tours. These collective measures demonstrate compliance to the established criteria for an innovation credit based on a green educational outreach program.

1	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>Exemplary Performance of MRc4</b>	Credit 1.3-Version 2.1
---	--------------------------	--------------------------	--------------------------------------	------------------------

Preliminary Review: This innovation credit is pending since MRc4.1 has been selected for audit.

TECHNICAL ADVICE: Please see technical advice for MRc4.1.

**Final Review** The applicant submitted an innovation credit based on exemplary performance for MRc4 Recycled Content. Revised calculations; product cut sheets and letters from the manufacturers are provided indicating that the project has achieved a combined recycled content value of 29.45% of the total materials. Innovation credits are awarded to projects that demonstrate achievement of the next incremental threshold for a credit, i.e. 20% for MRc4. Since the project has demonstrated a recycle content exceeding this threshold, award of an innovation point is warranted.

1	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>Kitchen Process Equipment Water use Reduction</b>	Credit 1.4-Version 2.1
---	--------------------------	--------------------------	--	------------------------

Preliminary Review: The applicant has submitted an innovation credit based on the merits of a kitchen equipment process water use reduction of 20%. The documentation compares the process water reduction to guidance offered in the LEED Guide for Schools. IDc1.1 CIR ruling dated 08/31/2004 provides the required criteria for submitting a process water reduction innovation credit. The CIR states that the reduction needs to address the whole building. The documentation provided only addresses the kitchen's process water. Further, documentation is required demonstrating a whole building approach has been established.

TECHNICAL ADVICE: Please provide supporting documentation, including appliance/equipment cut-sheets, calculations and a revised innovation credit demonstrating that the process water reduction addresses the whole building, as outlined in IDc1.1 CIR ruling dated 08/31/2004.

**Final Review** The applicant submitted a narrative, calculations and equipment cut-sheets that demonstrate a process water use reduction of 35.34% when compared to the regulated water use in credit WEc3. The documentation conforms to guidance provided in IDc1.1 CIR ruling dated 08/31/2004 and therefore warrants the award of an innovation point.

1	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>LEED™ Accredited Professional</b>	Credit 2-Version 2.1
---	--------------------------	--------------------------	--------------------------------------	----------------------

Preliminary Review: The signed LEED Letter Template and the LEED Accredited Professional Certificate have been provided for Chad Edwards.